

Turnitin

by An. Dr. Jiuhardi

Submission date: 29-Mar-2022 12:02AM (UTC-0700)

Submission ID: 1760500264

File name: of_Electricity_Sector_and_Implications_for_Energy_GDP_-_ENG.pdf (519.96K)

Word count: 6055

Character count: 34114

Aggressiveness of the Electricity Sector and Implications for Energy GDP (Comparative Test of Indonesia-Malaysia)

Jiuhardi Jiuhardi^{1,*}, Michael Michael¹

¹Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Mulawarman University, Indonesia.
Email: jiuhardi@feb.unmul.ac.id

Abstract

Keep in mind, energy is fluctuating. The dependence of electricity production from fossil fuels is a valuable lesson for stakeholders to think about and inspire the creation of the latest technology. Although the contribution of fossil fuels has grown the flow of industry in various worlds, they must limit it as early as possible. We set this research up based on a quantitative approach that aims to examine the impact of fossil fuels electricity generation on GDP per unit of energy used, electricity production capacity, and access to electricity. Indonesia and Malaysia as countries that represent empirical objectivity to be reviewed on how the causality of the research objectives for the period 2013-2020. We collected the data source through The Global Economy, which is very concerned with highlighting global economic developments. Multiple regression and path analysis support this work. Interestingly, the three findings for the case study in Indonesia support the design hypothesis ($p < 0.05$), whereas the two hypotheses in Malaysia ($p < 0.05$). The determinant of GDP per unit of energy used has met two-way causality. There are short-term and long-term prospects from other relationships that are not significant ($p > 0.05$). Besides the economic element, it does not balance the results due to demographic factors in Indonesia, which are larger in composition than Malaysia. Future agenda need to discuss the implications of further referring to this research.

Keywords: electricity; fossil fuels; GDP of energy; Indonesia; Malaysia

JEL classifications: K32; Q38; Q50

1. INTRODUCTION

The Asean Economic Community (AEC) in 2025 is a continuation of the MEA in the 2015 period (Wiko and Kinanti, 2021). The most striking thing about AEC intervening in macroeconomic policies in the ASEAN region is that it is increasingly moving quickly and cohesively to make ASEAN successful globally, centered, inclusive, resilient, sectoral cooperation and connectivity movements, dynamic, cohesive, and competitive (Widiyana and Djatmiko, 2019).

Apart from that, interactions in terms of economic cooperation in ASEAN include finance, tourism, telecommunications, transportation, services, investment, trade, and industry. At the same time, it also expected the agreement to expand Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), minerals, energy, forestry, and agriculture. Moreover, the economic profile at the ASEAN level considers three aspects that have attracted the attention of the global market. ZA et al. (2021) track that the average economic growth in the ASEAN region ranges from 5% - 6% per year, which is to encourage fair development among member countries. The population of ASEAN reached 632 million people in 2015 (Artner, 2017), of which the majority are of productive age. Then, ASEAN is also rich in natural resource commodities such as food crops, minerals, and energy (Pradipta, 2015).

In the last few decades, ASEAN has built an economic reputation that reflects an aggressive power (Goh, 2008). They have the Initiative for ASEAN Integration (IAI) which functions to create equitable development between Vietnam, Myanmar, Laos, and Cambodia (CLMV) with Thailand, Singapore, the Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Brunei Darussalam (ASEAN-6). This is the right moment to strengthen solidarity and promote economic recovery in the BIMP-EAGA region. They highlighted the interesting part on the BIMP-EAGA cooperation platform, which prioritizes concrete projects for accelerating sustainable development (Mansur, 2009). Explicitly, vital programs started by the Indonesian and Malaysian governments, such as environmentally friendly energy security (Shadman et al., 2021).

2

The Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources–Republic of Indonesia (2016) noted that the electricity interconnection cooperation between the Indonesian government and the Malaysian government was realized in January 2016 through an extra high voltage overhead line of 275 kilo volts between the Bengkayang Extra High Voltage Substation (GITET) and GITET. Mambong (SESCO Malaysia) after several series of tests. This interconnection refers to the Agreement in the Power Exchange Agreement (PEA), where State Electricity Company of Indonesia (PLN) and SESCO Malaysia agree to export-import electricity for 25 periods.

In the first 5 periods, Indonesia will buy electricity from Malaysia for 50 MW at peak load times and 230 MW at peak times. For the next 5 periods, PLN allows to sell electricity to Malaysia. In the early stages of this interconnection, SESCO Malaysia will supply up to 10 MW of electricity and will accumulate it to 50 MW by the end of March 2016. Then, Malaysia will supply 50 MW to Indonesia.

The Conservation (2020) released that Indonesia has a target to provide electric lighting to its entire population by 2020 with an electrification ratio of 100%. In the previous period, namely 2019, the realization of the electrification ratio had reached 98.89%. To achieve this ambitious target, the efforts that have been made include the commitment to develop electricity infrastructure, such as solar power plants, to the outermost, underdeveloped, and leading areas with a special allocation of funds.

