





• • • • •

[ICMSC-2018] Submission Upload Acknowledgement Tambahkan label



Dr. R. R. Dirgarini Julia... 16/9/2018 kepada Boby ~



শ্ব

Boby Royan Alfadlil:

Thank you for uploading your presentation, "CARBON MONOLITH FROM VICTORIAN BROWN COAL FOR HYDROGEN STORAGE" to International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science. With the online conference management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the conference web site:

Submission URL: http://conference.fmipa.unmul.ac.id/index.php/icmsc/2018/aut hor/submission/298 Username: bobyroyan

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this conference as a venue for your work.

Dr. R. R. Dirgarini Julia N. Subagyono, M.Si International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science

International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science

http://conference.fmipa.unmul.ac.id/index.php/icmsc/2018/index









• • • • •

[ICMSC-2018] Editorial Decision on

Abstract Tambahkan label



Rita Hairani 25/9/2018 kepada Boby, Dirgarini, bcc: icm... 🗸



:

6

ক্ষ

Boby Royan Alfadlil:

Congratulations, your abstract CARBON MONOLITH FROM VICTORIAN BROWN COAL FOR HYDROGEN STORAGE has been accepted for presentation at International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science which is being held 2018-10-24 at Balikpapan. You may now submit your paper for further review.

Thank you and looking forward to your participation in this event.

Rita Hairani Department of Chemistry Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science rhairani09@gmail.com

International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science http://conference.fmipa.unmul.ac.id/index.php/icmsc/2018/index



HOME ABOUT	USER HOME SEARCH ARCHIVE	
Home > The 2nd Inter Submissions > #298 > Po	national Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Computer Science 2018 > User > Director > aper Review	
#298 Pa	per Review	Apri
SUMMARY ABSTR	ACT REVIEW PAPER REVIEW HISTORY	
Submis	sion	Apri
Authors Boby Royan Alfadlil, Gregory P Knowles, Muhammad P Parsa, Dirgarini P Subagyono, Alan P Chaffee, Daniel Daniel 📼		
Title	CARBON MONOLITH FROM VICTORIAN BROWN COAL FOR HYDROGEN STORAGE	5
Track Computational and Physical Chemistry		
Director	Dirgarini Subagyono 📼	
Review Version	298-293-1-RV.PDF 2018-10-30	Ap
Upload a	revised file to serve as the Review Version k ada file yang dipilih	

Upload

Supp. files

298-203-1-SP.DOCX 2018-09-16 Present file to reviewers Record

Paper Review

SELECT REVIEWER VIEW REGRETS, CANCELS

Reviewer A	Rahmat Gu	inawan ^c	LEAR REVIEWER		
Review Form	None / Free F	Form Review SELI	ECT REVIEW FORM		
	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	DUE	ACKNOWLEDGE	Pl
		-	2018-10-03	(III)	

Director Decision

Select decision	Choose One	\sim	
	Record Decision		USER
Decision	None		You are
Notify Author	🗉 Director/Author Email Record 🤿 2018-09-25		admin
Review Version	298-293-1-RV.PDF 2018-10-30		My Pr
Author Version	None		
Director Version	None		Log O
Upload	Director	Version	
Pilih File Tidak	ada file yang dipilih		

Upload

Complete

Add the submission to the list of accepted Presentations.

Complete Remove

Layout

111

This optional step can be used to lay out submissions or change file formats either before



Oc

Octob

JBL

BOOK

DIRECT

U	na	SS	
In	R	ev	i

Presen

Carbon monolith from Victorian brown coal for hydrogen storage

B R Alfadlil¹, G P Knowles², M R Parsa², RR D J N Subagyono¹, Daniel¹, *A L

Chaffee²

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Mulawarman University, Indonesia School of Chemistry, Monash University, Australia

Corresponding author: alan.chaffee@monash.edu

Abstract. The application of carbon monolith from VBC (Victorian Brown Coal) for H_2 storage has been studied. The storage capacities of the monolith were measured using an isothermal adsorption process at different temperatures and pressures. In this study the adsorption capacities of monolithic carbon and those of activated carbon from other literatures were compared. It was found that temperature and pressure affected the hydrogen adsorption capacity. It was also observed that density of the carbon monoliths also have significant effects on hydrogen storage capacity.

Keywords: carbon monolith, victorian brown coal, adsorption, hydrogen storage.

