

# **Current Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities**

## Title: Supply Chain Management and the Covid-19 Outbreak: Optimizing Its Role for Indonesia

## **Conflict of Interest: No**

Does the paper meet a high standard of scientific quality and credibility? ● Yes □No

Is the paper readable and appropriately presented?  $\bullet$  Yes  $\Box$ No

English language level: is the English language comprehensive and flawless? ● Yes □No

Are there any grammatical or spelling mistakes? □ Yes ●No

Are full forms for abbreviations stated at the  $1^{st}$  mention of the abbreviation? • Yes  $\Box$ No

Are appropriate legends provided with tables/ figures? ● Yes □No

Does the paper contain appropriate referencing? ● Yes □No

Does the paper contain any recognizable plagiarism? □ Yes ●No

Level of Interest: Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript. This manuscript is quite interesting and updated as well as really relevant with current condition in Indonesia.

Does the paper compliant with the aims and scope of the journal it is submitted to? ● Yes □No

Does the paper meet ethical requirements?  $\bullet$  Yes  $\Box$ No

Does the paper include animal or human study? **No**. If yes, was ethical committee approval details provided in the paper? 

Yes
No
No
No
Applicable

Is this a human intervention study? **No**. Was consent taken before the study? □ Yes □No ●Not Applicable

Is the statistical analysis sound and justified? Yes. (Does it require expert statistical review?) □ Yes ●No

#### **Other Comments?**

Some comments have been provided directly in the text and some minor revisions are required to be done (see attachment)



Abstract	Clear and concise.
Introduction	Clear, but minor revision is required to be done (see attachment)
Methodology	Need to explain more details how data for this research were collected and analyzed.
Results and Discussion	Good, but minor revision is required to be done (see attachment)
References (Appropriateness)	Good and updated.

# Rating (1 to 5) 5: Excellent, 1: Poor

Originality	5
Depth of research	4
Technical quality	4

# **Recommendation:**

• Accept conditionally, subject to minor revision, according to my accompanying comments