

The screenshot shows the website for the Indonesian Journal of Chemistry. The page title is "#23092 Review". The submission details are as follows:

Authors	Aman Sentosa Panggabean, Subur P Pasaribu, Farida Kristiana
Title	The Utilization of Nitrogen Gas as a Carrier Gas in the Determination of Hg Ions Using Cold Vapor-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (CV-AAS)
Section	Articles
Editor	Dwi Siswanta

Navigation tabs include SUMMARY, REVIEW, and EDITING. A sidebar on the right contains links for ARTICLE IN PRESS, FUTURE ISSUES, Focus & Scope, Author Guidelines, Author Fees, Online Submission, Publication Ethics, and Plagiarism Policy.

The screenshot shows the "Peer Review" section of the website. It details two rounds of review:

Round	Review Version	Date
Round 1	23092-44786-1-RV.DOCX	2017-03-17
	2017-03-29	2017-03-29
	2017-04-03	2017-04-03
	None	
Round 2	23092-44786-2-RV.DOCX	2017-05-12
	2017-05-12	2017-05-12
	2017-10-09	2017-10-09

The "Editor Decision" section shows:

Decision	Accept Submission 2017-12-14
Notify Editor	Editor/Author Email Record 2017-12-14
Editor Version	23092-50193-2-ED.DOCX 2017-05-12
Author Version	23092-50135-2-ED.DOCX 2017-12-14 DELETE

The sidebar on the right includes a user menu for "gabe1975" with options for My Journals, My Profile, and Log Out. There is also an "AUTHOR" section with a "Submissions" link showing 0 active items.

Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor

[Delete](#)

2017-04-03 07:33 AM Subject: [IJC] Editor Decision

Aman Sentosa Panggabean:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Indonesian Journal of Chemistry, "THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC

ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)".

Our decision is: Resubmit

Dwi Siswanta
Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry,
Department of Chemistry,
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Phone +628157951198
Fax +62545188
dsiswanta@ugm.ac.id

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Editor

[Delete](#)

2017-04-03 07:35 AM

Subject: [IJC] Editor Decision

Aman Sentosa Panggabean:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Indonesian Journal of Chemistry, "THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)".

Our decision is: Resubmit

Dwi Siswanta
Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry,
Department of Chemistry,
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Phone +628157951198
Fax +62545188
dsiswanta@ugm.ac.id

Reviewer A:

1. The quality of English language in this manuscript is very poor. Please, improve the language including the grammar in this manuscript
 2. References cited are not up to date. Please add up to date references up to more than 80% in last ten years
 3. Please add reputed journals in the references up to more than 80%
-
-

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Author

[Delete](#)

2017-05-11 01:48 PM

Subject: THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

Re submit my manuscript.....

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Editor

[Delete](#)

2017-07-21 07:09 AM

Subject: [IJC] Editor Decision

Dear Aman Sentosa Panggabean:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Indonesian Journal of Chemistry, "THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

The comments of reviewers can be read below or/and in attachment

The Revised article has to be submitted within three weeks after receiving this email.

Best regards,

Dwi Siswanta
Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry,
Department of Chemistry,
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Phone +628157951198
Fax +62545188
dsiswanta@ugm.ac.id

Reviewer A:

Additional Comment::

1. What is the novelty of this research? Are there any similar publications

regarding utilization of nitrogen gas as a carrier gas in the determination of Hg ions by using Cold Vapor-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (CV-AAS) method?

2. For analytical performance data, please add recovery result!
3. For presentation of data, please write with the correct significance number (see manuscript)
4. Some typing mistakes (see manuscript)

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Author

[Delete](#)

2017-08-08 11:21 AM

Subject: THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

Dear Editor,

I would like to submit again my manuscript that has been revised.

Our response for Reviewer A :

1. The novelty of our research are until this time that there has never been a literature that determines Hg ions by CV-HG-AAS method using nitrogen as a carrier gas. Generally used Ar gas as a carrier gas. In paragraph 5 of the introduction also explained that the nitrogen gas used is the result of PT Badak NGL, which can be used directly, and can be providing a direct advantage both in terms of cost analysis and gas ordering time.
2. Measurement of recovery data parameter is done on the determination of accuracy. In this research used standard Hg 6 and 9 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and CRM $2.48 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g/L}$.
3. The number of CRM concentration from $2.48 \pm 0.0383 \mu\text{g/L}$ has been corrected to significance number was $2.48 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g/L}$.
4. Some incorrect wording has been fixed in the draf article.

Thanks for your kindness.

Best regards,

Aman Sentosa Panggabean
Kimia FMIPA Universitas Mulawarman-Samarinda

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry

<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Editor

[Delete](#)

2017-10-09 12:07 PM

Subject: [IJC] Editor Decision

Dear Aman Sentosa Panggabean:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Indonesian Journal of Chemistry, "THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

The comments of reviewers can be read below or/and in attachment

The Revised manuscript has to be submitted within three weeks after receiving this email and it has to be completed with responses for reviewer comments, point by point, in the beginning pages of the manuscript.

Best regards,

Dwi Siswanta
Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry,
Department of Chemistry,
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Phone +628157951198
Fax +62545188
dsiswanta@ugm.ac.id

Reviewer A:

Additional Comment::

1. What is the novelty of this research? Are there any similar publications regarding utilization of nitrogen gas as a carrier gas in the determination of Hg ions by using Cold Vapor-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (CV-AAS) method?
2. For analytical performance data, please add recovery result!
3. For presentation of data, please write with the correct significance number (see manuscript)
4. Some typing mistakes (see manuscript)

Reviewer B:

Additional Comment::

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry

<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>

Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Author

[Delete](#)

2017-11-02 10:15 AM

Subject: THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

Dear Editor,

I would like to submit again my manuscript that has been revised.

Reviewer A:

Our response:

1. The novelty of our research is until this time that there has never been a literature that determines Hg ions by CV-HG-AAS method using nitrogen as a carrier gas. Generally used Ar gas as a carrier gas. In paragraph 5 of the introduction also explained that the nitrogen gas used is the result of PT Badak NGL, which can be used directly, and can be providing a direct advantage both in terms of cost analysis and gas ordering time.
2. Measurement of recovery data parameter is done on the determination of accuracy. In this research used standard Hg 6 and 9 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and CRM $2.48 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g/L}$.
3. The number of CRM concentration from $2.48 \pm 0.0383 \mu\text{g/L}$ has been corrected to significance number was $2.48 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g/L}$.
4. Some incorrect wording has been fixed in the draft article.

