

----- Original Message -----

From: Elsevier - Article Status <Article_Status@elsevier.com>

To: <gaanty@hotmail.com> , <gaanty@ump.edu.my>

Cc:

Date: Thursday, August 21 2014 05:16 PM

Subject: Article tracking [ULTSON_2586] - Share your article

Please note this is a system generated email from an unmanned mailbox.

If you have any queries we really want to hear from you via our 24/7 support at <http://help.elsevier.com>

Article title: Novel utilization of waste marine sponge (Demospongiae) as a catalyst in ultrasound-assisted transesterification of waste cooking oil

Reference: ULTSON2586

Journal title: Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry

Corresponding author: Dr. Gaanty Pragas Maniam

First author: Dr. Noor Hindryawati

Final version published online: 21-AUG-2014

Full bibliographic details: Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry (2015), pp. 454-462

DOI information: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.011

Dear Dr. Maniam,

We are pleased to inform you that the final version of your article with full bibliographic details is now available online.

To help you access and share your article, we are providing you with the following personal article link, which will provide free access to your article, and is valid for 50 days, until October 10, 2014

<http://authors.elsevier.com/a/1PZg24p3AW93NP>

Please use this link to download a personal copy of your article for your own archive. You're also welcome to email the link to your co-authors and colleagues, or post the link on your Facebook, Google +, Twitter or other social media profile, to tell your network about your new publication. Anyone who clicks on the link until October 10, 2014, will be taken to the final version of your article on ScienceDirect for free. No sign up or registration is needed - just click and read!

As an author, you may use your article for a wide range of scholarly, non-commercial purposes, and share and post your article online in a variety of ways. For more information, please see

www.elsevier.com/copyright.

Yours sincerely,

Elsevier Author Support

TRACK YOUR ARTICLE

To track the status of your article throughout the publication process, please use our article tracking service:

http://authors.elsevier.com/TrackPaper.html?trk_article=ULTSON2586&trk_surname=Maniam

For detailed article tracking instructions please go to:

http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/90

----- Original Message -----

From: Elsevier - Article Status <Article_Status@elsevier.com>

To: <gaanty@hotmail.com> , <gaanty@ump.edu.my>

Cc:

Date: Saturday, May 17 2014 03:54 AM

Subject: Article tracking [ULTSON_2586] - Online publication complete

Please note this is a system generated email from an unmanned mailbox.
If you have any queries we really want to hear from
you via our 24/7 support at <http://help.elsevier.com>

Article title: Novel utilization of waste marine sponge (Demospongiae) as a catalyst in
ultrasound-assisted transesterification of waste cooking oil
Reference: ULTSON2586
Journal title: Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry
Corresponding author: Dr. Gaanty Pragas Maniam
First author: Dr. Noor Hindryawati
Online publication complete: 16-MAY-2014
DOI information: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.011

Dear Dr. Maniam,

We are pleased to inform you that the final corrections to your proofs have been made.
Further corrections are no longer possible. Your article is now published online at:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.011>

Please note that access to the full text of this article will depend on your personal or
institutional entitlements.

This article can already be cited using the year of online availability and the DOI as follows:
Author(s), Article Title, Journal (Year), DOI. You will be automatically notified by e-mail once
the full bibliographic details are available.

To track the status of your article throughout the publication process, please use our article
tracking service:

http://authors.elsevier.com/TrackPaper.html?trk_article=ULTSON2586&trk_surname=Maniam

Yours sincerely,
Elsevier Author Support

HAVE A QUERY?