Like what happened in Malaysia, ironically, there are still issues of usability, quality, and sustainability of energy access to support productive economic activities besides this magnificent project. The reason is, the achievement of 100% electrification ratio will be trapped in quasi-data because they considered it to capture the complexity of existing problems, especially related to fulfillments electrical energy and clean energy for cooking. They often refer in this to as dual energy poverty.

Without putting aside positive expectations, of course, there will be consequences if you don't immediately clean up. There is no guarantee for them that if it boosted the electricity sector, electricity supplying producers and consumers, in this case the population, can be productive and increase welfare (Setyawan, 2014; Gielen et al., 2019). Impressively, the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per unit of energy needs to be boosted by power generation and adequate electricity production capacity, so that residents' access to electrical energy needs can be met. The goal of this paper is to detect the relationship between these four aspects. Will this apply to the current situation or will it hinder it? It needs to be tracked empirically oriented. The six essential points to support the framework of the paper include introduction, theoretical lens and formulation of hypotheses, research methodology, findings, discussion, and conclusions.

2. THEORETICAL LENS AND HYPOTHESES FORMULATION

2.1. Theory of Electrical Energy Economic

12

Zweifel et al. (2017) argue that the power grid connects customers and generators. The existence of the electricity market because there is a network that aims to create competition between retailers and generators, where access to the electricity network must guarantee third parties (such as residents) transparently. From an economic perspective, this action must be discriminatory, which means facilitating the public interest and representing a monopoly (Alessio, 1981). Otherwise, the electricity grid operator has the potential to abuse and not give the utility a vertical market position. The electricity network needs to be managed wisely, in order to prevent losses that impact decreasing welfare.

2.2. Electrical Energy Market and Sustainability

Today, electricity service has become a basic need for the universe. In fact, the demand continues to increase, which implies that electricity plays an important role in human life (Mueller, 1991). A case study in low-income countries, there are many unmet demand for electricity, resulting in houses without lighting, inadequate sanitation, and inadequate economic development. One example of a low-income country is Indonesia. With a large population in the world, there are still many problems with access to electricity (Cahyo et al., 2018). In fact, Khanna and Rao (2019) predict a large growth of world energy consumption of around 55% during the period 2005-2030. This means that the consumption of coal commodities has increased by 73% and the use of electrical energy has also doubled

from the previous one. Nearly half of the increase in energy consumption occurred in India and China, while the rest was for developing countries.

Concerns in the current electricity market, the competition to drive demand response, programs, efficiency levels received great enthusiasm. Because of high competition in the electricity industry, the level of demand has fallen drastically, and the market needs to adopt a new approach by taking advantage of demand opportunities (Faria and Vale, 2011). In addition, recently the international community has paid attention to global issues such as climate change and environmental protection. In the context of development, many organizations actively promote energy complementation and transformation of changing demands by optimizing spatial planning, using renewable energy, and low-carbon energy (Gan et al., 2020).

2.3. Electricity from Fossils

Like it or not, we will face adopting renewable energy, where fossil fuels are very limited. The shift to renewable energy is certainly driven by the wishes of all parties (Timmons, 2014). Although new technologies have been extracted, but the more pressing needs of fossil fuels are difficult to separate and continuously evaluated this towards a more friendly climate change. At its peak, it limited the nature of fossil reserves because they always associate the use of fuel with human prosperity. Substantially, it continues to increase. Scholars debate doubts without agreement (Abas et al., 2015). Wilson and Styling (2019) even argue that fossil fuels will continue to be an energy system and an important element in the future. The demand for heating machines is quite high as the cause of winter being more dominant than summer for a country like the UK. Evidently, that electrical energy has helped the needs of the people there for the management of extreme seasonal changes.

Zou et al. (2016) express the global energy revolution as a future agenda. New energy provides insight into the investigation of the global energy development situation in response to future demand. Understanding offers important ideas about the history of energy use and new knowledge about energy sources in a new era after the use of wood, coal, oil, and gas was abandoned. Although the reaction of the industrial sector is still applying fossil energy in several countries, it must make major breakthroughs through technology-based alternatives that protect the ecological environment. The transition from fossil energy to new energy sources needs to be encouraged, so that they commit business people to all these consequences.

2.4. Relationship between Variables

2.4.1. Fossil Fuels Electricity Generation – Electricity Production Capacity

Ezers et al. (2017) report that in 2030, Central Europe will start prioritizing the operation of conventional power plants into renewable energy systems, where the simulation is initiated with the penetration of renewable energy. Hourly geographic, it converted temporal resolution capacity through individual unit-scale demand, transmission, and generation. The obsession aims to include part load efficiency and ensure that the financial performance of the power plant depends on the variability of its production, thus underpinning its financial viability.