Reviewer B:

Our response:

1. In this research, argon gas is not used as carrier gas. In the materials section, argon gas has been removed.
2. Analysis Hg using CV-AAS generally used argon gas as a carrier gas. Many research's have been reported and that method has been validated completely. In this research, our focus is the utilization of nitrogen gas as carrier gas in Hg analysis with CV-AAS. This is based on a case study conducted at PT. Badak NGL., which also produces nitrogen gas. What if the nitrogen gas is used replaces gas argon gas as a carrier gas in routine analysis of Hg, if it provides good data validation, and is feasible to be used as a carrier gas.

Editor
2017-12-13 11:56 AM Subject: THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

Please upload your revised manuscript into the OJS system as soon as possible.

Author [Delete](#)

2017-12-14 07:14 AM Subject: THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

The revised manuscript has been upload again

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Editor [Delete](#)

2017-12-14 02:28 PM Subject: [IJC] Editor Decision

Aman Sentosa Panggabean:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Indonesian Journal of Chemistry, "THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Dwi Siswanta
Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry,
Department of Chemistry,
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Phone +628157951198
Fax +62545188
dsiswanta@ugm.ac.id

Indonesian Journal of Chemistry
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijc>
Indexed by SCOPUS since 2012

Reviewer A.

THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

ABSTRACT

The research about utilization of nitrogen gas as a carrier gas in the determination of Hg ions by using Cold Vapor-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (CV-AAS) method has been conducted. To optimize the measurement results, several parameters that affect to hydride generator have been studied. Some specified important parameters are SnCl₂ concentration as reductant, acid concentration, and the analytical performance such as repeatability and reproducibility (% RSD), linearity (r), limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantitation (LOQ), and accuracy have been studied. The results of research showed that the nitrogen gas can be used instead of argon gas as a carrier gas. It was shown by the repeatability values as % RSD < 2/3 CV Horwitz values, the LOD was 0.0338 µg/L and the LOQ was 0.0838 µg/L. The accuracy of this method was good shown by a recovery percentage was 102.24 %. Based on result of this research, the nitrogen gas can be used as a carrier gas for the determination of Hg ions by using CV-AAS method with valid results.

Keywords: Hg, Carrier gas, Nitrogen, CV-AAS.

ABSTRAK

Penelitian tentang pemanfaatan gas nitrogen sebagai gas pembawa dalam penentuan ion Hg dengan menggunakan metode Bejana Uap Dingin-Spektrofotometer Serapan atom (CV-AAS) telah dilakukan. Untuk mengoptimalkan hasil pengukuran, beberapa parameter yang berpengaruh dalam pembangkit hidrida telah dipelajari. Beberapa parameter penting yang ditentukan adalah konsentrasi SnCl₂ sebagai reduktor, konsentrasi asam, dan kinerja analitik yang meliputi penentuan kedapatulangan dan kebolehlungan (% RSD), linearitas (r), limit deteksi (LOD) dan limit kuantitasi (LOQ), dan akurasi. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan gas nitrogen dapat digunakan sebagai pengganti gas argon sebagai gas pembawa, ditunjukkan dari nilai kedapatulangan sebagai % RSD < 2/3 nilai KV Horwitz, LOD sebesar 0,0338 mg/L dan LOQ adalah 0,0838 mg/L. Ketepatan metode ini sangat baik yang ditunjukkan dengan persentase perolehan kembali 102,24%. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian ini, gas nitrogen dapat digunakan sebagai gas pembawa untuk penentuan ion Hg dengan menggunakan metode CV-AAS dengan hasil yang valid.

Kata kunci: Hg, Gas pembawa, Nitrogen, CV-AAS.

INTRODUCTION

Mercury (Hg) is toxic element that can be found in different chemical forms at trace levels and it is very reactive in the environment. Hg is obtained in nature and distributed throughout the environment in the inorganic and organic forms (for example methyl mercury) of the element [1]. Hg can be found mostly in the atmosphere, water, soil, sediments, plants, pharmaceuticals, industrial waste, mining materials and animals. The main indirect source of

human contamination of Hg is through the consumption of fish products [2,3]. Undoubtedly, the natural occurrence of Hg can be found in crude oil and its presence in fuels [4]. Thus, the need for the development and validation of analytical methodologies for the determination and control of this toxic metal in samples is evident.

Several methods for the determination of Hg have been reported in the literature, such as potentiometric titration using iodide ion-selective electrodes and anodic stripping voltammetry [5], and some spectrometric techniques, such as cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV-AAS) [6, 7], electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS) [8], atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS) [9], inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [10] and electrothermal vaporization coupled to ICP-MS (ETV-ICP-MS) [11] were already employed for total Hg determination in the samples.

The hydride generation of cold vapor of mercury coupled to spectrometric techniques is usually employed. Cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV-AAS) is the most widely used technique for the determination of Hg because of its main advantages including the possibility of separation of the analyte from its matrix with the inherent minimization of matrix interferences and the possibility of analyte pre-concentration, leading to increased measurement and the results obtained even at the low levels of concentration [12-14].

The fundamental principle of determination of Hg in the samples is the formation of metal-hydride by reductant Sn(II) chloride or NaBH₄ in gas-liquid separator placed in hydride generator system and produce Hg-hydride. The formed Hg-hydride will then go to a quartz cell placed on either the AAS driven by carrier gas of argon to read absorption value [15,16]. The atomization occurred in the quartz cell is caused by the light from hollow cathode that passes it through. The advantage of this method is that the detection limit and sensitiveness can be enhanced because the metal has been separated from each other in their hydride forms [17].

Analysis of Hg in Laboratory of Environment Control, PT. Badak NGL-East Kalimantan has been using CV-AAS method with argon as a carrier gas. Argon gas is an inert gas that has high enough purity, but quite expensive and takes times because it must be purchased from partner. Frequent reliance on the availability of argon gas, if there is no supply of argon gas, analysis cannot be done immediately. Based on, raised a new idea for replacing the carrier gas with cheaper gas and does not depend on the procurement process. In this case nitrogen gas is already available in the laboratory because it is one of the products of the utility unit in PT. Badak NGL refinery and used for the LNG/LPG process usage.

