# Check 8 by Sifri Sifri

**Submission date:** 08-May-2023 10:19PM (UTC+1000)

**Submission ID:** 2087492427

**File name:** -\_Determining\_-\_Faisol\_2021\_J.\_Phys.\_\_Conf.\_Ser.\_1751\_012028.pdf (1.03M)

Word count: 2515

**Character count:** 11376

#### **PAPER · OPEN ACCESS**

## Determining the Noetherian Property of Generalized Power Series Modules by Using X-Sub-Exact Sequence

To cite this article: A Faisol et al 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1751 012028

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

- Lie algebras of triangular polynomial derivations and an isomorphism criterion for their Lie factor algebras
   V. V. Bavula
- Regular subcategories in bounded derived categories of affine schemes
   A. D. Elagin and V. A. Lunts
- PROJECTIVE MODULES OVER QUANTUM POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS V A Artamonov



1751 (2021) 012028 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1751/1/012028

## Determining the Noetherian Property of Generalized Power Series Modules by Using X-Sub-Exact Sequence

A Faisol1, Ftriani2, and Sifriyani3

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia
<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

email: ahmadfaisol@fmipa.unila.ac.id<sup>1</sup>, fitriani.1984@fmipa.unila.ac.id<sup>2</sup>, sifriyani@fmipa.unmul.ac.id<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** The Noetherian property of the generalized power series module can determine in several ways. This paper uses the sub-exact sequence of modules over a ring R to determine this property. This investigation not only determines the Noetherian property of the generalized power series module but also the Noetherian property of its submodule. Furthermore, we give a construction of R[[S]]-homomorphism between the generalized power series modules.

**Keyword:** noetherian, strictly ordered monoid, generalized power series modules, exact sequence, sub-exact sequence.

#### 1. Introduction

The exact sequence of modules is one of the essential concepts in module theory [1], [2]. In [3], Fitriani et al. introduced a sub-exact sequence of modules. This concept is motivated by the quasi exact sequence established by Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky [4]. Furthermore, they use this concept to generalize the generator of modules related to a family of modules over a ring R [5]. Moreover, using a generalization of a linearly independent set of modules [6], they obtained a basis and free modules related to a family of modules [7].

Given ring R, monoid  $(S, \leq)$  with a strictly ordered, and a monoid homomorphism  $\omega$  from S to End(R). In 2019, Faisol and Fitriani gave some conditions for skew GPSM to be a T[[ $S, \omega$ ]]-Noetherian module over a ring R[[ $S, \omega$ ]] [8]. This sufficient condition is a generalization of the previous results [9], which were obtained by applying the properties in [10], generalizing the sufficient conditions in [11], and using the relations specified in [12].

Varadarajan [13] introduce the generalized power series module (GPSM). This module is a module over the generalized power series ring (we call it by GPSR), introduced by Ribenboim [14]. Moreover, the results of Ribenboim construction were generalized by Mazurek and Ziembowski [15] by utilizing the monoid homomorphism used in the convolution multiplication operation. In addition to constructing GPSM, Varadarajan [16] also provides necessary and sufficient conditions that GPSM is a Noetherian module. In this paper, we give a method to determine the Noetherian property of the generalized power series module. We use the concept of the sub-exact sequence to determine this property. In this way, we also can determine the Noetherian property of its submodules. Moreover, we give a construction of R[[S]]-homomorphism between the generalized power series modules.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

1751 (2021) 012028 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1751/1/012028

#### 2. The Main Results

Let R be a commutative ring with  $1_R \in R$  and S be a monoid with strictly ordered. Let  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$  be three modules over ring R. The set  $N_i[[S]]$  consists of all function  $\mu$  from S to  $N_i$  such that the support of f is Artinian and narrow (we denote support of f by supp(f), that is the set of  $s \in S$ , where f(s) is not equal to 0), for i = 1, 2, 3. We can write the set as follow:

$$N_i[[S]] = \{\mu : S \to N_i \mid \text{supp}(\mu) \text{ is Artinian and narrow}\},\$$

i = 1, 2, 3.

Before we give a condition when a submodule L[[S]] of  $N_2[[S]]$  is Noetherian R[[S]]-module, we recall that if L is a submodule of N, then L[[S]] is a submodule of  $N_2[[S]]$  as a module over R[[S]]. Let

$$L[[S]] = \{ \mu \in N_2[[S]] \mid \mu(s) \in L, \text{ for all } s \in S \}.$$

The set L[[S]] is a submodule of  $N_2[[S]]$ .

