

Structure of local government budgets and local fiscal autonomy: Evidence from Indonesia

by Rizky Yударuddin

Submission date: 27-Feb-2023 02:29PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2024126288

File name: PMF_2022_01_Musviyanti.pdf (510.13K)

Word count: 5588

Character count: 31281



BUSINESS PERSPECTIVES



LLC "CPC "Business Perspectives"
Hryhorii Skovoroda lane, 10,
Sumy, 40022, Ukraine
www.businessperspectives.org

Received on: 20th of June, 2022
Accepted on: 27th of July, 2022
Published on: 2nd of August, 2022

© Musviyanti, Fibriyani Nur Khairin,
Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan
Syakura, Rizky Yudaruddin, 2022

Musviyanti, Lecturer, Faculty of
Economic and Business, Department of
Accounting, Mulawarman University,
Indonesia.

Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Lecturer, Faculty
of Economic and Business, Department
of Accounting, Mulawarman
University, Indonesia.

Hariman Bone, Lecturer, Faculty of
Economic and Business, Department of
Accounting, Mulawarman University,
Indonesia. (Corresponding author)

Muhammad Abadan Syakura,
Lecturer, Faculty of Economic and
Business, Department of Accounting,
Mulawarman University, Indonesia.

Rizky Yudaruddin, Assistant Professor,
Faculty of Economic and Business,
Department of Management,
Mulawarman University, Indonesia.



This is an Open Access article,
distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International license, which permits
unrestricted re-use, distribution, and
reproduction in any medium, provided
the original work is properly cited.

Conflict of interest statement:
Author(s) reported no conflict of interest

[http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/pmf.11\(1\).2022.07](http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/pmf.11(1).2022.07)

Musviyanti (Indonesia), Fibriyani Nur Khairin (Indonesia), Hariman Bone (Indonesia),
Muhammad Abadan Syakura (Indonesia), Rizky Yudaruddin (Indonesia)

STRUCTURE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGETS AND LOCAL FISCAL AUTONOMY: EVIDENCE FROM INDONESIA

Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the critical aspects of Indonesia's local government budget structure. The impact of the budget on local fiscal autonomy was also examined by separating the sample of provinces in Java Island and Bali versus Non-Java Island and Bali. The unbalanced panel data was collected on 34 Indonesian provinces from 2013 to 2020. The results showed that locally-generated revenue and general allocation funds positively affect the regional fiscal autonomy index. These results indicate that local revenue and general allocation funds have improved regional fiscal autonomy. When the provincial sample is separated, general allocation funds positively and significantly affect the regional fiscal autonomy index in the provinces of Java Island and Bali. Furthermore, locally-generated revenue, as well as general allocation and profit-sharing funds, play a significant role in increasing the regional fiscal autonomy index in provinces outside Java and Bali, such as Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Papua. These findings suggest that different geographical conditions and infrastructure have varying effects on encouraging regional fiscal autonomy. This study invites policymakers to address the strengthening of regional authority to explore income sources and budgeting quality and evaluate intergovernmental fiscal relationships.

Keywords local government, budgeting, fiscal autonomy, decentralization

JEL Classification H51, H71, H72, H30

INTRODUCTION

Fiscal decentralization is transferring responsibility and authority from the central to local governments. Authority delegation includes expenditure, revenue, intergovernmental assignment, and subnational borrowing. Local governments' fiscal autonomy determines the capacity to finance their activities without external assistance, such as from the federal government. Therefore, this indicator could evaluate the vertical fiscal imbalance between the federal and local governments. According to Hunter (1977), regional fiscal autonomy is the freedom of the local government's legal authority to determine revenues and expenditures. As a result, local governments have the leverage to increase the proportion of their revenues, resulting in higher expenditures than at the central level.

Government decentralization and regional autonomy commenced with the passage of Law 22 of 1999 regarding Regional Government and Law 25 of 1999 regarding Financial Balance between Central and Regional Governments. Based on the principle of regional autonomy, the central government delegated some of its affairs to autonomous regions following expanded decentralization, as evidenced by the passage of the two laws. Regional demands for the authority to develop their regions result in decentralization. Since the two laws were be-

lieved to have flaws, they were revised with Law No. 32 of 2004 on Regional Government and Law No. 33 on Financial Balance between the Central Government and Regional Governments. Moreover, the provisions regarding local governments were amended by Law Number 23 of 2014 concerning Regional Government. This was followed by Law Number 9 of 2015, amending Law Number 23 of 2014 regarding Regional Government, Second Amendment.

Several public services are delegated to local governments under regional autonomy. This is because local governments understand the needs of the people in their regions better than the central government. Regional autonomy is anticipated to increase the speed and efficiency of service delivery to the community. In this case, local governments would make decisions without the central government's approval, promoting efficiency in providing community services and development. Additionally, autonomy confers greater responsibility on local governments to provide services to local communities and implement development. It means that regional autonomy must be bolstered by expanding regional fiscal capacity. The fiscal decentralization expansion could increase regional fiscal capacity. Regional authority over collecting taxes and retributions as part of PA is bolstered and expanded with fiscal decentralization. The local government's authority to collect Regional Taxes and Levies was bolstered by passing the Regional Taxes and Levies Law No. 28 of 2009, which is expected to increase regional fiscal autonomy.