In this research, It has been developed a method using nitrogen gas as a carrier gas. Nitrogen gas is obtained from the refinery processes, namely PT Badak NGL #29th plant

utility. Therefore, a study to determine the effect of utilization of nitrogen as a carrier gas in determination of Hg using CV-AAS method needs to be conducted, as well as to determine the validity of developed method for appropriate used in analysis.

What is the novelty of this research? Are there any similar publications regarding *utilization of nitrogen gas as a carrier gas in the determination of Hg ions by using Cold Vapor-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (CV-AAS) method?*

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials

A solution of CRM Mercury in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937 with a concentration value of 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and Acceptance Limits of 2.15-2.81 $\mu\text{g/L}$, Hg stock solution 1000 mg/L, SnCl_2 (concentration series of 6-14% w/v), HNO_3 , HCl, nitrogen gas, argon gas; all of the reagents were of analytical-reagent grade (E. Merck), and aquabidest.

Instrumentation

Spectrophotometer AA 240-FS variant complete with Vapour Generator Accesory VGA-76. Other equipment such as analytical balance, the volume pipettes, stopwatch, oven, hot plate with stirrer, were used for all measurements.

Procedure

Quality Determination of Nitrogen Gas

Nitrogen gas was stored in pressurized gas cylinder mounted regulator and equipped with a filter and moisture trap, then analyzed using gas chromatography instrument.

Preparation of Blank Solution

The prepared blank solution is aquabidest containing 5% (v/v) HCl. Aquabidest 300 mL was entered to 500 mL volumetric flask, add 65 mL 37% HCl and matched in volume with aquabidest, whipped until homogeneous.

Preparation of Hg Stock Solution 1000 mg/L

0.1354 g HgCl_2 was diluted with 70 mL aquabidest, added with 1 mL HNO_3 and it was diluted to 100 mL with aquabidest.

Preparation of CRM Mercury standard solutions in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937

10 mL CRM standard solution was diluted in 1000 mL aquabidest and 1 ml HNO_3 . This solution has a concentration value of 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and Acceptance Limits 2.15 - 2.81 $\mu\text{g/L}$ accordance with value stated in the Certificate of Analysis.

Preparation of series Hg CRM standard solution in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937

Certain volume of Hg CRM standard solution diluted in aquabidest with adding concentrated HNO_3 to obtain series of concentration (1.24, 2.48, 3.72, and 1.86 $\mu\text{g/L}$).

Determination of Read Delay Time

Read Delay Time measured for 0-60 seconds after standard solution added into mixing system (in the reaction coil). The maximum absorbance was subsequently used to the analytical performance test methods of Hg determination.

Determination of SnCl_2 Concentration as Reductant

Prepared solutions of SnCl_2 series concentrations (5 to 12% (w/v)) measured their absorption. Maximum absorbance then subsequently used to the analytical performance test methods of Hg determination.

Determination of HCl Concentration

Concentration of HCl (2 to 7% (v/v)) solutions measured their absorption. Maximum absorbance then subsequently used to the analytical performance test methods of Hg determination.

Calibration curves and Regression Line Equation

Hg standard with series concentrations of 0.0 - 12.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and Hg CRM concentrations of 0:00; 1:24; 1.86; 2:48; 3.72 $\mu\text{g/L}$ measured by CV-AAS to obtain absorbance values.

Repeatability Test

Repeatability test is performed by 10 times measuring a standard solutions of Hg 6.0 and 9.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and Hg CRM standard solutions in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937.

Accuracy Test

Accuracy test was done in two ways: first, by measuring 10 times Hg standard solutions of 6.0 and 9.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ then calculated % recovery. The second way is by measuring 10 times Hg Certified Reference Material (CRM) solution by CV-AAS, then calculating the average and compared with specified Certified Value in the Certificate of Analysis Standards.

Limit of Detection and Quantization

Detection and quantization limits were determined by measuring aquabidest reference solution with spike until Hg levels up to 0.1 mg/L, and 5% (v/v) HCl and analyzed 10 times by CV-AAS.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrogen Gas Quality Analysis

Nitrogen gas obtained from PT Badak NGL #29th plant and analyzed first by using HP 6890 Agilent gas chromatography before it is used as a carrier gas in Hg analysis by CV-AAS method. Gas chromatography (GC) is a widely used method for determining the composition of complex mixtures of chemical species in gaseous phase. In the course of a GC analysis, the components of the mixture are separated on the basis of differences in the rate at which they are carried through a stationary phase by a mobile gas phase. [18]. The results show that nitrogen gas has very high purity up to 99.9495%.

Read Delay Time

Read delay time is a required time for Hg vapor flowing through VGA pipe tube of reaction coil to absorption cells until absorbance signal reading is done. The reaction coil is a mixing place of the sample, H^+ and $SnCl_2$ reductant, Hg^{2+} ions are reduced to Hg^0 and free atoms Hg^0 gaseous is going to absorption cell for absorbance measurement [16]. Time delay greatly affects the low and high of absorbance. Determination of the delay time by measurement of absorbance from Hg standards 6.00; 9.00 $\mu g/L$ and Hg CRM $2.48 \pm 0.04 \mu g/L$ with a time delay variation 5 - 50 seconds as shown in **Figure 1**.

The Optimum absorbance of reading time delay was in 20 seconds.

Comment [Office1]: Write with correct significance number

Influence of $SnCl_2$ Concentration as Reductant.

The generation of Hg cold vapour depends on the addition of a suitable amount of reducing agent, since mercury is found in solution in the form of $Hg(II)$ ions and needs to be reduced to gaseous elemental Hg [6]. $SnCl_2$ was employed for this purpose. Determination of the influence of $SnCl_2$ concentration in reducing Hg^{2+} ion into Hg^0 was performed by optimization test with varying $SnCl_2$ concentration of 5 – 12 % (w/v) with H^+ and Hg standard concentration made permanent. The results of research shown in **Figure 2**.

The optimal concentration of $SnCl_2$ gives maximum absorbance of Hg CRM $2.48 \pm 0.0383 \mu g/L$ was 10% (w/v). Therefore, a 10% (w/v) $SnCl_2$ solution was employed in all further experiments.