Technological solutions and penetration of renewable energy highlight the risk of investing in the electricity market to meet the future needs of customers. Although fossil fuel-based electricity is required to develop technological relevance that mixes retrofit and new generation investment, Gomelsky and Figueroa (2012) argue that this ambition must still be considered. It makes sense to actualize the following first hypothesis:

Hypothesis 1: fossil fuels electricity generation increases electricity production capacity systematically.

2.4.2. GDP per Unit of Energy Used – Fossil Fuels Electricity Generation – Electricity Production Capacity – Access to Electricity

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064

In each country, there is a significant relationship between economic growth and energy consumption in the long run. Thus, economic growth highly depends on a country's imports, so that the contribution of energy consumption is greater in the aggregate economy. Esen and Bayrak (2017) conclude that state income will increase because the level of energy consumption also increases even though the slope in economic growth is stagnant. Therefore, efficiency in energy consumption is considered as an actual dimension in economic development.

Szustak et al. (2021) are interested in identifying a relationship between GDP and energy production. A shift in focus on electricity production in parts of Europe independent of power sources does not have direct implications for GDP growth. In fact, for some countries, the correlation between GDP and electricity production is stronger, but in case studies in other countries, the correlation is very low. Naturally, climate change becomes a very broad perspective in terms of causality between the two. It is logical to design the following four hypotheses:

Hypothesis 2: GDP per unit of energy used increases electricity production capacity systematically.

Hypothesis 3: GDP per unit of energy used increases access to electricity systematically.

Hypothesis 4: GDP per unit of energy used plays a role in systematically increasing the relationship between fossil fuels electricity generation and electricity production capacity.

Hypothesis 5: GDP per unit of energy used plays a role in systematically increasing the relationship between electricity production capacity and access to electricity.

2.4.3. Electricity Production Capacity – Access to Electricity

Salite et al. (2017) surveyed the linkages between rural and urban center ' access to electricity and service quality in Mozambique. The surprising thing is that Mozambique is a global energy center with a wealth of resources. However, during the industrial to millennial eras, household activities were disrupted by equipment breakdown due to extreme weather events that exacerbated vibrations and power outages, erratic generation, low consumer growth, and aging transmission infrastructure. The household budget is much smaller than the electricity tariff, where the perspective on increasing investment, affordability, and reliability of modern and clean energy services is still considered poor. The quality of fulfillments electrical energy reflects a poor energy regulator, so that it limited the ability of the population to decide. The government of Mozambique is also unfair and transparent regarding institutions, capacities, performance, and standards for applying electricity tariffs.

Similar to this phenomenon, low-income countries such as Malawi also face serious constraints regarding unlimited energy supplies. Other problems that have an impact are the development of industry, the economy, and the social status of the community. Taalo et al. (2015) reviewed reviews relating to energy demand and supply in Malawi. Energy supply challenges, identification of broad strategies to be implemented, key issues faced by the energy sector, and assessment of the resources exploited to the contribution of electricity supply in Malawi outlines strategies and critiques for modernizing energy supply in order to achieve sustainable economic and social growth. For the last hypothesis, proportionally planned as follows:

Hypothesis 6: electricity production capacity increases access to electricity systematically.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Data Set

Characteristics of data collected from a trusted international institution, namely The Global Economy (2022). We selected these secondary data based on five parameters relevant to electricity and economic growth with case studies in Indonesia and Malaysia. It limited the data coverage for the period 2013 to 2020. Table 1 describes the operational part of each data.

Table 1: List of Variables

Items	Information	Position
Fossil fuels electricity generation (GFEG)	Billions of kilowatt-hours of electricity sourced from fossil fuels (natural gas, coal, and oil).	Independent
GDP per unit of energy used (GDP-EU)	Value to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) based on constant purchasing power parity (PPP) in USD generated from one kilogram of oil equivalent	Independent, intervening

	to energy throughout the country. Energy use includes electrical energy, renewable energy, solid fuels, natural gas, and oil that is converted into oil equivalents.	
Electricity production capacity (EPC)	Million kilowatts of installed electricity capacity.	Independent, dependent
Access to electricity (AE)	The percentage of the population's ability to have access to electricity, where electrification data is collected from an international scale, national surveys, and the industrial sector.	Dependent




Figure 1: The Proposed Model (Source: author own).

The four attributes above are quantitative, where empirical testing is carried out to examine the relationship between each of their effects. From here, GDP-EU is an intervening variable that functions to control its effect on FFEG, EPC, and AE. In principle, the six hypotheses expose one-way (short-term) and two-way (long-term) causality. To facilitate understanding of research pathways and procedures, we summarize them in **Fig. 1**.