There is a disparity in fiscal autonomy between the provinces in Java and Bali and those outside. The fiscal autonomy of most provincial governments, which account for 69.7%, is projected to change between 2013 and 2020. Statistics show that 47.8% of unstable provincial governments are considered "Towards autonomy." No Indonesian province was included in the "Very autonomy" category until 2020. Therefore, it is fascinating to examine the influence of the local government budget structure on fiscal autonomy.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

The government focuses on regulation and imposition, where products and services are considered exclusive public goods, such as flood control or assistance benefits. The constitution and democracy justify the government's monopoly. Hill and Hupe (2002) stated that the government shapes structure, content, and process and is involved in the entire governance. The top priorities for political and administrative functionaries are making and managing directive decisions. According to Edwards (1980), public policies such as communications, resources, dispositions or attitudes, and bureaucratic structures, must be implemented simultaneously.

Several theories suggest that public policy, specifically budgeting, is important to governance. Osborne and Gaebler (1992) proposed government reforms using The Reinforcing Government. Since Mission-Driven Budgeting helps reinvent government, the budget's main purpose is its mission. Jensen and Meckling (1976) explained agent-principal agency using agency theory. In

government, the executive is the agent, while the legislature is the principal. Before budget preparation, the executive and legislature agree on its direction, general policies, and priorities. The executive submits a draft budget to the legislature for study and discussion before becoming a regional regulation. This incomplete contract allows the legislature to oversee the executive's budget execution. According to Jones' (1997) public policy theory, the policy is a permanent decision characterized by consistent behavior from its makers and followers. The decision has a strong legal basis and must be made consistently and implemented by all parties, including the policymakers. The performance of the policymakers in carrying out their duties and functions in implementing these policies must be controlled and evaluated.

Decentralization grants the authority to budget for community services based on income and expenditure. It makes the government more accountable and responsive to the governed (Faguet, 2014). Barankay and Lockwood (2007) stated that expenditure decentralization is beneficial when central governments are less competent. When

the local governments' retention rate of enterprise tax revenue was cut in China, they moved the focus from fostering industrial expansion to developing the real estate and construction industries (Han & Kung, 2015). Therefore, financial autonomy is the most important aspect of budgeting. Regional financial independence demonstrates that local governments finance activities such as development and provide community services. The reliance on external financial assistance from the center or the province could be determined through the financial independence ratio (Halim, 2007). Regional development funding is provided by the federal and local governments and non-governmental organizations. Additionally, regional income is derived from funds allocated from the center through subsidies. These include a share of central revenue, loans, central government investments, taxes, user fees, and regional company profits (Basri & Subri, 2003).

Fiscal decentralization generates economic efficiency and dynamically fosters regional economic expansion (Martinez-Vazquez & McNab, 2001; Oates, 1993). Spending on infrastructure and the social sector effectively promotes regional economic growth because the region is familiar with its characteristics. Furthermore, revenue and expenditure decentralization improves public sector efficiency, reduces budget deficits, and stimulates economic growth (Zhang & Zou, 1998; Gramlich, 1993; Bird et al., 1995; Bird, 2003; Bahl & Linh, 1992). This viewpoint is predicated on the premise that local governments meet regional needs better than the federal government. Lindaman and Thurmaier (2002) found that fiscal decentralization positively affects people's welfare by fulfilling the community's basic needs. This is consistent with the finding that fiscal decentralization increases the local governments' sensitivity to the people's wants and needs. Using a fixed effect panel estimation method, Wibowo (2008) emphasized that fiscal decentralization in Indonesia positively affected regional development from 1999 to 2004. According to Simanjuntak (2010), the annually rising national economy and public welfare are consistent with increased regional funding sources. Additionally, Lindaman and Thurmaier (2002) found that fiscal decentralization positively affects the fulfillment of basic community needs.

The structure of local government budgets is a determining factor for local fiscal autonomy. It includes the ratio of the effectiveness of locally-generated revenue, general allocation fund, profit-sharing fund, and local tax ratio. The locally-generated revenue (LGR) ratio describes the local government's ability to implement the planned compared to the budgeted revenue target. The region's ability to perform its duties is effective when the ratio is at least 1 or 100%. However, a higher LGR effectiveness ratio implies better regional capacity because all the plans are implemented, and performance is improved. Previous studies showed that LGR positively and significantly affects regional fiscal independence in Indonesia (Sianturi, 2014; Andriani & Wahid, 2018; Amalia & Haryanto, 2019; Riyadi, 2022; Angelina et al., 2020; Nur, 2019; Jeddawi, 2021; Machfud et al., 2020; Heryanti et al., 2019; Tahar & Zakhiya, 2011; Anggreni & Artini, 2019). Therefore, LGR is all revenues from the area's original economic source and contributions from the local community. These contributions include local taxes, regional levies, profits of regionally owned enterprises, and other legitimate revenues not from taxes or levies.