Influence of Acid Concentration

The vapour generation of Hg also depends on the acidity of the medium since the decomposition of $SnCl_2$ into hydrostanno intermediates, which are responsible for the reduction of $Hg(II)$ ions, is accelerated in acidic medium [19]. Hence, to keep the medium acid sufficient for promoting suitable Hg vapour evolution, HCl solution was added to the sample (or standard solution) before addition of $SnCl_2$ solution. In the research stage, HCl as

acids prepared in several concentrations 2-7 % (v/v), while standard concentrations of Hg and SnCl₂ reductant made permanent. The results obtained in this experiment (**Figure 2**) showed that the highest response could be achieved when HCl concentration was 5% (v/v) with produced to highest Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.04 µg/L. When the HCl concentration was higher than this value, a decrease of Hg signals was verified. In view of these results, a 5% (v/v) HCl solution was selected for the method.

Determination of Calibration Curves

Determination of calibration curve and the regression line is done by measuring the Hg standard and Hg CRM in various concentrations. Measurement results shown in **Figure 4**. The concentrations of Hg standards are in range 1.0 – 12.0 µg/L. The linear regression equation: $y = 0.0154x + 0.0013$ with coefficient of correlation (R^2) = 0.9997 (**Figure 4a**). Hg CRM calibration data is shown in **Figure 4b**. can be seen that the area of the linear range of concentration CRM Hg are 1.24 - 3.72 µg/L, the obtained the linear regression equation are $y = 0.0135x - 0.0001$ with coefficient of correlation (R^2) = 0.9986. The measurement results of Hg standard and Hg CRM very good for Hg analysis, shown by the coefficient of correlation (R^2) are > 0995 [20, 21].

Repeatability Measurement

Repeatability is determined by measuring concentration of Hg standard solution 6.0 and 9.0 µg/L and Hg CRM solution 2.48 ± 0.04 µg/L repeatedly with optimum conditions.

Table 1 showed, % RSD of Hg standard solution 6.0 µg/L was 0.351% < 22.962, % RSD of Hg standard 9.0 µg/L was 0.131 % < 21.578 and % RSD of Hg CRM solution 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L was 0.5495% < 26.2700. All of % RSD of each Hg standard < 2/3 of CV Horwitz. This result indicated the good repeatability and acceptable measurement.

Determination of Accuracy

Accuracy is the closeness of analysis data with actual values. Accuracy value is determined in two ways: the first way is to measure the concentration of Hg standard solution 6.0 and 9.0 µg/L, then % recovery value was calculated. **Table 2** showed % recovery measurement of Hg standard 9.0 µg/L was 103.01 ± 0.14 and % recovery measurement of standard 6.0 µg/L was 102.24 ± 0.36 . Each Hg standard result was in the range of required recommendation % recovery is 95-105% [21], and the accuracy was accepted.

The second way is to measure standard of Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.04 µg/L repeatedly, then by comparing the mean of measurements with CRM values and acceptance limits stated in the certificate of CRM, which was shown in **Table 2**. The mean Value of CRM was $2.5095 \pm$

0.013 µg/L and appropriate in the value of CRM certification limits 2.48 ± 0.04 µg/L and accordance within the concentrations range of CRM acceptance limits were 2.4417 to 2.5183 µg/L, so the accuracy was accepted.

Determination of Limit of Detection and Quantization

Limit of detection (LOD) is determined by measuring the smallest absorbance value which still can be defined and distinguished from signals given by blank with some measurements [22].

Table 3. showed that the limit of detection was 0.0338 µg/L and the limit of quantization was 0.0838 µg/L. This result showed the lowest concentration of sample that can still be detected by instruments and the analysis methods was 0.0338 µg/L while the lowest concentration of analyte that can be determined with acceptable accuracy was 0.0838 µg/L.

Recovery Data?

CONCLUSION

The results of research showed that the nitrogen gas can be used as a carrier gas replacing argon gas in determination of Hg ions, which are shown the repeatability values as % RSD < 2/3 CV Horwitz values, the LOD was 0.0338 µg/L and the LOQ was 0.0838 µg/L. The accuracy of this method is good shown by a recovery percentage was 102.24 %, the CRM readings are 2.5095 µg/L and accordance within the CRM certificate of the range 2.48 ± 0.04 µg/L. Based on this research, the nitrogen gas can be used as a carrier gas for the determination of Hg ions in the samples by using CV-AAS with valid results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Chairman and staff of PT. Badak NGL Bontang, East Kalimantan for the laboratory facilities and the samples provided in the implementation of this research.

REFERENCES

1. Li, P., Feng, X., and Qiu, G., 2010, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **7**. pp. 2666–2691.
2. Chen Y., Dong X., Dai Y., Hu Q., and Yu H., 2008, *Asian J. of Chem.*, **20(6)**. pp. 4639-4646.

3. Tessier A, and Turner, D.T., 1995, *Metal Speciation and Bioavailability in Aquatic Systems*. IUPAC Series on Analytical and Physical Chemistry of Environmental System. Vol. **3**, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chicester.
4. Shpirt, M.Y.A., and Punanova, S.A., 2011, *Solid Fuel Chem.* **45**. pp. 330–336.
5. Nascimento, F.H., and Masini, J.C., 2012, *Talanta*. **100**. pp. 57–63.
6. Vicentino, P.O., Brum, D.M., and Cassella, R.J., 2015, *Talanta*. **132**. pp. 733–738.
7. Oreste, E.Q., Jesus, A., Oliveira, R.M., da Silva, M.M., Vieira, M.A., and Ribeiro, A.S., 2013, *Microchem. J.* **109**. pp. 5–9.
8. Santos, W.N.L., Dias, F.S., Reboucas, M.V., Pereira, M.G., Lemos, V.A., and Teixeira, L.S.G., 2006, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, **21**. pp. 1327–1330.
9. Uddin, R., Al-Fahad, M.A., Al-Rashwan, A.K., and Al-Qarni, M.A., 2013, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, **185**. pp. 3681–3685.
10. Chen, F.Y., and Jiang, S.J., 2009, *Anal. Sci.* **25**. pp. 1471–1476.
11. Saint'Pierre, T.D., Rocha, R.C.C., and Duyck, C.B., 2013, *Microchem. J.*, **109**. pp 41–45.
12. Caiminagua, A., Fernández, L., Romero, H., Lapo, B., and Alvarado, J., 2015, *Anal. Chem. Res.*, **3**. pp. 82–88.
13. Kumar A R, and Riyazuddin P., 2005, *Anal. Sci.*, **21**. pp. 1401 – 1410.
14. Silva, L.O.B., Leao, D.J., dos Santos, D., Matos, G.D., de Andrade, J.B., and Ferreira, S.C., 2014, *Talanta*, **127**. pp. 140–145.
15. Thompson, K.C., and Thomerson, D.R., 1974, *Analist*, **99**. pp. 595-601.
16. Nakahara, T., 2005, *Anal. Sci.*, **21**. pp. 477 – 484.
17. Panggabean, A.S., Pasaribu, S.P., Amran, M.B., and Buchari, 2013, *Eurasian J. of Anal. Chem.*, **8(1)**. pp. 17-27.
18. Dantas, H.V., Barbosa, M.F., Moreira, P.N.T., Galvão, R.K.H., and Araújo, M.C.U., 2015, *Microchem. J.* **119**. pp. 123–127.
19. D'Ulivo, A., 2004, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B.*, **59**. pp. 793–825.
20. Ritschdorff E T, Fitzgerald N, Mclaughlin R G J, and Brindle I.D., 2005, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B.*, **60**. pp. 139 – 143.
21. Miller, J.C. dan Miller, J.N., 1991, *Statistika Untuk Kimia Analitik*. Edisi Kedua. ITB Press. Bandung.
22. Panggabean, A.S., Subur P. Pasaribu, Bohari, Nurhasanah, 2014, *Indo. J. of Chem.*, **14(1)**. pp. 51-56.