Let K, L, M be R-modules and X be R-submodules of L. Recall that the triple (K, L, M) is said to be

*X-sub-exact at L* if there exist f and g such that the sequence  $K \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M$  is exact. In the following proposition, we give a condition when a submodule L[[S]] of  $N_2[[S]]$  is Noetherian.

**Proposition 1.** Let R be a commutative ring with  $1 \in R$  and  $(S, \leq)$  be a monoid with a strictly ordered. Let  $N_1, N_2$ , and  $N_3$  are R-modules, and L is a submodule of  $N_2$  over R.

If the triple  $(N_I[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is L[[S]]-sub-exact as an R[[S]]-module,  $N_I[[S]]$  and  $N_3[[S]]$  are Noetherian R[[S]]-modules, then L[[S]] is a Noetherian R[[S]]-module.

**Proof.** Since the triple  $(N_I[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is L[[S]]-sub-exact, based on [3], we have the following sequence of a module over R[[S]] is exact.

$$N_1[[S]] \to L[[S]] \to N_3[[S]] \tag{1}$$

Since (1) is exact, there are R[[S]]-homomorphism f and g, where f is an R[[S]]-homomorphism from  $N_1[[S]]$  to L[[S]], g is an R[[S]]-homomorphism from L[[S]] to  $N_3[[S]]$ , and Im(f) = Ker(g). By hypothesis,  $N_I[[S]]$  and  $N_3[[S]]$  are Noetherian modules over R[[S]]. Hence based on [17], we have N[[S]] is a Noetherian as a module over R[[S]].

Given three *R*-modules  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$ . Fitriani et al. [3] construct a set  $\sigma(N_1, N_2, N_3)$  that consists of all submodules *X* of  $N_2$  such that the triple  $(N_1, N_2, N_3)$  is an *X*-sub-exact at  $N_2$ , i.e.:

$$\sigma(N_1, N_2, N_3) = \{X \text{ submodule of } N_2 | (N_1, N_2, N_3) \text{ is an } X \text{-sub exact at } N_2 \}.$$

In this case, we construct the set  $\sigma(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  that consist of all submodules X of  $N_2[[S]]$  such that the triple of generalized power series modules  $(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is an X-sub exact at  $N_2[[S]]$ , i.e.:  $\sigma(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]]) = \{X \le N_2[[S]] \}$  the triple  $(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is an X-sub exact at  $N_2[[S]]$ .

As a direct consequence of Proposition 1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.** Let R be a commutative ring with  $1 \in R$  and  $(S, \leq)$  be a monoid with strictly ordered. Let  $M_1, M_2$ , and  $M_3$  are modules over ring R. If  $N_I[[S]]$  and  $N_3[[S]]$  are Noetherian modules over R[[S]], then a submodule X of  $N_2$  is Noetherian, for every  $X \in \sigma(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X \in \sigma(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$ . We have the following exact sequence of R[[S]]-modules:  $N_1[[S]] \to X \to N_3[[S]]$ 

From Proposition 1, we have *X* is Noether.

In [18], Ziembowski gives a construction of a homomorphism of skew GPSR. Based on his construction, we construct a homomorphism of generalized power series modules in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** Given a commutative ring R with identity element 1. Given a monoid  $(S, \leq)$  with a strictly ordered, an endomorphism  $\omega$  of S such that for every subset Artinian and narrow  $T \subseteq S$ ,  $\omega(T)$ 

1751 (2021) 012028 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1751/1/012028

is Artinian, narrow, and  $h(\omega^{-1}(x)) = h(x)$ , for every x is in S, and h is in R[[S]]. Let  $\varphi$  be an R-homomorphism from  $N_2$  to  $N_3$ , where  $N_2$ ,  $N_3$  be R-modules. For  $\mu \in N_2[[S]]$ , we define:

$$\phi: N_2[[S]] \to N_3[[S]]$$

$$\mu \mapsto \bar{\mu}.$$

where

$$\bar{\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi \circ \mu \circ \omega^{-1}(x) & \text{; if } x \in \omega(S), \\ 0 & \text{; otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Then  $\phi$  is an R[[S]]-homomorphism from  $N_2[[S]]$  to  $N_3[[S]]$ .