The General Allocation Fund (GAF) is an essential source of income to support the government's daily operations and development financing. It supports local revenue sources and equalizes the local government's financial capacity (Saragih, 2003). Additionally, GAF is a block grant given to all districts and cities to fill the gap between their fiscal capacity and needs. It is distributed based on certain principles, where poor and underdeveloped regions should receive a larger share. The critical goal of GAF is equitable distribution of the ability to provide public services among local governments in Indonesia (Kuncoro, 2004). Therefore, it positively impacts regional fiscal independence. Machfud et al. (2020), Riyadi (2022), Angelina et al. (2020), Tahar and Zakhiya (2011), and Andriani and Wahid (2018) found that increased GAF increases regional fiscal independence.

Government Regulation Number 55 of 2005 concerning balancing funds states that Revenue Sharing Funds (PSF) are sourced from APBN revenues. The revenues are allocated to regions based on percentage figures to fund regional needs in implementing decentralization. Therefore, PSF

is a component of the balancing fund essential in implementing regional autonomy. Tax PSF is allocated on a by-origin principle, meaning the revenue is based on the potential of the regional income-generating area. This indicates that the greater the percentage of funds distributed to the regions, the greater the contribution PSF gives to regional revenues and vice versa (Yani, 2002). This shows that when the local government wants high tax revenue sharing transfers, it must optimize the potential of regional tax revenues.

Consequently, the contribution of tax revenue sharing funds to regional income is reduced. In this case, regional independence is achieved, implying reduced dependence on the central government. Andriani and Wahid (2018), Machfud et al. (2020), Nugraha and Amelia (2017), and Novalistia (2016) found that PSF positively and significantly influenced regional fiscal independence in Indonesia.

Based on Law Number 28 of 2009 concerning Regional Taxes and Regional Levies, Regional Tax is a mandatory contribution to the region owed by an individual or entity coercive under the Act. This is effected without direct compensation and used for regional needs for people's greatest prosperity. High local tax revenues create regional financial independence and eliminate dependence on aid funds from the central government. Previous studies found that the local tax ratio (LTR) affects regional financial independence (Nggilu et al., 2016; Erawati & Suzan, 2015; Novalistia, 2016). Regional income dramatically affects the local governments' financial performance, meaning that higher regional tax increases independence.

The key question the study seeks to address is to investigate the extent to which the structure of local government budgets has affected local fiscal autonomy in Indonesia. Therefore, the study aims to examine the effect of the effectiveness of locally-generated revenue, general allocation fund, profit-sharing fund, and local tax ratio on the regional fiscal autonomy index. In addition, this study separates a sample of geographical conditions and regional infrastructure. For empirical purposes, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- H1: *Locally-generated revenue positively affects the regional fiscal autonomy index.*
- H2: *General allocation fund positively affects the regional fiscal autonomy index.*
- H3: *Profit-sharing fund positively affects the regional fiscal autonomy index.*
- H4: *Local tax ratio positively affects the regional fiscal autonomy index.*

2. METHODOLOGY

This study collected unbalanced panel data on 34 Indonesian provinces from 2013 to 2020 from The Audit Board of Indonesia (BPK) and the Indonesian Central Statistics Agency. The Fiscal Autonomy Index (FAI), developed by Hunter (1977), was used to measure the regional fiscal autonomy index (RFAI). The Hunter formula (1977) is frequently used to calculate regional fiscal autonomy. The APBD structure was adjusted, as shown in Table 1, because the Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD) structure differs slightly from the budget classification used in Formula Hunter (1977). This indicates that the lower the RFA index, the lower the level of independence, and vice versa. Table 2 shows that the Audit Board of Indonesia (BPK) categorizes regional fiscal autonomy as "Not Yet Autonomous or Independent," "Towards Autonomy/Independence," "Autonomous/Independent," and "Very Autonomous/Independent."

This study also used control variables, including Per capita income (PCI), Domestic Investment (DMI), Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), and Population (POP). People's ability to pay taxes is influenced by per capita income. In this case, higher income increases the ability to pay various government levies. Payments or contributions by the community in a district or city in paying the increasing taxes increase the funds used to finance development and community needs, affecting regional financial independence. This means districts or cities no longer depend on the central government (Halim, 2001; Ariasih, 2013).