Table 1. Measurement of Hg Standard and Hg CRM for Repeatability Test

Measurement	Hg Standard 6.0 µg/L	Hg Standard 9.0 µg/L	Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L
1	6.105	9.270	2.5115
2	6.162	9.265	2.5236
3	6.172	9.283	2.4919
4	6.114	9.258	2.5101
5	6.133	9.268	2.5248
6	6.132	9.290	2.5098
7	6.125	9.274	2.4917
8	6.113	9.272	2.5207
9	6.147	9.279	2.4910
10	6.138	9.248	2.5079
Mean (X)	6.134	9.271	2.5083
SD	0.022	0.012	0.0138
% RSD	0.351	0.131	0.5495
CV Horwitz	34.443	32.367	39.4050
2/3 CV Horwitz	22.962	21.578	26.2700

Table 2. Measurement of Hg Standard and CRM Solution

Measurement	Hg 6.0 µg/L		Hg 9.0 µg/L		Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L	
	Measured	% Recovery	Measured	% Recovery	Measured	% Recovery
1	6.105	101.75	9.270	103.00	2.5115	101.27
2	6.162	102.70	9.265	102.94	2.5236	101.76
3	6.172	102.87	9.283	103.14	2.4919	100.48
4	6.114	101.90	9.258	102.82	2.5101	101.21
5	6.133	102.22	9.268	102.98	2.5248	101.81
6	6.132	102.20	9.290	103.22	2.5098	101.20
7	6.125	102.08	9.274	103.04	2.4917	100.47
8	6.113	101.88	9.272	103.02	2.5207	101.64
9	6.147	102.45	9.279	103.10	2.4910	100.44
10	6.138	102.30	9.248	102.76	2.5196	101.60
Mean ± SD	6.134 ± 0.022	102.24±0.36	9.271±0.012	103.01±0.14	2.5095±0.013	101.19±0.55

Table 3. Measurement of Blank Solution

Measurement [Hg] (0.10 µg/L)	Absorbance	Hg Concentration (µg/L)
1	0.0015	0.0130
2	0.0013	0.0000
3	0.0015	0.0130
4	0.0015	0.0130
5	0.0015	0.0130
6	0.0015	0.0130
7	0.0014	0.0065
8	0.0014	0.0065
9	0.0016	0.0195
10	0.0017	0.0260
Mean (X)		0.0123
Standard Deviation (SD)		0.0071
LoD = X + (3xSD)		0.0338
LoQ = X + (10xSD)		0.0838




Figure 1. Reading Delay Time Variation Chart




Figure 2. Influence of SnCl₂ Concentration






Figure 3. Influence of HCl Concentration



(a)



(b)

Figure 4. Calibration Curve of (a) Hg Standard and (b) Hg CRM

Reviewer B.

THE UTILIZATION OF NITROGEN GAS AS A CARRIER GAS IN THE DETERMINATION OF Hg IONS USING COLD VAPOUR-ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (CV-AAS)

ABSTRACT

The research about utilization of nitrogen gas as a carrier gas in the determination of Hg ions by using Cold Vapor-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (CV-AAS) method has been conducted. To optimize the measurement results, several parameters that affect to hydride generator have been studied. Some specified important parameters are SnCl₂ concentration as reductant, acid concentration, and the analytical performance such as repeatability and reproducibility (% RSD), linearity (r), limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantitation (LOQ), and accuracy have been studied. The results of research showed that the nitrogen gas can be used instead of argon gas as a carrier gas. It was shown by the repeatability values as % RSD < 2/3 CV Horwitz values, the LOD was 0.0338 µg/L and the LOQ was 0.0838 µg/L. The accuracy of this method was good shown by a recovery percentage was 102.24 %. Based on result of this research, the nitrogen gas can be used as a carrier gas for the determination of Hg ions by using CV-AAS method with valid results.

Keywords: Hg, Carrier gas, Nitrogen, CV-AAS.

ABSTRAK

Penelitian tentang pemanfaatan gas nitrogen sebagai gas pembawa dalam penentuan ion Hg dengan menggunakan metode Bejana Uap Dingin-Spektrofotometer Serapan atom (CV-AAS) telah dilakukan. Untuk mengoptimalkan hasil pengukuran, beberapa parameter yang berpengaruh dalam pembangkit hidrida telah dipelajari. Beberapa parameter penting yang ditentukan adalah konsentrasi SnCl₂ sebagai reduktor, konsentrasi asam, dan kinerja analitik yang meliputi penentuan kedapatulangan dan kebolehlungan (% RSD), linearitas (r), limit deteksi (LOD) dan limit kuantitasi (LOQ), dan akurasi. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan gas nitrogen dapat digunakan sebagai pengganti gas argon sebagai gas pembawa, ditunjukkan dari nilai kedapatulangan sebagai % RSD < 2/3 nilai KV Horwitz, LOD sebesar 0,0338 mg/L dan LOQ adalah 0,0838 mg/L. Ketepatan metode ini sangat baik yang ditunjukkan dengan persentase perolehan kembali 102,24%. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian ini, gas nitrogen dapat digunakan sebagai gas pembawa untuk penentuan ion Hg dengan menggunakan metode CV-AAS dengan hasil yang valid.

Kata kunci: Hg, Gas pembawa, Nitrogen, CV-AAS.