1. Introduction

The emission of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere increases the earth's greenhouse effect, leading to an increase of the global temperature. A possible solution is its capture and sequestration, extensive research has been carried out on alternative energy sources such as sun, geothermal, tides, windmills, biomass, nuclear energy, etc [1]. with the aim of energy applications (e.g. storage of H₂ and CH₄ gas for transportation technology) or environmental protection goals (e.g. the absorption of CO₂ gas to reduce the negative effects of the greenhouse effect) [2].

The storage of these gases is usually performed by compression in high pressure vessels. However, the storage capacities of such devices are restricted, because of the low density of these gases and pressure limitations due to safety reasons [3]. An alternative technology for gas storage is adsorption on porous materials [4]. Thereby, the density of the gas accumulated in the porous structure of these materials is increased, due to the attractive forces between its molecules and the material.

Given the massive scale of Victoria's brown-coal resource, there is potential for material economic benefits if it is developed and it is now recognized that with suitable up-grading, primarily drying, it has the potential to become the basis of the supply of energy in a variety of forms [5].

The interest in using monolithic structures for chemical conversion and adsorption processes is increasing. A relatively new type of monolith is based on carbon. The combined favorable properties

of carbon and monolithic structures create a support with great potential in catalytic and adsorption processes [6]. Monolith is basically a uniform block, consisting of parallel channels that can be prepared via extrusion of an activated carbon into various shapes and sizes such as circular, square, triangular or hexagonal and many more. This study reports the application of carbon monolith from Victorian brown coal as a H_2 storage. The H_2 storage capacity of the materials were compared with that of several carbon materials.

2. Experimental section

The volumetric technique consists of introducing [dosing] a known amount of gas [adsorptive] into the chamber containing the sample to be analyzed. When the sample reaches equilibrium with the adsorbate gas, the final equilibrium pressure is recorded. These data are then used to calculate the quantity of gas adsorbed by the sample.

This process is repeated at given pressure intervals until the maximum preselected pressure is reached. Then the pressure can be decreased to provide a desorption isotherm. Each of the resulting equilibrium points [volume adsorbed and equilibrium pressure] is plotted to provide an isotherm.

The adsorption capacity of H_2 in carbon monolith samples was measured at 273, 293 and 313 K. In the isothermal adsorption process the temperature was kept constant by Cooloant was recirculated. thermal bath / dewar for optimum adsorption process. HPVA system. Each isotherm experiment took approximately 24 hours to complete.

2.1. Carbon Monolith preparation Materials

The material used in this study was obtained from Monash University Chaffe Group Research which was prepared using the extrusion method of monolithic carbon. The carbon monolith was activated by CO_2 at 850 °C for 1 hour. Carbon dioxide gas serves as the activating gas where the gas will react with carbon so as to open the pores which will increase surface area. Aside from that, carbon dioxide gas also acts to prevent the entry of oxygen gas into the activator. with chemical activation using NaOH. Before monolithic isothermal carbon adsorption testing, the degassing process needs to be done to remove all impurities and other volatile matter material to get out of the pore. the stage of the degassing process is carbon monolith which has been roughly crushed heated at a temperature of 105 degrees Celsius for approximately 6 hours under vacuum (0.01 mTorr).

2.2. Physico-Chemical Characterisation

Density, porosity, structure and surface morphology were all evaluated in the present study. The true density measurement was conducted using AccuPyc II 1340. The porosity parameters of the carbon monolith (i.e., Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area, micropore surface area, total pore volume and average pore diameter) were determined from the nitrogen adsorption data at 196 °C using an accelerated surface area and porosimeter system (ASAP 2010, Micromeritics). The ultimate analysis were indentified by TruSpec Micro for delivers optimal performance in C, H and N determination in micro samples (1 to 10 mg) supported by Geoservices Coal Laboratory. The morphology structure of the carbon monolith were elucidated on a SEM SU3500 from Bandung Institute of Technology.

2.3. Apparatus

The HPVA II Series of adsorption analyzers from Particulate Systems uses the static volumetric method to obtain high-pressure adsorption and desorption isotherms, which is shown schematically in Fig. 1. The temperature was controlled by Colora Messatechnik GMBH refrigerated/heating circulator and the An Edwards RV3F vacuum pump was provided by Edward Corporation (UK).

This was via separate Micromeritics VacPrep 062 degasser for removing adsorbed contaminants. In addition to flowing gas, this sample preparation unit provides vacuum to prepare samples by heating and evacuation. The VacPrep offers the user a choice of vacuum or gas flow on each of the six

Commented [GK1]: And General Materials Physico-Chemical Characterisation?