Table 1. Operational definition of variables and measurements

Variables	Symbol	Definition and measure	Sign
Regional Fiscal Autonomy Index	RFAI	$RFAI = 1 - \frac{TRGP + TRSP + B + REV_{sh}}{REV_{or} + TRGP + TRSP + B + REV_{sh}}$ where REV_{or} – Regional Original Income comprises Regional Taxes, Regional Retribution, Results of Regional Wealth Management Separated, and Other Legitimate Regional Original Income. $TRGP$ – General Purpose Transfer, Special Autonomy Fund, Privilege Fund, Village Fund, and Inter-Regional Transfer Revenue. $TRSP$ – Special Allocation Fund (Physical and Non-Physical) B – Subnational Borrowing REV_{sh} – Profit-Sharing Fund, which consists of: Profit-Sharing Fund from Land Building Tax; Revenue Sharing Fund from Income tax Article 25 and Article 29 for individual domestic taxpayers and Income tax Article 21; Revenue Sharing Fund from excise originating from excise on tobacco products according to statutory provisions. Revenue Sharing Fund from natural resources	
Locally-Generated Revenue	LGR	Locally-Generated Revenue to Total Income (%)	+
General Allocation Fund	GAF	Realizing regional general allocation funds compared to realizing total regional income (%)	+
Profit-Sharing Fund	PSF	Realizing regional revenue-sharing funds compared to realizing total regional income (%)	+
Local Tax Ratio	LTR	Realizing local tax revenue compared to gross regional domestic product (%)	+
Per capita income	PCI	Per capita income is measured by the money earned per person at the provincial level.	+
Domestic Investment	DMI	The natural logarithm of Foreign Investment Realization by Province (Million USD).	+
Foreign Direct Investment	FDI	The natural logarithm of Domestic Investment Realization by Province (Billion Rupiah).	+
Population	POP	The natural logarithm of the population	+

Table 2. Classification of regional fiscal autonomy

Level	Regional Fiscal Autonomy Index (RFAI)	Category
1	$0.00 \leq RFAI \leq 0.25$	Not Yet Autonomous/Independent
2	$0.25 \leq RFAI \leq 0.50$	Towards Autonomy/Independence
3	$0.50 \leq RFAI \leq 0.75$	Autonomous/Independent
4	$0.75 \leq RFAI \leq 1.00$	Very Autonomous/Independent

Moreover, investment has an important role in encouraging fiscal independence. For instance, foreign direct investment is needed in developing countries (Lestari et al., 2022). One investment that local governments could take is direct equity participation in regional companies. This investment could increase the regional original income and independence (Wahyono, 2021; Ulfi, 2022).

Regressions were conducted in two stages according to the econometric methodology. In the first stage, the local government budget structure and control variables were considered concurrently, as in Equation (1). The second stage broke down repeated samples between Java Island and Bali versus Non-Java Island and Bali. Therefore, the following model was adopted to predict the regional fiscal autonomy index:

$$RFAI_{i,t} = \alpha_{i,t} + \beta_1 LGR_{i,t} + \beta_2 GAF_{i,t} + \beta_3 PSF_{i,t} + \beta_4 LTR_{i,t} + \beta_5 PCI_{i,t} + \beta_6 DMI_{i,t} + \beta_7 FDI_{i,t} + \beta_8 POP_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}, \quad (1)$$

where $RFAI_{i,t}$ = Regional Fiscal Autonomy Index in the province i at time t ; $LGR_{i,t}$ = Locally-Generated Revenue in the province i at time t ; $GAF_{i,t}$ = General Allocation Fund in the i at time t ; $PSF_{i,t}$ = Profit Sharing Fund in the province i at time t ; $LTR_{i,t}$ = Local Tax Ratio in the province i at time t ; $PCI_{i,t}$ = Per capita income in the province i at time t ; $DMI_{i,t}$ = Domestic Investment in the province i at time t ; $FDI_{i,t}$ = Foreign direct investment in the province i at time t ; $POP_{i,t}$ = Population in the province i at time t ; $\alpha_{i,t}$ = Constanta in the province i at time t ; $\epsilon_{i,t}$ = Error term in the province i at time t .

The data were analyzed using panel data regression, which recovers cross-sectional and time-series variation from the underlying panel data and minimizes multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and estimate bias (Baltagi, 2008; Wooldridge, 2010). The method is employed when there are three approach models, including the Common Effect Model (CEM), the Fixed Effect Model (FEM), and the Random Effect Model (REM). Chow and Hausman's tests selected one of the three possible models (Baltagi, 2008). The Chow test results indicated that the null hypothesis (H_0) that Common Effect Model (CEM) residuals have no mistakes is rejected. Additionally, the Hausman test was performed to evaluate the suitable panel estimator between the fixed and random-effects models. The test findings indicated that the random effects estimator was suitable (Hill et al., 2018), necessitating investigating the random-effects technique.

3. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 shows the descriptive statistics for the study sample with an average *RFAI* of 0.379 and a standard deviation of 0.163. This shows that the *RFAI* in the provinces in Indonesia is in the "Towards Autonomy/Independence" category.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics

Variables	Symbol	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev	Min.	Max.
Regional Fiscal Autonomy Index	RFAI	264	0.379	0.163	0.008	0.761
Locally-Generated Revenue	LGR	260	28.281	15.468	0.196	61.967
General Allocation Fund	GAF	267	37.742	17.181	0.782	76.197
Profit-Sharing Fund	PSF	267	-3.488	0.707	-5.075	-1.362
Local Tax Ratio	LTR	267	4.028	3.838	0.625	25.617
Per capita income	PCI	272	39.886	30.482	10.397	174.81
Domestic Investment	DMI	269	7.804	1.850	1.281	11.036
Foreign Direct Investment	FDI	272	5.701	1.669	0.693	8.871
Population	POP	267	8.385	0.994	6.526	10.811

Table 4. Correlation matrix

Variables	LGR	GAF	PSF	LTR	PAC	DMI	FDI	POP
LGR	1.0000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAF	-0.5828	1.0000	-	-	-	-	-	-
PSF	-0.4032	0.6471	1.0000	-	-	-	-	-
LTR	-0.4485	0.4305	0.3824	1.0000	-	-	-	-
PAC	-0.4178	0.1613	0.1195	0.4933	1.0000	-	-	-
DMI	-0.5533	0.5872	0.4260	0.2661	0.2664	1.0000	-	-
FDI	-0.5364	0.5021	0.3864	0.4126	0.3496	0.5012	1.0000	-
POP	-0.5165	0.5908	0.3877	0.1655	-0.0441	0.5473	0.5168	1.0000

Since the start of regional decentralization, the provinces have not achieved fiscal independence since the *RFAI* has not changed in the last ten years. Fiscal independence is also dominated by the provinces in Java and Bali, such as DKI Jakarta, West Java, Central Java, and East Java, which are included in the Very Autonomous/Independent category. However, 73.5% of the 34 regions have not reached the Very Autonomous/Independent category. Locally-generated revenue has increased in recent years, with an average RGF of 28% and a standard deviation of 15.45. Provinces such as Papua and Aceh have not experienced an increase in RGF. The General Allocation Fund, Profit Sharing Fund, and Local Tax Ratio variables are 37.74%, -3.44%, and 4.02%, respectively. The average value of all the variables is higher than the standard deviation, with a slight data deviation.

Table 4 displays the correlation matrix for independent variables for evaluating the existence of the multicollinearity problem. The correlations between independent variables are not alarming. A previous study found multicollinearity develops when the correlation between variables exceeds 0.80 (Field, 2009). A lack of connection between the correlation matrix and the explanatory variables implies no multicollinearity.

6 **Table 5. Impact of the structure of local government budgets on local fiscal autonomy**

Explanatory variables	Dependent variable: Regional Fiscal Autonomy Index (RFAI)			
	Coef.	Robust Std. error	z	p > z
LGR	0.00034**	0.00017	2.03	0.042
GAF	0.00921***	0.00016	57.02	0.000
PSF	0.00649	0.00573	1.13	0.257
LTR	-0.00074	0.00119	-0.62	0.532
PAC	0.00028***	0.00010	2.85	0.004
DMI	-0.00125	0.00133	-0.94	0.348
FDI	-0.00040	0.00128	-0.31	0.756
POP	0.01259***	0.00332	3.79	0.000
Constant	-0.07702*	0.03931	-1.96	0.050
Prob. > chi2		0.0000		
R Squared		0.6958		
Observation		245		

9 Note: * Levels of significance at 10%, ** Levels of significance at 5%, and *** Levels of significance at 1%.

Table 5 shows the relationship between the local government budget structure and fiscal autonomy. The panel data regression techniques used three alternative approaches to processing methods. The Chow test results showed that the F-test value and Chi-square probability were significant at 0.0000, smaller than 0.05. This indicates that the null hypothesis is rejected, meaning that FEM is better than CEM. Furthermore, the Hausman test results showed a p-value of 0.7073, greater than 0.05. This means that the null hypothesis is accepted, implying that REM is better than FEM.

31 Table 5 shows the results of the local government budget structure's impact on fiscal autonomy. LGR positively influences regional financial independence (RFAI) in Indonesia, with a coefficient of 0.00034. This means that a 1% increase in the local revenue increases the regional financial independence in Sidoarjo Regency by 0.00034%, and vice versa. Therefore, the first hypothesis predicting a positive association between LGR and RFAI was accepted. The findings in Table 5 support hypothesis H1 that LGR improves RFAI. This result is consistent with Sianturi (2014), Andriani and Wahid (2018), Amalia and Haryanto (2019), Riyadi (2022), Angelina et al. (2020), Nur (2019), Jeddawi (2021), Machfud et al. (2020), Heryanti et al. (2019), Tahar and Zakhya (2011), and Anggreni and Artini (2019) regarding LGR's role for local governments. Therefore, higher independence reduces regional dependence on the central and provincial governments.