INTRODUCTION

Mercury (Hg) is toxic element that can be found in different chemical forms at trace levels and it is very reactive in the environment. Hg is obtained in nature and distributed throughout the environment in the inorganic and organic forms (for example methyl mercury) of the element [1]. Hg can be found mostly in the atmosphere, water, soil, sediments, plants, pharmaceuticals, industrial waste, mining materials and animals. The main indirect source of

human contamination of Hg is through the consumption of fish products [2,3]. Undoubtedly, the natural occurrence of Hg can be found in crude oil and its presence in fuels [4]. Thus, the need for the development and validation of analytical methodologies for the determination and control of this toxic metal in samples is evident.

Several methods for the determination of Hg have been reported in the literature, such as potentiometric titration using iodide ion-selective electrodes and anodic stripping voltammetry [5], and some spectrometric techniques, such as cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV-AAS) [6, 7], electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS) [8], atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS) [9], inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [10] and electrothermal vaporization coupled to ICP-MS (ETV-ICP-MS) [11] were already employed for total Hg determination in the samples.

The hydride generation of cold vapor of mercury coupled to spectrometric techniques is usually employed. Cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV-AAS) is the most widely used technique for the determination of Hg because of its main advantages including the possibility of separation of the analyte from its matrix with the inherent minimization of matrix interferences and the possibility of analyte pre-concentration, leading to increased measurement and the results obtained even at the low levels of concentration [12-14].

The fundamental principle of determination of Hg in the samples is the formation of metal-hydride by reductant Sn(II) chloride or NaBH₄ in gas-liquid separator placed in hydride generator system and produce Hg-hydride. The formed Hg-hydride will then go to a quartz cell placed on either the AAS driven by carrier gas of argon to read absorption value [15,16]. The atomization occurred in the quartz cell is caused by the light from hollow cathode that passes it through. The advantage of this method is that the detection limit and sensitiveness can be enhanced because the metal has been separated from each other in their hydride forms [17].

Analysis of Hg in Laboratory of Environment Control, PT. Badak NGL-East Kalimantan has been using CV-AAS method with argon as a carrier gas. Argon gas is an inert gas that has high enough purity, but quite expensive and takes times because it must be purchased from partner. Frequent reliance on the availability of argon gas, if there is no supply of argon gas, analysis cannot be done immediately. Based on, raised a new idea for replacing the carrier gas with cheaper gas and does not depend on the procurement process. In this case nitrogen gas is already available in the laboratory because it is one of the products of the utility unit in PT. Badak NGL refinery and used for the LNG/LPG process usage.

In this research, has developed a method using nitrogen gas as a carrier gas. Nitrogen gas is obtained from the refinery processes, namely PT Badak NGL #29th plant utility.

Therefore, a study to determine the effect of utilization of nitrogen as a carrier gas in determination of Hg using CV-AAS method needs to be conducted, as well as to determine the validity of developed method for appropriate used in analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials

A solution of CRM Mercury in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937 with a concentration value of 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and Acceptance Limits of 2.15-2.81 $\mu\text{g/L}$, Hg stock solution 1000 mg/L, SnCl_2 (concentration series of 6-14% w/v), HNO_3 , HCl, nitrogen gas, argon gas; all of the reagents were of analytical-reagent grade (E. Merck), and aquabidest.

Comment [i-2]: Can not be found in procedure section

Instrumentation

Spectrophotometer AA 240-FS variant complete with Vapour Generator Accesory VGA-76. Other equipment such as analytical balance, the volume pipettes, stopwatch, oven, hot plate with stirrer, were used for all measurements.

Procedure

Quality Determination of Nitrogen Gas

Nitrogen gas was stored in pressurized gas cylinder mounted regulator and equipped with a filter and moisture trap, then analyzed using gas chromatography instrument.

Preparation of Blank Solution

The prepared blank solution is aquabidest containing 5% (v/v) HCl. Aquabidest 300 mL was entered to 500 mL volumetric flask, add 65 mL 37% HCl and matched in volume with aquabidest, whipped until homogeneous.

Preparation of Hg Stock Solution 1000 mg/L

0.1354 g HgCl_2 was diluted with 70 mL aquabidest, added with 1 mL HNO_3 and it was diluted to 100 mL with aquabidest.

Preparation of CRM Mercury standard solutions in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937

10 mL CRM standard solution was diluted in 1000 mL aquabidest and 1 ml HNO_3 . This solution has a concentration value of 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and Acceptance Limits 2.15 - 2.81 $\mu\text{g/L}$ accordance with value stated in the Certificate of Analysis.

Preparation of series Hg CRM standard solution in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937

Certain volume of Hg CRM standard solution diluted in aquabidest with adding concentrated HNO_3 to obtain series of concentration (1.24, 2.48, 3.72, and 1.86 $\mu\text{g/L}$).

Determination of Read Delay Time

Read Delay Time measured for 0-60 seconds after standard solution added into mixing system (in the reaction coil). The maximum absorbance was subsequently used to the analytical performance test methods of Hg determination.

Determination of SnCl₂ Concentration as Reductant

Prepared solutions of SnCl₂ series concentrations (5 to 12% (w/v)) measured their absorption. Maximum absorbance then subsequently used to the analytical performance test methods of Hg determination.

Determination of HCl Concentration

Concentration of HCl (2 to 7% (v/v)) solutions measured their absorption. Maximum absorbance then subsequently used to the analytical performance test methods of Hg determination.

Calibration curves and Regression Line Equation

Hg standard with series concentrations of 0.0 - 12.0 µg/L and Hg CRM concentrations of 0:00; 1:24; 1.86; 2:48; 3.72 µg/L measured by CV-AAS to obtain absorbance values.

Repeatability Test

Repeatability test is performed by 10 times measuring a standard solutions of Hg 6.0 and 9.0 µg/L and Hg CRM standard solutions in Water (Low Level PT-2) RTC Number: CS-1310 LOT: 017 937.

Accuracy Test

Accuracy test was done in two ways: first, by measuring 10 times Hg standard solutions of 6.0 and 9.0 µg/L then calculated % recovery. The second way is by measuring 10 times Hg Certified Reference Material (CRM) solution by CV-AAS, then calculating the average and compared with specified Certified Value in the Certificate of Analysis Standards.