We have 24/7 support to answer all of your queries quickly.
<http://help.elsevier.com>

[T-6b-20131309]

>> Date: Fri, 16 May 2014 20:48:16 +0100
>> From: Article_Status@elsevier.com
>> To: gaanty@hotmail.com; gaanty@ump.edu.my
>> Subject: Article tracking [ULTSON_2586] - Online
> publication complete
>>
>>
>>
> -----
>>
>> Please note this is a system generated email from an
> unmanned mailbox.
>> If you have any queries we really want to hear from
>> you via our 24/7 support at <http://help.elsevier.com>
>>
>>
> -----
>>
>> Article title: Novel utilization of waste marine sponge
> (Demospongiae) as a catalyst in ultrasound-assisted
> transesterification of waste cooking oil
>> Reference: ULTSON2586
>> Journal title: Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry
>> Corresponding author: Dr. Gaanty Pragas Maniam
>> First author: Dr. Noor Hindryawati
>> Online publication complete: 16-MAY-2014
>> DOI information: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.011
>>
>> Dear Dr. Maniam,
>>
>> We are pleased to inform you that the final corrections
> to your proofs have been made. Further corrections are no
> longer possible. Your article is now published online at:
>>
>> <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.011>
>> Please note that access to the full text of this
> article will depend on your personal or institutional
> entitlements.
>>
>> This article can already be cited using the year of
> online availability and the DOI as follows: Author(s),
> Article Title, Journal (Year), DOI. You will be
> automatically notified by e-mail once the full bibliographic
> details are available.
>>
>>
>> To track the status of your article throughout the
> publication process, please use our article tracking
> service:
>>
>
http://authors.elsevier.com/TrackPaper.html?trk_article=ULTSON2586&trk_surname=Maniam
>>
>> Yours sincerely,
>> Elsevier Author Support
>>
>>
> -----

From:
optteam@elsevierproofcentral.com
Subject: Corrections received
To: gaanty@hotmail.com
Date: Thu, 8 May 2014 17:46:47 +0000

This is an automatically generated message. Please do not reply because this mailbox is not monitored.

Dear Dr. Gaanty Pragas Maniam,

Thank you very much for using the Proof Central application for your article "Novel utilization of waste marine sponge (*Demospongiae*) as a catalyst in ultrasound-assisted transesterification of waste cooking oil" in the journal "ULTSON"

All your corrections have been saved in our system. Please find attached the PDF summary of your corrections generated from the Proof Central application for your immediate reference.

To track the status of your article throughout the publication process, please use our article tracking service:

http://authors.elsevier.com/TrackPaper.html?trk_article=ULTSON2586&trk_surname=Hindryawati

For help with article tracking:
http://support.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/90

Kindly note that now we have received your corrections, your article is considered finalised and further amendments are no longer possible.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at <http://support.elsevier.com>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

Yours sincerely,
Elsevier Proof Central team

Novel utilization of waste marine sponge (*Demospongiae*) as a catalyst in ultrasound-assisted transesterification of waste cooking oil

Noor Hindryawati^{a,c}, Gaanty Pragas Maniam^{a,b*}, Md. Rezaul Karim^a, Chong Kwok Feng^a

^aFaculty of Industrial Sciences and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Gambang, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

^bCentral Laboratory, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Gambang, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

^cFaculty of Mathematic and Natural Sciences, Mulawarman University, Gunung Kelua, 75113 Samarinda, East Borneo, Indonesia

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +60 16 4110236; fax: +60 9 549 2766.

E-mail address: gaanty@hotmail.com

Abstract

This study demonstrates the potential of Na-silica waste sponge as a source of low cost catalyst in the transesterification of waste cooking oil (WCO) aided by ultrasound. In this work an environmentally friendly and efficient transesterification process using Na-loaded SiO₂ from waste sponge skeletons as a solid catalyst is presented. The results showed that the methyl esters (ME) content of 98.4±0.4 wt.% was obtainable in less than an hour (h) of reaction time at 55 °C. Optimization of reaction parameters revealed that MeOH:oil, 9:1; catalyst, 3 wt.% and reaction duration of 30 min as optimum reaction conditions. The catalyst is able to tolerant free fatty acid (FFA) and moisture content up to 6% and 8%, respectively. In addition, the catalyst can be reused for seven cycles while maintaining the ME content at 86.3%. Ultrasound undoubtedly assisted in achieving this remarkable result in less than 1 h reaction time. For the kinetics study at 50–60 °C, a pseudo first order model was proposed, and the activation energy of the reaction is determined as 33.45 kJ/mol using Arrhenius equation.