Table 5 also shows the positive and significant effect of GAF on RFAI. The coefficient of GAF is 0.00921, implying that a 1% increase in GAF increases RFAI by 0.00921%. The results support the second hypothesis that GAF positively impacts RFAI. Therefore, there is a linear relationship between GAF and RFAI in the provinces in Indonesia. This supports Machfud et al. (2020), Riyadi (2022), Angelina et al. (2020), Tahar and Zakhya (2011), and Andriani and Wahid (2018), who found that higher GAF increases regional fiscal independence. According to Law Number 23 of 2014, the General Allocation Fund is a transfer from the central government's budget allocation of revenues and expenditures (APBN) to the regions. It is a support fund for building facilities and infrastructure to distribute each region's financial capacity and eliminate gaps. According to Law No. 33 of 2004 Article 27, General allocation funds are used to close the gaps because regional needs exceed the existing revenue potential.

The results in Table 5 show a positive but insignificant coefficient of profit-sharing fund (PSF). This means that PSF does not influence RFAI in Indonesia, implying that the third hypothesis (H3) is rejected. Similarly, the local tax ratio (LTR) shows insignificant results, indicating that LTR does not impact RFAI in Indonesia, and the fourth hypothesis (H4) is rejected. For control variables, the only population has a significant positive impact. This is in line with the expectation that a higher population increases productivity and government income, resulting in fiscal independence.

6

Table 6. Impact of the structure of local government budgets on local fiscal autonomy (Java Island and Bali versus Non-Java Island and Bali)

Explanatory variables	Dependent variable: Regional Fiscal Autonomy Index (RFAI)							
	Java Island and Bali				Non-Java Island and Bali			
	21 Coef.	Robust Std. error	z	p > z	Coef.	Robust Std. error	z	p > z
LGR	0.00003	0.00154	0.02	0.985	0.00066***	0.00019	3.55	0.000
GAF	0.00785***	0.00110	7.16	0.000	0.00867***	0.00021	40.97	0.000
PSF	0.04154	0.02616	1.59	0.112	0.01597**	0.00812	1.97	0.049
LTR	-0.00706	0.00657	-1.07	0.282	-0.00288	0.00195	-1.47	0.141
PAC	0.00066	0.00070	0.93	0.350	0.00042***	0.00011	3.93	0.000
DMI	-0.00063	0.00488	-0.13	0.898	0.00015	0.00142	0.11	0.916
FDI	0.00168	0.00525	0.32	0.749	-0.00019	0.00139	-0.14	0.890
POP	0.00170	0.00778	0.22	0.827	0.02128***	0.00439	4.84	0.000
Constant	0.19867	0.18076	1.10	0.272	-0.11187	0.05260	-2.13	0.033
Prob. > chi2		0.0000				0.0000		
R Squared		0.9000				0.6435		
Observation		50				195		

Note: * Levels of significance at 10%, ** Levels of significance at 5%, and *** Levels of significance at 1%.

This study divided the sample into Java Island and Bali versus Non-Java Island and Bali. This is because the provinces in Java Island and Bali dominate fiscal independence in Indonesia. Four provinces on the island of Java, including DKI Jakarta, West Java, East Java, and Central Java, had high fiscal capacity indexes until 2020. This index shows that provinces on Java Island dominate regions with high

fiscal independence. Furthermore, Table 6 shows that GAF positively and significantly impacts RFAI in Java Island and Bali provinces. LGR, GAF, and PSF increase RFAI in provinces outside Java and Bali, such as Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Papua. These findings support hypothesis H1 for Java Island and Bali, while H1, H2, and H3 are supported for Non-Java Island and Bali.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study aimed to investigate the critical aspects of local government budget structure in Indonesia. It also aimed to explore the structure's impact on local fiscal autonomy by separating the sample of provinces in Java Island and Bali versus Non-Java Island and Bali. This study collected unbalanced panel data for 34 provinces from 2013 to 2020. The data were obtained from The Audit Board of Indonesia (BPK) and the Central Statistics Agency. The effect of local government budget structure on local fiscal autonomy was estimated using panel data regression.

The findings showed that locally-generated revenue positively affects the regional fiscal autonomy index. This means that the revenue improves regional fiscal autonomy in Indonesia. Similarly, general allocation funds positively and significantly affect the regional fiscal autonomy index. It means that more general allocation funds increase the regional fiscal autonomy index. The profit-sharing fund and local tax ratio did not significantly affect the regional fiscal autonomy index.

Furthermore, this study separated the provincial sample between Java Island and Bali versus Non-Java Island and Bali. The findings showed that general allocation funds positively and significantly influence the regional fiscal autonomy index in the provinces of Java Island and Bali. Locally-generated revenue, general allocation funds, and profit-sharing funds increase the regional fiscal autonomy index in provinces outside Java and Bali, such as Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Papua.

The overall results indicate that locally-generated revenue increases the regional fiscal autonomy index. Therefore, the central government should strengthen regional authority to explore sources of income.

They need to explore strategic tax sources and the region's variation in potential income sources to realize higher locally-generated revenue. The local government should also improve the budgeting quality because the increased general allocation of funds and profit-sharing from the central government should be followed by improved governance. Additionally, the government needs to re-evaluate the intergovernmental fiscal relationship regarding decentralization. This is because the federal finance model, official boundaries, delegation of functions, authority, and financing have been regulated through a law. The model is suitable because Indonesia is diverse in demographic and ethnic aspects. The law regulating regional autonomy and fiscal decentralization is expected to accommodate the Central and Local Government needs. It should also achieve fiscal independence by implementing fiscal decentralization.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Musviyanti.