3.2. Econometric Model

Integration supports the method of multiple regression and path analysis. We process the statistical interpretation mechanism with IBM SPSS. These two tools are useful for predicting the relationship between FFEG to EPC, GDP-EU to EPC, then EPC to AE, and the determination of GDP-EU to FFEG and EPC and GDP-EU in bridging the effect between EPC and AE. The basic logarithm provisions are formulated as follows (e.g. Roy et al., 2021; Suparjo et al., 2021):

$$\ln Y = \ln \alpha + \beta_1 \ln X + \dots + \mu$$

From the function of the equation, it is transformed based on the capacity and identity of the variable into the following four components:

$$\ln EPC = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln FFEG_t + \beta_2 \ln GDP-EU_t + \mu_t$$

$$\ln AE = \beta_0 + \beta_3 \ln GDP-EU_t + \beta_4 \ln EPC_t + \mu_t$$

$$\ln EPC = \beta_0 + (\beta_3 \ln FFEG_t \cdot \beta_3 \ln EPC_t) + (\beta_7 \ln GDP-EU_t \cdot \beta_8 \ln EPC_t) + \mu_t$$

$$\ln AE = \beta_0 + (\beta_9 \ln GDP-EU_t \cdot \beta_{10} \ln EPC_t) + (\beta_{11} \ln EPC_t \cdot \beta_{12} \ln AE_t) + \mu_t$$

Where: The symbol at \ln = natural logarithm, α = constant/unstandardized coefficient, $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{12}$ = beta/explanatory coefficient, t = time-series, t = error.

In practice, the flow of the instrument will display all paths referring to descriptive statistics, correlation, partial testing, and intervening testing, or the total effect between variables in Indonesia and Malaysia. The demarcation of correlation uses Pearson correlation, where the data must be normally distributed. Correlation produces a positive score (+) and a negative score (-). If the number of positive correlation means the relationship is unidirectional. Unidirectional means that the higher the score on the independent variable, the larger the dependent variable (Darma et al., 2022). According to Priyagus (2021) and Roy et al. (2019), the limit for significance is if the probability is not greater than 5% or $p < 0.05$.

4. FINDINGS

7

4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 2 and **Table 3** tabulate the descriptive statistical scores of FFEG, EPC, AE, and GDP-EU between Indonesia and Malaysia. There seems to be a striking difference between the two countries. For the mean, the highest score was FFEG in Malaysia at 126.25 and the lowest was in Indonesia at 11.75.

Table 2: Summary of Descriptive Statistics (Indonesian Case)

Items	Mean	Max.	Min.	Std. Dev.
FFEG	119.75	233.5	166.9	21.81
EPC	55.53	65.89	41.84	8.17
AE	97.51	98.85	96	.98
GDP-EU	10.45	11.65	9.17	1.04
N = 8				

(Source: calculation from IBM SPSS).

Table 3: Summary of Descriptive Statistics (Malaysian Case)

Items	Mean	Max.	Min.	Std. Dev.
FFEG	126.25	133.8	117	5.83
EPC	31.14	34.53	29.52	1.92
AE	99.96	100	99.8	.07
GDP-EU	7.76	8.58	6.94	.56
N = 8				

(Source: calculation from IBM SPSS).

In addition, FFEG in Indonesia is superior to Malaysia, where the maximum score comparison is 223.5 with 113.8. From the minimum score, the most dominant is FFEG in Indonesia, reaching 116.9, while Malaysia is only at 117. Furthermore, the largest standard deviation value is FFEG in Indonesia (21.81) compared to Malaysia (5.83).

4.2. Pearson Correlation

Massively, the correlation in each variable is classified into a perfect relationship, where the score is almost close to 1 (*Correlation* > 0.9). Understanding from **Table 4**, all relationships are based on probability terms, only six relationships have a two-way relationship significantly ($p < 0.01$). The series of relationships include FFEG to EPC and AE, and EPC to FFEG and AE.

Table 4: Correlation Results in Indonesia

Items	FFEG	EPC	AE	GDP-EU
FFEG	1	.984** (.000)	.991** (.000)	.914** (.001)
EPC	.984** (.000)	1	.992** (.000)	.915** (.001)
AE	.991** (.000)	.992** (.000)	1	.913** (.002)
GDP-EU	.914** (.001)	.915** (.001)	.913** (.002)	1
N = 8				

(Source: calculation from IBM SPSS, ** $p < 0.01$).

Table 5: Correlation Results in Malaysia

Items	FFEG	EPC	AE	GDP-EU
FFEG	1	.902** (.002)	.836** (.010)	.829* (.011)
EPC	.902** (.002)	1	.715* (.046)	.706* (.050)
AE	.836**	.715*	1	.777*

	(.010)	(.046)		(.023)
GDP-EU	.829*	.706*	.777*	1
	(.011)	(.050)	(.023)	
N = 8				

(Source: calculation from IBM SPSS, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$).