**Proof.** Since supp $(\bar{\mu}) \subseteq \omega(\sup(\mu))$ , we have  $\bar{\mu} \in N_3[[S]]$ . Now, we will show that  $\phi$  is a R[[S]]-homomorphism from  $N_2[[S]]$  to  $N_3[[S]]$ .

a. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\beta \in N_2[[S]]$ , and  $x \in S$ . By (1), we have:  $\overline{\mu + \beta}(x) = \varphi \circ (\mu + \beta) \circ \omega^{-1}(x)$   $= \varphi((\mu + \beta) \circ \omega^{-1}(x))$   $= \varphi(\mu(\omega^{-1}(x)) + \beta(\omega^{-1}(x)))$   $= \varphi(\mu(\omega^{-1}(x))) + \varphi(\beta(\omega^{-1}(x)))$   $= \varphi \circ \mu \circ \omega^{-1}(x) + \varphi \circ \beta \circ \omega^{-1}(x)$   $= \overline{\mu}(x) + \overline{\beta}(x).$ 

This equation implies that  $\overline{\mu + \beta} = \overline{\mu} + \overline{\beta}$ , and hence  $\phi(\mu + \beta) = \phi(\mu) + \phi(\beta)$ , for every  $\mu, \beta \in N_2[[S]]$ .

b. Let  $\mu \in N_2[[S]]$ ,  $h \in R[[S]]$ , and  $x \in S$ . By (1), we get:  $\overline{h\mu}(x) = \varphi(h\mu) \circ \omega^{-1}(x) \\
= \varphi(h\mu)(\omega^{-1}(x)) \\
= \varphi(\sum_{s+t=\omega^{-1}(x)} h(s) \mu(t)) \\
= \sum_{s+t=\omega^{-1}(x)} \varphi(h(s)\mu(t)) \\
= \sum_{s+t=\omega^{-1}(x)} h(s) \varphi(\mu(t)) \\
= \sum_{\omega^{-1}(u)+\omega^{-1}(v)=\omega^{-1}(x)} h(\omega^{-1}(u)) \varphi(\mu(\omega^{-1}(v))) ; s = \omega^{-1}(u) \text{ dan } t = \omega^{-1}(v) \\
= \sum_{\omega^{-1}(u)+\omega^{-1}(v)=\omega^{-1}(x)} h(u) \varphi(\mu(\omega^{-1}(v))) ; h(\omega^{-1}(u)) = h(u) \\
\omega^{-1}(u+v)=\omega^{-1}(x) \\
= \sum_{u+v=x} h(u) (\varphi \circ \mu \circ \omega^{-1})(v) \\
= \sum_{u+v=x} h(u) \bar{\mu}(v) \\
= h\bar{\mu}(x).$ 

From a-b, we can conclude that  $\phi$  is an R[[S]]-homomorphism from  $N_2[[S]]$  to  $N_3[[S]]$ .

Hence, for every  $\mu \in N_2[[S]]$ ,  $h \in R[[S]]$ , we have  $\phi(h\mu) = \overline{h\mu} = h\overline{\mu} = h \phi(\mu)$ .

Given an R-module M, we recall that a submodule N of M is a direct summand of M if there exists  $K \le M$  such that  $M = N \oplus K$ , i.e., M = N + K, and  $N \cap K = 0$ . In this case, every  $m \in M$  can be uniquely written as m = a + b, where  $a \in N$ , and  $b \in K$  [17]. Next, we will use the construction of R[[S]]-homomorphism in Proposition 2 to provide the Noetherian property of the GPSM.

**Proposition 3.** Given a commutative ring R with  $1 \in R$  and a monoid  $(S, \leq)$  with a strictly ordered. Let  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$  are R-modules, and L[[S]] is a direct summand of  $N_2[[S]]$  as an R[[S]]-module. If  $(N_I[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is L[[S]]-sub-exact as an R[[S]]-module,  $N_I[[S]]$  and  $N_3[[S]]$  are Noetherian R[[S]]-modules, then  $N_2[[S]]$  is Noether.

1751 (2021) 012028 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1751/1/012028

**Proof.** By hypothesis  $(N_I[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is L[[S]]-sub-exact as an R[[S]]-module. Since  $N_I[[S]]$ and  $N_3[[S]]$  are Noetherian R[[S]]-modules, based on Proposition 1, we get L[[S]] is Noether. Since L[[S]] is a direct summand, there exists a submodule K of  $N_2[[S]]$  such that  $N_2[[S]] = L[[S]] \oplus$ K. Then every  $\mu \in M_2[[S]]$  can uniquely write as  $\mu = \mu' + \mu''_2$ , where  $\mu' \in L[[S]]$ , and  $\mu'' \in K$ . Besides that, the triple  $(N_1[[S]], N_2[[S]], N_3[[S]])$  is L[[S]]-sub-exact implies that there are two R[[S]]homomorphisms f and g such that the following sequence is exact.

$$N_1[[S]] \xrightarrow{f} L[[S]] \xrightarrow{g} N_3[[S]],$$

i.e., Im(f) = Ker(g).