Keywords: Transesterification, Heterogeneous catalyst, Ultrasound, Waste cooking oil, Biodiesel

1. Introduction

Concerns about the depleting of the fossil fuel and the demand for fossil fuel have attracted attentions for new and renewable energy such as biodiesel. Producing biodiesel from

low cost feedstock and a catalyst from waste source is one of the ways to reduce the production cost. Annually, the EU recorded 0.7–1.0M tonnes of waste oil, Turkey 0.35M tonnes and Canada 0.12M tonnes, in addition to those uncollected oils, which goes as waste trough into soil and water [1]. Waste cooking oil (WCO) is one of the low cost feedstock [2], readily available, and the utilization offers benefits on environmental conservation.

Unfortunately, waste oil contains high free fatty acid (FFA) and moisture. Therefore, a two-step transesterification process (acid esterification followed by base transesterification, e.g. ferric sulphate-potassium hydroxide) was developed to remove the high FFA content and to improve the biodiesel yield. The disadvantages of this process are that it is more costly, because of the use of an extra catalyst, high energy-intensive nature of the process, longer reaction time and lower catalyst recovery [3,4].

Recently, the production of biodiesel using heterogeneous base catalyst has become more favorable compared to biocatalyst and ready to be scaled up to an industrial level. Therefore, a process using heterogeneous catalysts has been developed for environmental benefits and reduction of the production cost. Many types of heterogeneous catalysts, such as CaO from mud crab shells and cockle shells [5], mix-metal oxide [6], and metal supported in matrix (MSM) [7] have been studied in the literature. MSM, as a catalyst, is an interesting area for the researcher owing to its advantages of reproducibility and long lasting catalyst usage. Several studies on the usage of silica as precursor/support in heterogeneous base catalyst for biodiesel production are published [7,8]. Castro et al. [9] loaded Li on four supports (SiO_2 , MgO , Al_2O_3 , and $\text{Mg}(\text{Al})\text{O}$) and they concluded that Li impregnation onto $\text{Mg}(\text{Al})\text{O}$ as the most active catalyst and generate higher conversion. Kumar et al. [10] successfully converted *Jatropha curcas* oil using Na/SiO_2 and ultrasonication reduced the reaction time comparing to the conventional batch processes and we found 98.53% biodiesel yield. Guo et al. [11] soybean oil using calcined sodium silicate at 400 °C with 96% yield

while the catalyst is reused for five times. The utilization of catalyst from waste sources could also counter the environmental damage, highly effective, and cost effective. Among the catalysts that have been studied so far, impregnation metal onto silica as a support promising for the transesterification reaction.

The current work focuses on production of biodiesel using ultrasound as an eco-extraction process. Ultrasound-aided transesterification is reported previously in the production of biodiesel using vegetable oil, WCO and spent bleaching clay [12,13,14] as feedstock. The use of ultrasound promises simpler process with higher product purity and the process can be completed in shorter reaction time as well as reducing the amount of solvent being used as compared to the conventional extraction methods and with lower energy consumption. According to Gude et al. [15], transesterification using a hot plate requires much more energy than ultrasonic process. The reduction of energy consumption is one of the advantages of ultrasound technique in methyl ester production. This study demonstrates the potential of Na-waste marine sponge as a low cost source of catalyst in a transesterification reaction aided by ultrasound. Waste marine sponge skeletons are high in natural silica [16] and can be found at seaside area easily; unfortunately with no or less application. To validate the characteristic of this new catalyst, kinetic study is performed to predict the extent of the reaction at any time under particular conditions. Many of such kinetic study were done with basic homogeneous transesterification in the methanol/oil system using conventional method [17,18]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no published report on kinetics of Na-loaded waste marine sponge as a solid catalyst in transesterification using ultrasound assistant and with comparison of mechanical stirring as a control. The reaction rates at different temperatures were determined, and the activation energy was established. Several parameters were investigated including catalyst amount, reaction time, tolerant toward FFA, moisture/water content and reusability of the catalyst.