Data curation: Rizky Yudaruddin, Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone.

Formal analysis: Musviyanti, Rizky Yudaruddin, Fibriyani Nur Khairin.

Funding acquisition: Musviyanti, Hariman Bone.

Investigation: Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan Syakura.

Methodology: Musviyanti, Rizky Yudaruddin.

Project administration: Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan Syakura.

Resources: Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan Syakura.

Software: Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan Syakura.

Supervision: Rizky Yudaruddin.

Validation: Musviyanti.

Visualization: Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan Syakura.

Writing – original draft: Fibriyani Nur Khairin, Hariman Bone, Muhammad Abadan Syakura.

Writing – review & editing: Musviyanti, Rizky Yudaruddin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express gratitude to the three anonymous reviewers and seminar attendees at Mulawarman University for their insightful comments.

REFERENCES

- Amalia, A. F. N., & Haryanto, H. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah, Dana Alokasi Umum, Dan Belanja Modal Terhadap Tingkat Kemandirian Keuangan Daerah Pada Pemerintah Daerah Kabupaten/Kota Di Provinsi Jawa Tengah Tahun 2012–2017. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 8(2), 1-13. (In Indonesian). Retrieved from <https://repofeb.undip.ac.id/2033/>
- Andriani, R. N. A., & Wahid, N. N. (2018). Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah Dan Dana Perimbangan Terhadap Kemandirian Keuangan Daerah (Studi Kasus Pada Pemerintah Kota Tasikmalaya Tahun 2006–2015). *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 13(1), 30-39. (In Indonesian). Retrieved from <https://jurnal.unsil.ac.id/index.php/jak/article/view/708/458>
- Angelina, N., Efni, Y., & Rasuli, M. (2020). Pengaruh Belanja Modal, Pendapatan Asli Daerah Dan Dana Alokasi Umum Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Dengan Pengawasan Sebagai Variabel Moderating Di Kabupaten/Kota Provinsi Riau Tahun 2014–2018. *Jurnal Bahtera Inovasi*, 4(1), 36-53. (In Indonesian). Retrieved from <https://ojs.umrah.ac.id/index.php/bahteraainovasi/article/view/2757/1121>
- Anggreni, N. K. A., & Artini, L. G. S. (2019). Pengaruh PAD, Dana Perimbangan dan Belanja Modal Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Daerah Kabupaten Badung Provinsi Bali. *E-Jurnal Manajemen Unud*, 8(3), 1315-1345. (In Indonesian). Retrieved from <https://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/Manajemen/article/view/42487/28368>
- Ariasih, P. (2013). Pengaruh Jumlah Penduduk dan PDRB per kapita Terhadap Penerimaan PKB dan BBNKB serta Kemandirian Keuangan Daerah Provinsi Bali Tahun 1991–2010. *Economic and Business E-Journal*, 2(8), 543-562. (In Indonesian). Retrieved from

Structure of local government budgets and local fiscal autonomy: Evidence from Indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

13%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Arief Helmi, Vita Sarasi, Umi Kaltum, Yogi Suherman. "Discovering the values of generation X and millennial consumers in Indonesia", Innovative Marketing, 2021
Publication 1%
- 2** Submitted to Universitas Merdeka Malang
Student Paper 1%
- 3** Siti Maria, Rizky Yударuddin, Yanzil Azizil Yударuddin. "The impact of COVID-19 on bank stability: Do bank size and ownership matter?", Banks and Bank Systems, 2022
Publication 1%
- 4** Kateryna Romenska, Volodymyr Orlov, Natalia Pavlova, Ruslana Kryvenkova, Iryna Shalyhina. "Analysis of financial flows in the budget process of Ukraine under the conditions of structural imbalances of the financial system", Public and Municipal Finance, 2022
Publication 1%
- 5** Submitted to Ashley Ridge High School

6

Yana Ulfah, Nita Priska Ambarita, Hidayani Hidayani, Rizky Yударuddin, Dadang Lesmana. "Board structure and earning management: A comparative study between the pre-pandemic and during the COVID-19 pandemic periods", Corporate and Business Strategy Review, 2022
Publication

1 %

7

journal.stkipsingkawang.ac.id
Internet Source

1 %

8

repository.uin-malang.ac.id
Internet Source

1 %

9

ieeca.org
Internet Source

<1 %

10

Submitted to Trisakti University
Student Paper

<1 %

11

www.neliti.com
Internet Source

<1 %

12

S. Coulombe. "Economic integration and the diversification of regional exports: evidence from the Canadian-U.S. Free Trade Agreement", Journal of Economic Geography, 09/18/2006
Publication

<1 %

13

repository.unmul.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

14

Blane D. Lewis. "Indonesian Local Government Spending, Taxing and Saving: An Explanation of Pre- and Post-decentralization Fiscal Outcomes*", *Asian Economic Journal*, 9/2005