Limit of Detection and Quantization

Detection and quantization limits were determined by measuring aquabidest reference solution with spike until Hg levels up to 0.1 mg/L, and 5% (v/v) HCl and analyzed 10 times by CV-AAS.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrogen Gas Quality Analysis

Nitrogen gas obtained from PT Badak NGL #29th plant and analyzed first by using HP 6890 Agilent gas chromatography before it is used as a carrier gas in Hg analysis by CV-AAS method. Gas chromatography (GC) is a widely used method for determining the composition of complex mixtures of chemical species in gaseous phase. In the course of a

Comment [i-3]: Since this manuscript focus on the replacement of a rgon gas with nitrogen gas on determination of Hg, measurement of Hg and all test should be also conducted by using rgon gas as a comparison

GC analysis, the components of the mixture are separated on the basis of differences in the rate at which they are carried through a stationary phase by a mobile gas phase. [18]. The results show that nitrogen gas has very high purity up to 99.9495%.

Read Delay Time

Read delay time is a required time for Hg vapor flowing through VGA pipe tube of reaction coil to absorption cells until absorbance signal reading is done. The reaction coil is a mixing place of the sample, H^+ and $SnCl_2$ reductant, Hg^{2+} ions are reduced to Hg^0 and free atoms Hg^0 gaseous is going to absorption cell for absorbance measurement [16]. Time delay greatly affects the low and high of absorbance. Determination of the delay time by measurement of absorbance from Hg standards 6.00; 9.00 $\mu g/L$ and Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu g/L$ with a time delay variation 5 - 50 seconds as shown in **Figure 1**.

The Optimum absorbance of reading time delay was in 20 seconds.

Influence of $SnCl_2$ Concentration as Reductant.

The generation of Hg cold vapour depends on the addition of a suitable amount of reducing agent, since mercury is found in solution in the form of $Hg(II)$ ions and needs to be reduced to gaseous elemental Hg [6]. $SnCl_2$ was employed for this purpose. Determination of the influence of $SnCl_2$ concentration in reducing Hg^{2+} ion into Hg^0 was performed by optimization test with varying $SnCl_2$ concentration of 5 – 12 % (w/v) with H^+ and Hg standard concentration made permanent. The results of research shown in **Figure 2**.

The optimal concentration of $SnCl_2$ gives maximum absorbance of Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu g/L$ was 10% (w/v). Therefore, a 10% (w/v) $SnCl_2$ solution was employed in all further experiments.

Influence of Acid Concentration

The vapour generation of Hg also depends on the acidity of the medium since the decomposition of $SnCl_2$ into hydrostanno intermediates, which are responsible for the reduction of $Hg(II)$ ions, is accelerated in acidic medium [19]. Hence, to keep the medium acid sufficient for promoting suitable Hg vapour evolution, HCl solution was added to the sample (or standard solution) before addition of $SnCl_2$ solution. In the research stage, HCl as acids prepared in several concentrations 2-7 % (v/v), while standard concentrations of Hg and $SnCl_2$ reductant made permanent. The results obtained in this experiment (**Figure 2**) showed that the highest response could be achieved when HCl concentration was 5% (v/v) with produced to highest Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 $\mu g/L$. When the HCl concentration was higher than this value, a decrease of Hg signals was verified. In view of these results, a 5% (v/v) HCl solution was selected for the method.

Determination of Calibration Curves

Determination of calibration curve and the regression line is done by measuring the Hg standard and Hg CRM in various concentrations. Measurement results shown in **Figure 4**. The concentrations of Hg standards are in range 1.0 – 12.0 µg/L. The linear regression equation: $y = 0.0154x + 0.0013$ with coefficient of correlation (R^2) = 0.9997 (**Figure 4a**).

Hg CRM calibration data is shown in **Figure 4b**. can be seen that the area of the linear range of concentration CRM Hg are 1.24 - 3.72 µg/L, the obtained the linear regression equation are $y = 0.0135x - 0.0001$ with coefficient of correlation (R^2) = 0.9986. The measurement results of Hg standard and Hg CRM very good for Hg analysis, shown by the coefficient of correlation (R^2) are > 0.995 [20, 21].

Repeatability Measurement

Repeatability is determined by measuring concentration of Hg standard solution 6.0 and 9.0 µg/L and Hg CRM solution 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L repeatedly with optimum conditions.

Table 1 showed, % RSD of Hg standard solution 6.0 µg/L was 0.351% < 22.962, % RSD of Hg standard 9.0 µg/L was 0.131 % < 21.578 and % RSD of Hg CRM solution 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L was 0.5495% < 26.2700. All of % RSD of each Hg standard < 2/3 of CV Horwitz. This result indicated the good repeatability and acceptable measurement.

Determination of Accuracy

Accuracy is the closeness of analysis data with actual values. Accuracy value is determined in two ways: the first way is to measure the concentration of Hg standard solution 6.0 and 9.0 µg/L, then % recovery value was calculated. **Table 2** showed % recovery measurement of Hg standard 9.0 µg/L was **103.01 ± 0.14** and % recovery measurement of standard 6.0 µg/L was **102.24 ± 0.36**. Each Hg standard result was in the range of required recommendation % recovery is 95-105% [21], and the accuracy was accepted.

The second way is to measure standard of Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L repeatedly, then by comparing the mean of measurements with CRM values and acceptance limits stated in the certificate of CRM, which was shown in **Table 2**. The mean Value of CRM was **2.5095 ± 0.013** µg/L and appropriate in the value of CRM certification limits 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L and accordance within the concentrations range of CRM acceptance limits were 2.4417 to 2.5183 µg/L, so the accuracy was accepted.

Determination of Limit of Detection and Quantization

Limit of detection (LOD) is determined by measuring the smallest absorbance value which still can be defined and distinguished from signals given by blank with some measurements [22].

Table 3. showed that the limit of detection was 0.0338 µg/L and the limit of quantization was 0.0838 µg/L. This result showed the lowest concentration of sample that can still be detected by instruments and the analysis methods was 0.0338 µg/L while the lowest concentration of analyte that can be determined with acceptable accuracy was 0.0838 µg/L.

CONCLUSION

The results of research showed that the nitrogen gas can be used as a carrier gas replacing argon gas in determination of Hg ions, which are shown the repeatability values as % RSD < 2/3 CV Horwitz values, the LOD was 0.0338 µg/L and the LOQ was 0.0838 µg/L. The accuracy of this method is good shown by a recovery percentage was 102.24 %, the CRM readings are 2.5095 µg/L and accordance within the CRM certificate of the range 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L. Based on this research, the nitrogen gas can be used as a carrier gas for the determination of Hg ions in the samples by using CV-AAS with valid results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Chairman and staff of PT. Badak NGL Bontang, East Kalimantan for the laboratory facilities and the samples provided in the implementation of this research.