2. Experimental and mathematical modeling

2.1. Materials

The WCO was collected from local hawker in Gambang, Malaysia (acid value was found to be 3.54 ± 0.05 mg KOH/g, equivalent to 1.77% FFA (as oleic acid) and 0.28% ± 0.04 of moisture content). Waste sponge (WS) was collected from Tanjung Lumpur shore in Kuantan, Malaysia, and were cut into small pieces (0.5–1.0 cm³), cleaned with water several times and air-dried for 24 h. The WS fragment was then calcined at 500 °C in air, the calcined WS is labeled as silica waste sponge (SWS). The chemicals purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Switzerland) include sodium hydroxide (analytical grades), phenolphthalein ($H_{\text{pH}} = 8.2$), 2,4-dinitroaniline ($H_{\text{pH}} = 15.0$) and 4-nitroaniline ($H_{\text{pH}} = 18.4$), and methyl heptadecanoate (as an internal standard) GC grades ($> 99.1\%$). Methanol (anhydrous, $\geq 99.8\%$), and hexane (anhydrous, $\geq 99.8\%$) were purchased from Hamburg (Germany).

2.2. Preparation of catalyst (silica waste sponge with sodium)

Silica waste sponge with sodium (SWSS) was prepared using impregnation method. SWS was suspended in water in the first step. An aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide was then slowly added to the suspension. All reactions were performed at $\text{Na}^+:\text{Si}$ molar ratio of 2:1. The mixture obtained was then stirred and heated at 90 °C for 2 h. Lastly, the mixture was dehydrated at 200 °C for 30 min, and then calcined at 500 °C for 3 h.

2.3. Material characterization

The SWS and SWSS were identified by X-ray diffraction (Rigaku) with $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ X-ray as a source. FTIR (PerkinElmer Spectrum 100) spectrophotometer was used to characterize the chemical structure of catalyst and support at 400–4000 cm⁻¹ range. The catalyst was examined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using Mettler Toledo TGA instrument, from 25 to 900 °C with 10 °C heating rate. Surface analysis of the catalyst was examined by using Micromeritics ASAP 2000. Prior to the analysis, all the samples were degassed at 105 °C and

the adsorption of N₂ was measured at -196 °C. The size and morphology of the catalyst were observed by FE-SEM (JSM-7800F). The basicity of catalyst (H₊) was determined by using Hammett indicators. The following Hammett indicators were used: phenolphthalein (H₊= 8.2), 2,4-dinitroaniline (H₊= 15.0) and 4-nitroaniline (H₊= 18.4). About 5.0 mL of a solution of Hammett indicator diluted with methanol and mixed with 25 mg of catalyst, and left to equilibrate for 2 h. After the equilibration, the colour change of the solution was noted.

2.4. Experimental setup

The transesterification reaction was carried out in a 250 ml two neck round-bottom glass flask equipped with a condenser to reduce the losses of methanol due to the evaporation and thermocouple thermometer. The ultrasonic reaction was performed using Branson (USA) ultrasonic bath (42 kHz) with the power input 235 W and the exact power dissipation at 40 W determined calorimetrically [19,20,21]. The bath was filled with distilled water up to 1/3 of its volume. The temperature was controlled and maintained at the desired level (± 0.1 °C) by water circulating from a thermostated bath by means of a pump. The constituent of 50 ml oil [22], desired amount of catalyst and methanol, the above mixture was immersed in an ultrasound (US) water bath. The effect of catalyst amount (1–9 wt.%), reaction duration (10–80 min), the addition of water (2–17%) and FFA (3–9%) on the conversion of triglycerides to biodiesel were investigated (in this study oleic acid was used to adjust the FFA content), with the temperature ranging from 50 to 60 °C. The conversion of WCO to ME by mechanical stirring (MS) was performed in a 250 ml 2-neck round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser and magnetic stirrer at 300 rpm. The transesterification reaction between oil and methanol was carried out in the liquid phase under atmospheric pressure, at temperature ranging from 50 to 60 °C with continuous stirring. After the transesterification, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool. Then, to further separate the product (methyl ester and glycerol) and the catalyst centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 5 min was performed. The excess methanol

