

+ Tulis

📧 Kotak Masuk

★ Berbintang

🕒 Ditunda

📌 Penting

➤ Terkirim

📄 Draf

📁 Kategory

Meet

📺 Rapat baru

🗣️ Gabung ke rapat

Hangout

As ▾

+



19 dari 3.033 < > ✎

ASEECT NOTIFICATION : REQUEST REVISION ▶ Kotak Masuk x



Widya Rika Puspita, S.Pd., M.Si., Ph.D

kepada saya ▾

Jum, 17 Des 2021 14.32



🌐 Inggris ▾ > Indonesia ▾ [Terjemahkan pesan](#) x

Asmaidi S.Pd M.Si:


We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Applied Sciences, Electrical Engineering and Computer Technology, "Pemodelan Matematika Penyebaran COVID19 Tipe SV1V2EIR".


Our decision is: Revisions Required

Widya Rika Puspita


Teknik Elektro, Politeknik Negeri Batam

widya@polibatam.ac.id

 **Kotak Masuk**

 Berbintang

 Ditunda


 Penting


 Terkirim

 Draf

 **Kategori**


Meet

 Rapat baru

 Gabung ke rapat

Hangout

 As ▾ +

 Mukhlis Hidayat NT



Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Does the title clearly represent the content of the article? Give suggestions if necessary.

Yes

Comments

2. Does the abstract describe the entire article

Yes

Comments

3. Does the introduction provide the necessary background for the article and provide a rationale and possible outcomes to the present study.

Yes

Comments

4. The originality of the ideas presented in the article



Tulis

Kotak Masuk

Berbintang

Ditunda

Penting

Terkirim

Draf

Kategori

Meet

Rapat baru

Gabung ke rapat

Hangout

As

Mukhlis Hidayat NT



19 dari 3.033

Comments

4. The originality of the ideas presented in the article

Very High

5. Novelty of the ideas presented in the article

Novel work

6. Does the method clearly and accurately describe in the article?

Yes

Comments

7. Are the results explained clearly and investigated with appropriate analysis?

Yes

Comments

8. Do the results answer the problems stated in the introduction?

Yes



+ Tulis

📧 Kotak Masuk

★ Berbintang

🕒 Ditunda

📌 Penting

📤 Terkirim

📄 Draf

📁 Kateaori

Meet

📺 Rapat baru

📅 Gabung ke rapat

Hangout

👤 As +

📧 Mukhlis Hidayat NT



19 dari 3.033 < > ✎

Comments

9. Do the figures and tables properly show the data and well-interpreted? Is the image quality sufficient

Yes

Comments

Yes

10. Please indicate the revision should be made or the reviewer could directly give comments in the document.

Hasil Review.

Penelitian yg dilakukan peneliti menarik, dengan mengambil isi yang sedang terjadi.

Pada pendahuluan terdapat tujuan untuk membuat pemodelan matematika penyebaran COVID19 dengan mempertimbangkan faktor vaksinasi sebagai upaya penanggulangan penyebaran COVID19. Hal ini mungkin dapat lebih diperjelas, vaksinasi hanya sebagai variabel saja.

Selain itu, penelitian ini merupakan literatur review, maka perlu literatur yang banyak untuk menunjang penelitian ini. Untuk itu, perlu penambahan literatur dan penjelasan pada metode sebaiknya ditambahkan dan diperjelas.

Dengan menambahkan flow chart pada metode akan memudahkan dalam penjelasan yang runut dan rinci.

Secara keseluruhan tulisan ini bagus, dengan sedikit penambahan akan lebih baik.



- Tulis
- Kotak Masuk**
- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Penting
- Terkirim
- Draf
- Kategori**
- Meet
 - Rapat baru
 - Gabung ke rapat
- Hangout
 - As +
 - Mukhlis Hidayat NT

← 📎 ⚠️ 🗑️ 📧 ⌚ 📝 📧 📧 ⋮ 19 dari 3.033 < > ✎

Secara keseluruhan tulisan ini bagus, dengan sedikit penambahan akan lebih baik.

Reviewer D:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

1. Does the title clearly represent the content of the article? Give suggestions if necessary.
Yes

Comments
2. Does the abstract describe the entire article
Yes

Comments
3. Does the introduction provide the necessary background for the article and provide a rationale and possible outcomes to the present study.

- 📅
- 📧
- 🔔
- 👤
- +
- >

- Tulis
- Kotak Masuk**
- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Penting
- Terkirim
- Draf
- Kategori**
- Meet**
 - Rapat baru
 - Gabung ke rapat
- Hangout**
 - As +
 - Mukhlis Hidayat NT

← 19 dari 3.033 < > ✎

Comments
3. Does the introduction provide the necessary background for the article and provide a rationale and possible outcomes to the present study.
Yes

Comments
4. The originality of the ideas presented in the article
Very High

5. Novelty of the ideas presented in the article
Novel work

6. Does the method clearly and accurately describe in the article?
Yes

Comments
7. Are the results explained clearly and investigated with appropriate analysis?
Yes

-
-
-
-
- +
- >

Tulis

- Kotak Masuk
- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Penting
- Terkirim
- Draf
- Kategori
- Meet
 - Rapat baru
 - Gabung ke rapat

- Hangout
 - As
 - Mukhlis Hidayat NT

Navigation icons: back, forward, search, delete, archive, refresh, print, share, etc.

Yes

Comments

8. Do the results answer the problems stated in the introduction?

Yes

Comments

9. Do the figures and tables properly show the data and well-interpreted? Is the image quality sufficient

Yes

Comments

Kualitas gambar cukup jelas

10. Please indicate the revision should be made or the reviewer could directly give comments in the document.