Then, the interaction between FFEG, EPC, AE, and GDP-EU in Malaysia is calculated by a probability level of 5% and 10% which explains that there is a significant correlation difference (see **Table 5**). The fundamental justification in question is the moderate relationship between AE to EPC and GDP-EU to AE (*Correlation* < 0.8 and $p < 0.05$). At $p < 0.01$, it proved that only FFEG and EPC had a nearly perfect correlation (*Correlation* = 0.9).

4.3. Short and Long Term Effects

Amazingly, from the six hypotheses proposed, the realization is quite balanced with the results that 3 hypotheses are accepted and 3 other hypotheses are rejected. **Table 6** concludes that the short-term causality in the relationship of FFEG to EPC ($p = 0.006$) and EPC to AE ($p = 0.001$) is significant. The significant achievement between EPC and AE also showed this logical thing through GDP-EU in the long term ($p = 0.039$).

Table 6: Path Analysis for Indonesia

Hypotheses	Relations	Estimate	P	S.E.	Category	Label
H1	FFEG → EPC	.896	.006	.073	*	Accepted
H2	GDP-EU → EPC	.096	.642	1.521	*	Rejected
H3	GDP-EU → AE	.035	.812	.131	*	Rejected
H4	FFEG → GDP-EU → EPC	.086	.946	1.362	**	Rejected
H5	EPC → GDP-EU → AE	.003	.039	.012	**	Accepted
H6	EPC → AE	.960	.001	.017	*	Accepted

(Source: calculation from IBM SPSS, *direct path, **intervening path).

Uniquely, in Indonesia, there is an insignificant effect between GDP-EU on EPC and AE, because the probability of interpreting short-term effects is not interrelated ($p = 0.642$ and $p = 0.812$). Returning to the issue, the two-way causality for the long term on FFEG to EPC through GDP-EU, the result is also not significant ($p = 0.946$).

Table 7: Path Analysis for Malaysia

Hypotheses	Relations	Estimate	P	S.E.	Category	Label
H1	FFEG → EPC	1.010	.031	.113	*	Accepted
H2	GDP-EU → EPC	-.131	.716	1.156	*	Rejected
H3	GDP-EU → AE	.543	.202	.047	*	Rejected
H4	FFEG → GDP-EU → EPC	-.132	.909	1.167	**	Rejected
H5	EPC → GDP-EU → AE	.179	.000	.017	**	Accepted
H6	EPC → AE	.331	.411	.014	*	Rejected

(Source: calculation from IBM SPSS, *direct path, **intervening path).

Valuable things are got based on **Table 7** regarding the structure of the relationship in the first hypothesis ($p = 0.031$) and the fifth is accepted ($p = 0.000$) which implies that there is a significant effect between FFEG on EPC and EPC on AE through the long-term two-way role of GDP-EU. On the one hand, four hypotheses were rejected because they showed $p > 0.05$. The peak, GDP-EU to EPC and AE was not significant ($p = 0.716$ and $p = 0.202$), EPC to AE also did not have a two-way causality significantly ($p = 0.411$), and FFEG to EPC through GDP-EU got two-way causality the long-term direction was not significant ($p = 0.909$).

5. DISCUSSION

Fig. 2 outlines data on the development of FFEG and EPC in Indonesia and Malaysia. The increase in these two indicators seems unstoppable, especially in Indonesia. It is understandable that fossil fuel power generation in Indonesia has surged positively from time to time. During the last 8 periods, the highest total power generation in Indonesia was 233.5 billion kilowatt-hours. Compared to Malaysia, the electricity generation there is only around 133.8 billion kilowatt-hours. The average number of power plants that are still actively using fossil fuels from 83 countries in 2020 is only 171.09 billion kilowatt-hours.




Figure 2: The FFEG from Indonesia-Malaysia (Source: The Global Economy, 2022).




Figure 3: The EPC from Indonesia-Malaysia (Source: The Global Economy, 2022).

In line with this, it is very reasonable if the electricity production capacity in Indonesia has a value above that of Malaysia. Based on **Fig. 3**, the highest EPC for Malaysia only reached 34.53 million kilowatts, while in Indonesia it almost doubled at 65.89 million kilowatts. Even though this value immediately jumped, Indonesia's reputation for fighting carbon emissions deserves to be highlighted. During 2020, the world average EPC involving 190 countries was only 37.16 million kilowatts.




Figure 4: The AE from Indonesia-Malaysia (Source: The Global Economy, 2022).

Although in quantity the number of FFEG and EPC Malaysia is far less than Indonesia, but the good news actually emerges from the success of the Malaysian government in responding to the level of population demand for electricity. Malaysia can control, distribute, and distribute environmentally friendly electricity at affordable rates to

household consumers and small industries. Responding to **Fig. 4**, in 2020, the number of electricity access in Malaysia is 100%, while the Indonesian government is close to that or reaching 98.85%. In fact, the world's enthusiasm is based on 197 countries alone, the average AE is 85.68%.