Thus, we can define an R[[S]]-homomorphism

$$g': N_2[[S]] \rightarrow N_3[[S]],$$

where 
$$g' = \begin{cases} g(\mu); & \text{if } \mu \in L[[S]]; \\ 0; & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
  
Hence, we get the following diagram of  $R[[S]]$ -module:

Based on [3], the following sequence of R[[S]]-module is exact.

$$N_1[[S]] \xrightarrow{i \circ f} N_2[[S]] \xrightarrow{g'} N_3[[S]]$$

 $N_1[[S]] \xrightarrow{i \circ f} N_2[[S]] \xrightarrow{g'} N_3[[S]].$ Since  $N_1[[S]]$  and  $N_3[[S]]$  are Noetherian, based on Proposition 1,  $N_2[[S]]$  is Noetherian.

#### Conclusion

Based on the results, we can conclude that we can use the concept of a sub-exact sequence of modules over R[S] to determine the Noetherian property of generalized power series modules. Besides that, we also can determine the Noetherian property of its submodule.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Research Institutions and Community Service of Universitas Lampung for this research's support and funding under the Research Contract No: 1491/UN 26.21/PN/2020.

#### References

- Adkins W A and Weintraub S H 1992 Algebra: An Approach via Module Theory (New York: [1] Springer-Verlag)
- [2] Dummit S and Foote R 2004 Abstract Algebra (USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.)
- [3] Fitriani, Surodjo B and Wijayanti I E 2016 On sub-exact sequences Far East J. Math. Sci. 100(7) 1055
- Davvaz B and Parnian-Garamaleky P 1999 A Note on Exact Sequences Bull. Malaysian Math. [4] Sci. Soc. 22 53
- Fitriani, Wijayanti I E and Surodjo B 2018 Generalization of U-Generator and M-Subgenerator Related to Category  $\sigma[M]$  J. Math. Res. **10**(4) 101
- Fitriani, Surodjo B and Wijayanti I E 2017 On X-Sub-Linearly Independent Modules Journal of Physics: Conference Series 893(012008) 1
- Fitriani, Wijayanti I E and Surodjo B 2018 A Generalization of Basis and Free Modules Relatives to a Family of R-modules Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1097(012087) 1
- Faisol A and Fitriani 2019 The Sufficient Conditions for Skew Generalized Power Series Module  $M[[S,\omega]]$  to be  $T[[S,\omega]]$ -Noetherian  $R[[S,\omega]]$ -module Al-Jabar J. Pendidik. Mat. 10(2) 285

1751 (2021) 012028 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1751/1/012028

- [9] Faisol A, Surodjo B and Wahyuni S 2019 T[[S]]-Noetherian Property On Generalized Power Series Modules JP J. Algebr. Number Theory Appl. 43(1) 1
- [10] Faisol A, Surodjo B and Wahyuni S 2018 The Impact Of The Monoid Homomorphism On The Structure Of Skew Generalized Power Series Rings Far East J. Math. Sci.103(7) 1215
- [11] Faisol A, Surodjo B and Wahyuni S 2019 The Sufficient Conditions for R[X]-module M[X] to be S[X]-Noetherian Eur. J. Math. Sci. 5(1) 1
- [12] Faisol A, Surodjo B and Wahyuni S 2019 The Relation between Almost Noetherian Module, Almost Finitely Generated Module and T-Noetherian Module Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1306(01200) 1
- [13] Varadarajan K 2001 Noetherian Generalized Power Series Rings and Modules Commun. Algebr. 29(1) 245
- [14] Ribenboim P 1992 Noetherian Rings Of Generalized Power Series J. Pure Appl. Algebr. 79(3) 293
- [15] Mazurek R and Ziembowski M 2008 On Von Neumann Regular Rings Of Skew Generalized Power Series Commun. Algebr. 36(5) 1855
- [16] Varadarajan K 2001 Generalized Power Series Modules Commun. Algebr. 29(3) 1281
- [17] Wisbauer R 1991 Foundations of Module and Ring Theory (USA: Philadelphia)
- [18] Ziembowski M 2010 Right Gaussian Rings and Related Topics (University of Edinburgh)

### Check 8

#### **ORIGINALITY REPORT**

SIMILARITY INDEX

**7**‰ **INTERNET SOURCES**  **PUBLICATIONS** 

STUDENT PAPERS

#### **PRIMARY SOURCES**

teknik.trunojoyo.ac.id

Internet Source

ejournal2.undip.ac.id Internet Source

Submitted to Binus University International Student Paper

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 2%

Exclude bibliography

## Check 8

CHECK O		
GRADEMARK REPORT		
FINAL GRADE	GENERAL COMMENTS	
/0	Instructor	
, •		
PAGE 1		
PAGE 2		
PAGE 3		
PAGE 4		
PAGE 5		
PAGE 6		