was evaporated before the chromatographic analysis. The reaction was carried out three times in order to reflect the precision and errors of the results.

2.5. Analysis

The concentration of ME in the sample was determined by following the European regulation procedure EN 14103. In this study, GC-MS (Agilent Technologies, 7890A GC-System) with capillary column DB-wax (length 30 x diameter 0.25 mm x film thickness 0.25 μm) using methyl heptadecanoate as an internal standard. Helium was used as the carrier gas with a linear velocity of 40 cm/s. The oven temperature was programmed at 190 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, held for 2 min, then ramped at 10 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ per min until it reached 230 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, and with a final hold time of 8 min. The sample volume of 0.6 μL was injected into GC. The peaks of ME were identified by comparing them with their respective ME standards and the ME content was quantified using the following as following formula:

$$\text{ME content (\%)} = \frac{(\sum A) - A_{\text{ISTD}}}{A_{\text{ISTD}}} \times \frac{C_{\text{ISTD}} \times V_{\text{ISTD}}}{m} \times 100$$

Where

$\sum A$ = total peak area of ME from $\text{C}_{12:0}$ to $\text{C}_{18:1}$

A_{ISTD} = peak area of methyl heptadecanoate

C_{ISTD} = concentration, in mg/mL, of the methyl heptadecanoate solution

V_{ISTD} = volume, in mL, of the methyl heptadecanoate solution

m = mass, in mg, of the sample


The concentration of methyl heptadecanoate solution (C_{ISTD}) that has been used is 10 mg/ml (in heptane) whereas the volume (V_{ISTD}) is at 0.5 ml.

In order to examine the potential of catalytic utilization, a used catalyst was subjected for subsequent reactions. For the purpose, the used solid catalyst that recovered by centrifugation was then regenerated by washing with methanol and continue with n-hexane.

The dried used catalyst was directly used as a catalyst for the repeated reactions. The final product was tested for viscosity, acid number, free fatty acid (FFA) and density following the EN 14214 method.

2.6. Determination of transesterification kinetics

Generally, methyl esters it can be produced from transesterification reaction of triglyceride (TG) (the main component of vegetable oils or animal fats) with short chain alcohol (mainly methanol) and with the presence of catalyst. In general, the overall transesterification reaction stoichiometrically can be written as:



where A is the waste cooking oil; B is the methanol; D is the methyl ester and C is the glycerol.

The rate determination step in transesterification reaction starts with the attacks on the carbonyl carbon atoms of TG, diglyceride (DG), and monoglyceride (MG) molecules by methoxide ions (CH_3O^-). The elementary reaction step is methanol (B) adsorbed on the active site of catalyst. The base catalyst can drive the reaction with a shorter reaction time because of the cation that is very reactive to drive methanol to form the active species (CH_3O^-). Then, the nucleophilic attack of CH_3O^- on the carbonyl carbon of triglyceride (A) forms a tetrahedral intermediate structure (one methyl ester and diglyceride). Afterwards, the protons from the cation were transferred to diglyceride anions to generate diglyceride. The rearrangement of the structure (diglyceride further reacted with methanol to generate monoglyceride, then monoglyceride reacted with adsorbed methanol) resulted in the formation of methyl ester (D) and glycerol (C) [23]. It was reported by Nouredini et al. [18], from the rate determination step of the transesterification reaction, it shows that the reaction of TG with alcohol was

slower than those of di- and monoglyceride. In their studies the k_1 values were lower than others. The subsequent reactions with di- and monoglyceride are faster, until equilibrium is achieved.