Figure 5: The GDP-EU from Indonesia-Malaysia (Source: The Global Economy, 2022).

GDP growth per unit of energy in Indonesia is more dominant than Malaysia. This is due to the enthusiasm of the electricity market in Indonesia, which is quite promising because Indonesia is the country with the 4th largest population size after the USA in the 3rd rank. The GDP-EU achievement in Malaysia was 8.19% or a difference of 3.44% from Indonesia in 2020. A contribution of the average GDP-EU to 129 countries was 10.31% per kg of oil. The GDP has been converted to international dollars constantly since 2011 applying PPP. This means that the international dollar exchange rate has the same PPP as GDP as the USD (see **Fig. 5**).

Publications from Handayani et al. (2019) assume that it has not solved the analysis of the diffusion of technology learning with a renewable energy pattern in the accounting electricity pattern. As in Indonesia, the short-term and long-term scenarios for expanding electricity capacity in Java and Bali islands emphasize renewable energy targets. The result, a combination of high and medium technology learning, channeled the total cost of electricity production to explore renewable energy targets. The scenario without accommodating these lessons is much lower than meeting the target in reducing emissions.

22

Chen et al. (2017) pioneered an investigation between electricity consumption and GDP in Asia, where there are emerging industries. Selectively, the long-term bidirectional causality between electricity consumption and economic growth is interrelated. Adequate levels of electricity supply ensure greater economic growth. Meanwhile, the one-way short-term causality between economic growth and electricity consumption is efficiency. This finding suggests rationalization in electricity conservation policies to save electricity supply as a breakthrough in order to reduce electricity consumption can be applied even though the waste of electricity can have a negative impact on economic growth.

So far, in developing countries (such as Indonesia and Malaysia), strong economic growth because of the impetus of rapid industrialization and urbanization can stimulate electricity demand. Minimum energy efficiency performance standards in government programs by 2030, supported through energy security, reduction of pollutants and greenhouse gases, and savings from the monetary side (McNeil et al., 2019). These three things are the most important part of reducing electricity demand. Indonesia is taking decisive steps to build power plants independently without reducing the risk of a power shortage that can have fatal consequences for the economy and financial stability.

Most recently, Moner-Girona et al. (2016) confirm that a new method that provides a pathway in the spatial analysis process for universal access to electricity hinders the limitations of the electricity sector in Burkina Faso. The achievement of distribution of access to electrical energy in Burkina Faso from year to year is very simple. A concrete action plan supports development policies in the electricity sector with an electrification strategy. Coordination and planning of network expansion supported its deployment to achieve new energy in the future. What is contradictory is that the paradigm case has proven to be lacking a positive response from national policies,

which are still dominated by government subsidies for electricity production from fossil fuels and weak network expansion. It is not effective in achieving the national energy target. Access to stimulate local renewable resources is still experiencing many obstacles.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper is committed to assessing the causality between FFEG and GDP-EU, EPC, and AE, which is divided into 2 objectives (Indonesia-Malaysia). The similarity between these two empirical observations is that, in either Indonesia or Malaysia, FFEG can increase EPC in both directions. Although the impact is short term, FFEG has pumped up EPC significantly. In the long term, EPC also plays a significant vital role in driving AE through GDP-EU performance. Other findings conclude equally insignificant hypotheses, such as GDPE-EU to EPC and AE, and FFEG to EPC through the role of GDP-EU. This is, of course, contrary to the theoretical review. There is a difference in the results between EPC and AE, which for Indonesia is significant, while in Malaysia it is not significant.

Further limitations and recommendations need to support the expansion of the study. Both from empirical statements, theoretical, and practical contributions, we hope it combines relevant elements by deepening or actually comparing electrical energy that comes not only from fossil fuels but also from innovations that are developing. Referring to this research, the policy of the Indonesian-Malaysian government is oriented towards the use of renewable energy with the reason of accelerating the Millennium Development Goal's (MDG's) framework. Decision making in the energy sector is certainly based on the extent of public attitudes. The most important key is the expansion of information without excluding negative sentiment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to reviewers from the *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy* for all constructive recommendations. This work is an independent research that is not funded by any institution and only shared between authors. All aspects are our responsibility.