Figure 1. HPVA II-200. HP, high-pressure transducer; LP, 1000 torr pressure transducer; T, temperature probe; Ts, Tube sample; (1) analysis port valve; (2) vent valve; (3) manifold valve; (4) full vent valve; (5) full vacuum valve; (6) 1000 torr isolation valve; (7) degas port valve; (8) Analysis station bath; (9) Degas station bath.

In the HPVA II measurement procedure, there exists an exhaust process during each desorption step to control the pressure. The gas composition in the adsorption cell after achieving equilibrium was measured during each desorption step, which could be used to calculate the adsorbed amount at each desorption step to improve the accuracy of calculations. The total adsorbed amount at one pressure step (Δn_{ads}) can be calculated using:

$$\Delta n_{ads} = \Delta n_{dosed} - \Delta n_{Nads}$$

where Δn_{dosed} is the amount dosed from the manifold at that pressure step and Δn_{Nads} is the nonadsorbed amount at that pressure step. Likewise, the total adsorbed amount at the n^{th} pressure step (Δn_{Nads}) can be calculated using:

$$\Delta n_{adsn} = \Delta n_{dosedn} - \Delta n_{Nadsn} = n_{An} - n_{Bn} - n_{Aadsn} + n_{Nadsn}$$

where n_{An} is the number of moles of gas in the manifold before dosing at the n^{th} pressure step, n_{Bn} is the number of moles of gas in the manifold after dosing at the n^{th} pressure step and $n_{Nadsn-1}$ is the number of moles of gas not adsorbed by the sample at the n^{1s} pressure step.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Material characterisation

The physical and chemical characteristic of carbon monolith from Victorian Brown Coal are presented in Table 1. Where in this study carbon monolith was used with a surface area of 973 m^2/g consisting of 82.5% carbon, 2.7% hydrogen and 0.5% nitrogen. The conductivity value that is owned

Commented [GK2]: Brief. Complimentary photographic image together with magnified image to show macropore channels would be helpful.

is 160.5 Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹. The value of conductivity is influenced by the amount of carbon in the honeycomb structure, with density 1.82 g/cm³. SEM analysis on one image for one sample given in Figure 2. Showed that the monolithic carbon possessed macropore structures which are interconnected, other than the macroporous channels the materials is understood to be microporous. Microporous adsorbents show the highest adsorption activity towards various gases, including hydrogen this is due to the mechanism of volume filling of pores inherent in these materials. The key parameter determining the efficiency of an adsorption storage system is the amount of the target substance (hydrogen gas) stored in the system under particular thermodynamic conditions determined by the pressure (P) and temperature (T).

Parameter	Value
Surface area (m^2/g)	973
True density (g/cm^3)	1.82
Conductivity (Ω^{-1} cm ⁻¹)	160.5
Carbon (%)	82.5
Hydrogen (%)	2.7
Nitrogen (%)	0.5



Figure 2. SEM image characterization of carbon monolith with 5000 magnification (Red line is Macropore channel).

Commented [GK3]: Which monolith? How sample prepared? How image obtained?

3.2. Adsorption study

The adsorption capacity of hydrogen with carbon monolith from Victorian Brown Coal was measured at three different temperatures (Figure 3). The best adsorption capacity was obtained at 273 K (1.646 mmol/g) because the temperature was the closest to the critical temperature of hydrogen (33 K). In other words, the higher the temperature used, the lower amount of hydrogen gas that can be stored in the carbon monolith. The increase of adsorption temperature to 293 K decreased adsorption capacity to 1,282 mmol / g and this value continued to decline at higher temperature (313 K) with a storage capacity of only 0.922 mmol /g.

Commented [GK4]: Rephrase. Redundant as is, info Given in method section.
Commented [GK5]: Define best.
Commented [GK6]: Consistent with conventional adsorption thermodynamics?





Comparison of hydrogen gas storage capacity at 293 - 298 K of several carbon materials was carried out to determine the monolithic carbon quality used in this study. This temperature range was chosen as the temperature which was most widely used in hydrogen storage applications using volumetric methods. For this purpose, the hydrogen storage capacities of some carbon materials made from various sources and different activation methods (some of which were in the form of carbon monolith, powder and granular) were presented in Table 2.

Table 2 and Figure 4 clearly showed that VBC carbon monolith material is superior to hydrogen storage compared to other carbon materials. This maybe due to the higher material density than that of other materials (surface area $900 - 1500 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) with similar shapes (monolith form). The result clearly indicate that high surface area is not the only determining factor for materials to possess high adsorption capacity, but material density is also one of the factors that can increase adsorption capacity.