Publication

<1 %

15

ojs.amhinternational.com

Internet Source

<1 %

16

Cindy Gustiara Iszardani, Pancawati Hardiningsih. "The Effect of Regional Income, Leverage, Regional Property on Internet Financial Reporting Disclosure", *Jurnal Analisis Bisnis Ekonomi*, 2021

Publication

<1 %

17

A Widiana, B S E Prakoso. "The Impact of Southern Cross Road (JJLS) Development on the Contribution of Regional Original Income (PAD) from the South Coast Tourism Sector in Bantul Regency 2014-2019", *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

18

Ibrahim Fatwa Wijaya, Andrea Moro, Yacine Belghitar. "Does religiosity affect financing activity? Evidence from Indonesia", *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

19 M. Zahari, Syamsurijal Tan, Haryadi Haryadi, Syaparuddin Syaparuddin. "Analysis of fiscal decentralization impact on community welfare in Jambi Province", Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 2018
Publication <1 %

20 Suharso Suharso, Budiharto Budiharto. "Regional Regulation concerning Sports Organizing: is it Necessary for Regency in Indonesia?", Varia Justicia, 2019
Publication <1 %

21 www.mdpi.com
Internet Source <1 %

22 Nursini Nursini, Tawakkal Tawakkal. "Poverty alleviation in the contex of fiscal decentralization in Indonesia", Economics & Sociology, 2019
Publication <1 %

23 jurnal.untag-sby.ac.id
Internet Source <1 %

24 Chalarce Totanan, Arung Gihna Mayapada, Nina Yusnita Yamin, Ni Made Suwitri Parwati, Rahayu Indriasari. "The effect of patronage buying motives on small business profitability: evidence from Indonesia", Innovative Marketing, 2021
Publication <1 %

25	Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Student Paper	<1 %
26	jurnal.narotama.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
27	Hapsari Nur Aziza, Mahendro Sumardjo. "Analysis of Local Expenditure Determinants in Districts/ Cities in the East Java Province", European Journal of Business and Management Research, 2020 Publication	<1 %
28	Submitted to Universitas Gunadarma Student Paper	<1 %
29	Submitted to Universitas Lancang Kuning Student Paper	<1 %
30	knepublishing.com Internet Source	<1 %
31	Erik Syawal Alghifari, Ikin Solikin, Nugraha Nugraha, Ika Waspada, Maya Sari, Lilis Puspitawati. "Capital structure, profitability, hedging policy, firm size, and firm value: Mediation and moderation analysis", Journal of Eastern European and Central Asian Research (JEECAR), 2022 Publication	<1 %
32	Eve Ida Malau, Calen Calen, Victor Marudut Mulia Siregar, Kharisma Yudha Saragih.	<1 %

"Pengaruh Pendapatan Asli Daerah dan Dana Perimbangan terhadap Belanja Modal di Kabupaten/Kota Provinsi Sumatera Utara",
Owner, 2020

Publication

33

Herybert Eybel Kii, Harmono, Maxion Sumtaky. "The Effect of Regional Taxes, Regional Levies, Balancing Funds, and other Legitimate Regional Revenues on Regional Independence Through Direct Expenditure (Empire Study: District/City Governments in East Nusa Tenggara Province)", European Journal of Business and Management Research, 2022

Publication

<1 %

34

Illa Susilawati, Linda Nurlaela, Yenik Candra Kiranawati, Sulthan Yusuf Abdullah, Nabillah Adryantomo, Alfira Sofia. "Analysis of Financial Independence of Regency/City Governments in Java Island Based on Comparison and High Local Original Revenue (PAD)", Annual International Conference on Islamic Economics and Business (AICIEB), 2021

Publication

<1 %

35

Maya Safira Dewi, Meiryani, Ignatius Edward Riantono, Nastasya Sekar Ayu Utami. "Chapter 11 Analysis of the Influence of System Quality, Information Quality, and

<1 %

Service Quality of PBB", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2023

Publication

36

Muhammad Insa Ansari. "Electronic Business Licensing in Indonesia", Jurnal Penelitian Pos dan Informatika, 2019

Publication

<1 %

37

Rahma Nurjanah, Candra Mustika. "The influence of imports, foreign exchange reserves, external debt, and interest rates on the currency exchange rates against the United States Dollar in Southeast Asia Countries", Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 2021

Publication

<1 %

38

journal2.um.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

39

Azhar Alam, Ririn Tri Ratnasari, Boby Habibi, Fauzul Hanif Noor Athief. "A sharia economic collaboration model and its positive impact on developing of poor villages: A study in Indonesia", Public and Municipal Finance, 2022

Publication

<1 %

40

Nairobi Nairobi, Muhammad Afif Firdaus, Fadeli Yusuf Afif. "Criminality and economic growth province in Indonesian", Jurnal

<1 %

Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 2021

Publication

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 5 words

Exclude bibliography On