REFERENCES

- Abas, N., Kalair, A., Khan, N. (2015). Review of fossil fuels and future energy technologies. *Futures*, 69, 31-49.
- Alessio, F. J. (1981). Energy analysis and the energy theory of value. *The Energy Journal*, 2(1), 61-74.
- Artner, A. (2017). Role of Indonesia in the evolution of ASEAN. *The Journal of East Asian Affairs*, 31(1), 1-38.
- Cahyo, B. N., Setiawan, A. A., Wilopo, W., Musyafiq, A. A. (2018). Energy demand and supply forecasting based on electricity consumption intensity and production capacity for development of operating support in headquarter of Indonesian national army. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 73, 01004.
- Chen, S-T., Kuo, H-I., Chen, C-C. (2007). The relationship between GDP and electricity consumption in 10 Asian countries. *Energy Policy*, 35(4), 2611-2621.
- Darma, S., Lestari, D., Darma, D. C. (2022). The productivity of wineries – an empirical in Moldova. *Journal of Agriculture and Crops*, 8(1), 50-58.
- Esen, Ö., Bayrak, M. (2017). Does more energy consumption support economic growth in net energy-importing countries?. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 22(42), 75-98.
- Eser, P., Chokani, N., Abhari, R. S. (2017). Operational and financial performance of fossil fuel power plants within a high renewable energy mix. *Journal of the Global Power and Propulsion Society*, 1, 16-27.
- Faria, P., Vale, Z. (2011). Demand response in electrical energy supply: an optimal real time pricing approach. *Energy*, 36(8), 5374-5384.
- Gan, L., Jiang, P., Lev, B., Zhou, X. (2020). Balancing of supply and demand of renewable energy power system: a review and bibliometric analysis. *Sustainable Futures*, 2, 100013.
- Gielen, D., Boshell, F., Saygin, D., Bazilian, M. D., Wagner, N., Gorini, R. (2019). The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 24, 38-50.

- Goh, E. (2008). Great powers and hierarchical order in Southeast Asia: analyzing regional security strategies. *International Security*, 32(3), 113-157.
- Gomelsky, R., Figueroa, F. (2012). Fossil fuel power plants: prospects for potential available technologies and thermal plants in Latin America. Inter-American Development Bank. Technical Note: No. IDB - TN - 584. Retrieved from <https://publications.iadb.org/publications/english/document/Fossil-Fuel-Power-Plants-Available-Technologies-and-Thermal-Plant-Prospective-Potential-in-Latin-America.pdf>
- Handayani, K., Krozer, Y., Filatova, T. (2019). From fossil fuels to renewables: an analysis of long-term scenarios considering technological learning. *Energy Policy*, 127, 134-146.
- Hirsh, R. F., Koomey, J. G. (2015). Electricity consumption and economic growth: a new relationship with significant consequences?. *The Electricity Journal*, 28(9), 72-84.
- Khanna, M., Rao, N. D. (2019). Supply and demand of electricity in the developing world. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 1(1), 567-595.
- Mansur, K. (2009). Investing in BIMP-EAGA for sustainable growth: the future dynamics of sub-regional development. BIMP-EAGA International Conference (pp. 1-11) in Kota Kinabalu Saba, Malaysia.
- McNeil, M. A., Karali, N., Letschert, V. (2019). Forecasting Indonesia's electricity load through 2030 and peak demand reductions from appliance and lighting efficiency. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 49, 65-77.
- Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources – Republic of Indonesia. (2016). Indonesia - Malaysia kerja sama perkuat kelistrikan di perbatasan [Indonesia and Malaysia cooperate to strengthen electricity at the border]. Retrieved from <https://www.esdm.go.id/id/media-center/arsip-berita/indonesia-malaysia-kerja-sama-perkuat-kelistrikan-di-perbatasan>.
- Moner-Girona, M., Bódis, K., Huld, T., Kougias, I., Szabó, S. (2016). Universal access to electricity in Burkina Faso: scaling-up renewable energy technologies. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(8), 084010.
- Mueller, T. (1991). Energy and electricity supply and demand: implications for the global environment. *IAEA Bulletin*, 33(3), 9-13.
- Pradipta, L. (2015). ASEAN community: managing natural resources for sustainable development. *Jurnal Kajian Wilayah*, 6(2), 121-129.
- Priyagus, P. (2021). Does economic growth efficient and environmental safety? the case of transportation sector in Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 11(6), 365-372.
- Roy, J., Lestari, D., Busari, A. (2019). Measuring the impact of fiscal decentralization on economic growth and income inequality using the heuristic network. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(5), 1016–1024.
- Roy, J., Rochaida, E., Suharto, R. B., Rizkiawan, R. (2021). Digital and electronic transactions against velocity of money. *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review*, 5(2 Special Issue), 145–155.
- Salite, D., Kirshner, J., Cotton, M., Howe, L., Cuamba, B., Feijó, J., Macome, A. Z. (2021). Electricity access in Mozambique: a critical policy analysis of investment, service reliability and social sustainability. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 78, 102123.
- Setyawan, D. (2019). Assessing the current Indonesia's electricity market arrangements and the opportunities to reform. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Development*, 3(1), 55-64.
- Shadman, S., Hanafiah, M. M., Chin, C. M. M., Yap, E. H., Sakundarini, N. (2021). Conceptualising the sustainable energy security dimensions of Malaysia: a thematic analysis through stakeholder engagement to draw policy implications. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 12027.
- Suparjo, S., Darma, S., Kurniadin, N., Kasuma, J., Priyagus, P., Darma, D. C., Haryadi, H. (2021). Indonesia's new SDGs agenda for green growth – emphasis in the energy sector. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 11(3), 395-402.
- Szustak, G., Dąbrowski, P., Gradoń, W., Szewczyk, Ł. (2021). The relationship between energy production and GDP: evidence from selected European economies. *Energies*, 15(1), 50.