Table 2. Hydrogen storage capacity of carbon materials.

H₂ Storage on Volumetric Sample Surface area (m²g⁻¹) Density (g cm-3) Refference basis (mmol/g) 928 1.00 M-A1 1.9344 [1][4][9] M-A336 1367 0.87 2.0833 2610 1.1746 M3M 0.42 MOF-210 6240 0.25 0.4960 D10 PACK 2259 0.62 3.7301 D10 TAP 2259 2.9761 0.36 D7 PACK 2364 0.63 3.2241

Commented [GK7]: Which one?

Commented [GK8]: Much of following paragraph is background and so belongs in the intro section.

-	Commented [GK9]: Show?
1	Commented [GK10]: Define superior? Note the near order of magnitude difference, are the calculations correct?
()	Commented [GK11]: For?
	Commented [GK12]: Range of H2 capacities of cited materials should be given in intro, with highest capacity sorbent noted?
$\langle \rangle$	Commented [GK13]: Why?
	Commented [GK14]: Are all densities given absolute (true) densities (excluding pore volumes), or do these include packing volumes (i.e. including pore volumes)?
	Commented [GK15]: This is SA (VBC-HM with much less than other materials in table), not density.

Commented [GK16]: Monoliths, powders?

Commented [GK17]: Reference? Commented [GK18]: What references are relevant for all the following materials?

D7 TAP	2364	0.34	2.8769	
M-ACF15	1127	0.69	2.8521	
ACF15 PACK	1193	0.94	2.8422	
ACF15 TAP	1193	0.24	2.3690	
M-ACF20	2068	0.54	2.9265	
M-ACF25	1838	0.54	2.5793	
CM-VBC	973	1.82	1.2823	This study



Figure 4. Comparison of H₂ adsorption isotherms of several carbon materials at 298 K.

4. Conclusion

Carbon Monolith from Victorian Brown Coal is potential as material for hydrogen storage. The maximum adsorption capacity of hydrogen gas was 1,646 mmol/g which was obtained at 273 K. It was found that material density was one key factor for hydrogen storage capacity.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge valuable contribution of

References

- J. P. Marco-Lozar, M. Kunowsky, F. Suárez-García, J. D. Carruthers, and A. Linares-Solano, "Activated carbon monoliths for gas storage at room temperature," *Energy Environ. Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 12, p. 9833, 2012.
- [2] K. Biradha, A. Ramanan, and J. J. Vittal, "Coordination polymers versus metal-organic frameworks," *Cryst. Growth Des.*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 2969–2970, 2009.
- R. E. Morris and P. S. Wheatley, "Gas storage in nanoporous materials," Angewandte Chemie -International Edition. 2008.
- [4] J. P. Marco-Lozar, M. Kunowsky, J. D. Carruthers, and Á. Linares-Solano, "Gas storage scaleup at room temperature on high density carbon materials," *Carbon N. Y.*, vol. 76, pp. 123–132, 2014.
- [5] G. J. Perry, D. J. Allardice, and L. T. Kiss, "Chemical Characteristics of Victorian Brown Coal.," ACS Div. Fuel Chem. Prepr., vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 2–10, 1983.
- [6] T. Vergunst, M. J. G. Linders, F. Kapteijn, and J. A. Moulijn, "CARBON-BASED MONOLITHIC STRUCTURES," *Catal. Rev.*, 2001.
- [7] X. Ma, B. Zou, M. Cao, S.-L. Chen, and C. Hu, "Nitrogen-doped porous carbon monolith as a highly efficient catalyst for CO₂ conversion," *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 2, no. 43, pp. 18360– 18366, 2014.
- [8] Y. Zhang, Y. Chi, S. Liu, W. Xing, L. Wang, and Y. Song, "Competitive adsorption/desorption of CO 2 /CH 4 mixtures on anthracite from China over a wide range of pressures and temperatures," *R. Soc. Chem.*, vol. 6, no. 101, pp. 98588–98597, 2016.
- [9] M. Kunowsky, J. P. Marco-Lozar, and Á. Linares-solano, "Activated Carbon Fibre Monoliths for Hydrogen Storage," *Adv. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 93, pp. 102–11, 2014.

Commented [GK19]: Review of the calculations as noted above is warranted to validate these claims.

Commented [GK20]: Were Monash MCEM used for SEM then there is a standard stamen required for inclusion. They would also provide accurate statement as to how the image was collected (method) as is missing.