REFERENCES

1. Li, P., Feng, X., and Qiu, G., 2010, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **7**. pp. 2666–2691.
2. Chen Y., Dong X., Dai Y., Hu Q., and Yu H., 2008, *Asian J. of Chem.*, **20(6)**. pp. 4639–4646.
3. Tessier A, and Turner, D.T., 1995, *Metal Speciation and Bioavailability in Aquatic Systems*. IUPAC Series on Analytical and Physical Chemistry of Environmental System. Vol. **3**, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester.
4. Shpirt, M.Y.A., and Punanova, S.A., 2011, *Solid Fuel Chem.* **45**. pp. 330–336.
5. Nascimento, F.H., and Masini, J.C., 2012, *Talanta*. **100**. pp. 57–63.
6. Vicentino, P.O., Brum, D.M., and Cassella, R.J., 2015, *Talanta*. **132**. pp. 733–738.
7. Oreste, E.Q., Jesus, A., Oliveira, R.M., da Silva, M.M., Vieira, M.A., and Ribeiro, A.S., 2013, *Microchem. J.* **109**. pp. 5–9.
8. Santos, W.N.L., Dias, F.S., Reboucas, M.V., Pereira, M.G., Lemos, V.A., and Teixeira, L.S.G., 2006, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, **21**. pp. 1327–1330.
9. Uddin, R., Al-Fahad, M.A., Al-Rashwan, A.K., and Al-Qarni, M.A., 2013, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, **185**. pp. 3681–3685.
10. Chen, F.Y., and Jiang, S.J., 2009, *Anal. Sci.* **25**. pp. 1471–1476.

11. Saint'Pierre, T.D., Rocha, R.C.C., and Duyck, C.B., 2013, *Microchem. J.*, **109**. pp 41–45.
12. Caiminagua, A., Fernández, L., Romero, H., Lapo, B., and Alvarado, J., 2015, *Anal. Chem. Res.*, **3**. pp. 82–88.
13. Kumar A R, and Riyazuddin P., 2005, *Anal. Sci.*, **21**. pp. 1401 – 1410.
14. Silva, L.O.B., Leao, D.J., dos Santos, D., Matos, G.D., de Andrade, J.B., and Ferreira, S.C., 2014, *Talanta*, **127**. pp. 140–145.
15. Thompson, K.C., and Thomerson, D.R., 1974, *Analist*, **99**. pp. 595-601.
16. Nakahara, T., 2005, *Anal. Sci.*, **21**. pp. 477 – 484.
17. Panggabean, A.S., Pasaribu, S.P., Amran, M.B., and Buchari, 2013, *Eurasian J. of Anal. Chem.*, **8(1)**. pp. 17-27.
18. Dantas, H.V., Barbosa, M.F., Moreira, P.N.T., Galvão, R.K.H., and Araújo, M.C.U., 2015, *Microchem. J.* **119**. pp. 123–127.
19. D'Ulivo, A., 2004, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B.*, **59**. pp. 793–825.
20. Ritschdorff E T, Fitzgerald N, Mclaughlin R G J, and Brindle I.D., 2005, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B.*, **60**. pp. 139 – 143.
21. Miller, J.C. dan Miller, J.N., 1991, *Statistika Untuk Kimia Analitik*. Edisi Kedua. ITB Press. Bandung.
22. Panggabean, A.S., Subur P. Pasaribu, Bohari, Nurhasanah, 2014, *Indo. J. of Chem.*, **14(1)**. pp. 51-56.

Table 1. Measurement of Hg Standard and Hg CRM for Repeatability Test

Measurement	Hg Standard 6.0 µg/L	Hg Standard 9.0 µg/L	Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0,0383 µg/L
1	6.105	9.270	2.5115
2	6.162	9.265	2.5236
3	6.172	9.283	2.4919
4	6.114	9.258	2.5101
5	6.133	9.268	2.5248
6	6.132	9.290	2.5098
7	6.125	9.274	2.4917
8	6.113	9.272	2.5207
9	6.147	9.279	2.4910
10	6.138	9.248	2.5079
Mean (X)	6.134	9.271	2.5083
SD	0.022	0.012	0.0138
% RSD	0.351	0.131	0.5495
CV Horwitz	34.443	32.367	39.4050
2/3 CV Horwitz	22.962	21.578	26.2700

Table 2. Measurement of Hg Standard and CRM Solution

Measurement	Hg 6.0 µg/L		Hg 9.0 µg/L		Hg CRM 2.48 ± 0.0383 µg/L	
	Measured	% Recovery	Measured	% Recovery	Measured	% Recovery
1	6.105	101.75	9.270	103.00	2.5115	101.27
2	6.162	102.70	9.265	102.94	2.5236	101.76
3	6.172	102.87	9.283	103.14	2.4919	100.48
4	6.114	101.90	9.258	102.82	2.5101	101.21
5	6.133	102.22	9.268	102.98	2.5248	101.81
6	6.132	102.20	9.290	103.22	2.5098	101.20
7	6.125	102.08	9.274	103.04	2.4917	100.47
8	6.113	101.88	9.272	103.02	2.5207	101.64
9	6.147	102.45	9.279	103.10	2.4910	100.44
10	6.138	102.30	9.248	102.76	2.5196	101.60
Mean ± SD	6.134 ± 0.022	102.24±0.36	9.271±0.012	103.01±0.14	2.5095±0.013	101.19±0.55

Table 3. Measurement of Blank Solution

Measurement [Hg] (0.10 µg/L)	Absorbance	Hg Concentration (µg/L)
1	0.0015	0.0130
2	0.0013	0.0000
3	0.0015	0.0130
4	0.0015	0.0130
5	0.0015	0.0130
6	0.0015	0.0130
7	0.0014	0.0065
8	0.0014	0.0065
9	0.0016	0.0195
10	0.0017	0.0260
Mean (X)		0.0123
Standard Deviation (SD)		0.0071
LoD = X + (3xSD)		0.0338
LoQ = X + (10xSD)		0.0838




Figure 1. Reading Delay Time Variation Chart




Figure 2. Influence of SnCl₂ Concentration






Figure 3. Influence of HCl Concentration



(a)



(b)

Figure 4. Calibration Curve of (a) Hg Standard and (b) Hg CRM