Taulo, J., Gondwe, K., Sebitosi, A. (2015). Energy supply in Malawi: options and issues. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa*, 26(2), 19-32.

The Conservation. (2020). Riset: masyarakat Indonesia masih kekurangan energi listrik dan energi bersih untuk memasak [Research: Indonesian people still lack electricity and clean energy for cooking]. Retrieved from <https://theconversation.com/riset-masyarakat-indonesia-masih-kekurangan-energi-listrik-dan-energi-bersih-untuk-memasak-135734>.

The Global Economy. (2022). Economic indicators in Indonesia and Malaysia. Retrieved from <https://www.theglobaleconomy.com/>.

Timmons, D., Harris, J. M., Roach, B. (2014). The economics of renewable energy. Global Development and Environment Institute. Tufts University, Medford.

Widiyana, P., Djatmiko, A. (2019). The role of Indonesia in the ASEAN economic community. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*, 18(4), 14-18.

Wiko, G., Kinanti, F. M. (2021). Elimination of non-tariff barriers of trade in goods in the Asean region: will it ever work?. *Jurnal Hukum & Pembangunan*, 51(2), 415-432.

Wilson, I. A., Styring P. (2017). Why synthetic fuels are necessary in future energy systems. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 5, 19.

ZA, S. Z., Amalia, S., Darma, D. C., Azis, M. (2021). Spurring economic growth in terms of happiness, human development, competitiveness, and global innovation: the asean case. *ASEAN Journal on Science and Technology for Development*, 38(1), 1-6.

Zou, C., Zhao, Q., Zhang, G., Xiong, B. (2016). Energy revolution: From a fossil energy era to a new energy era. *Natural Gas Industry B*, 3(1), 1-11.

Zweifel P., Praktijnjo A., Erdmann G. (2017) Economics of electrical grids. In: *Energy Economics*. Springer Texts in Business and Economics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Ayu Permatasari, Chatrina Febriani Pratiwi, Medika Era Wijaya. "REVIEW OF INDONESIA'S EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENTS IN FACING ASEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY", Lampung Journal of International Law, 2021 Publication	1%
2	econjournals.com Internet Source	1%
3	publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu Internet Source	<1%
4	Richard F. Hirsh, Jonathan G. Koomey. "Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth: A New Relationship with Significant Consequences?", The Electricity Journal, 2015 Publication	<1%
5	www.globalinnovationindex.org Internet Source	<1%
6	www.pastic.gov.pk Internet Source	<1%
7	www.mdpi.com	

<1 %

8

faculty-research.ipag.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

9

ideas.repec.org

Internet Source

<1 %

10

Budi Nur Cahyo, Ahmad Agus Setiawan, Wahyu Wilopo, Afrizal Abdi Musyafiq. "Energy Demand and Supply Forecasting Based on Electricity Consumption Intensity and Production Capacity for Development of Operating Support in Headquarter of Indonesian National Army", E3S Web of Conferences, 2018

Publication

<1 %

11

Rafael Alvarado, Pablo Ponce, Raquel Alvarado, Katerine Ponce, Viviana Huachizaca, Elisa Toledo. "Sustainable and non-sustainable energy and output in Latin America: A cointegration and causality approach with panel data", Energy Strategy Reviews, 2019

Publication

<1 %

12

aaltodoc.aalto.fi

Internet Source

<1 %

13

dspace.mit.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

14	researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
15	studentsrepo.um.edu.my Internet Source	<1 %
16	vital.seals.ac.za:8080 Internet Source	<1 %
17	www.emerald.com Internet Source	<1 %
18	www.scielo.org.bo Internet Source	<1 %
19	www.supermanlee.com Internet Source	<1 %
20	Grażyna Szustak, Piotr Dąbrowski, Witold Gradoń, Łukasz Szewczyk. "The Relationship between Energy Production and GDP: Evidence from Selected European Economies", <i>Energies</i> , 2021 Publication	<1 %
21	Muhammad Mohsin, Sobia Naseem, Muddassar Sarfraz, Muhammad Zia-UR-Rehman, Sajjad Ahmad Baig. "Does energy use and economic growth allow for environmental sustainability? An empirical analysis of Pakistan", <i>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</i> , 2022 Publication	<1 %

22

Muhammad Shahbaz, Mete Feridun.
"Electricity consumption and economic
growth empirical evidence from Pakistan",
Quality & Quantity, 2011

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Turnitin

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12