The schematic in the transesterification reaction of the overall transesterification reaction as shown above requires three moles of methanol to react with one mole of oil. Transesterification reaction is reversible, so if alcohol is in excess, it can drive the equilibrium towards the formation of esters. The kinetic equation for the transesterification reaction is:

$$r = -\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_1[A][B]^3 - k_2[C][D]^3 \quad (1)$$

The transesterification model is based on the major assumptions above. The methanol is normally used in excess. Therefore, the reverse reaction can be ignored and the reaction rate is zero at the start ($t=0$). The conversion of TG follows the pseudo-first order reaction kinetic and, the mass balance for the oil can be written as follows:

$$r = -\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k[A] \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d[A]}{[A]} = -kdt \quad (3)$$

k = pseudo-first order reaction constant, where, t is the time (min), $[A_0]$ is the concentration of WCO in the first reaction and x is the total conversion, the reaction equation may be written as:

$$\frac{d[A_0](1-x)}{[A_0](1-x)} = -kdt \quad (4)$$

$$\int_0^x \frac{d[A_0](1-x)}{[A_0](1-x)} = \int_0^t -kdt \quad (5)$$

$$\ln[A_0](1-x)|_0^x = -kt|_0^t \quad (6)$$

$$\ln[A_0](1-x) - \ln[A_0] = -kt + 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\ln \frac{[A_0](1-x)}{[A_0]} = -kt \quad (8)$$

$$\ln(1-x) = -kt$$

$$k = -\frac{\ln(1-x)}{t} \quad (9)$$

Where, k is the reaction rate constant. The rate constant can be determined based on the decreased amount of one reactant e.g. WCO or it could be based on the increased amount of the ME that occurs at reaction time interval [24]. From the equation 9, x is the ME content, t is the reaction duration, and the rate constant (k) can be readily obtained from the slope of $-\ln(1-x)$ vs. t .

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of catalyst

The FTIR spectra of silica waste sponge without sodium (SWS) and silica waste sponge with sodium (SWSS) shown in Fig. 1. The typical one, with the broadband at about $3410-3470 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is due to the stretching vibration of the O-H bond from the silanol groups (Si-OH) and is due to the adsorbed water molecules on the silica surface, the band at 1654 cm^{-1} is due to bending H-OH bond of adsorbed water molecules. The sharp peaks (Fig. 1a and b) $1020-1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are Si-O-Si bending. The bands (Fig. 1a) at 786 and 973 cm^{-1} are attributed to symmetric O-Si-O stretching and Si-O bending, respectively. Fig. 1b shows, the characteristic absorption peaks at $503-687 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are attributed to the vibration of the $\text{Na}^+\text{-O}$ bond structure, and the characteristic absorption band from deformation of Na^+OH become Si-O-Na^+ are shown at 865 and bands at 1440 cm^{-1} is attributed to Si-O-Si stretching. Summarizing the FTIR results, it can be concluded that the impregnation of sodium and the silica shell was successfully bound.

The powder XRD pattern of SWS and SWSS is shown in Figure 2. The diffractograms of SWS (Fig.2a) showed a hump at 2θ ranging from 16° to 40° , and the presence of large

reflection at 22.45° , indicates the presence of amorphous silica particles. The results are similar with reported work by Kalapathy and Proctor, (2000) [25] indicating the disordered structure mainly due to amorphous SiO_2 . In Fig. 2(b), the intense diffraction peaks found at 25.26° - 65.93° correspond to the Miller indexes (100), (101), (110), (200), (111), (002), (112), (300) and (302) were confirmed as Na_2SiO_3 (SWSS).

A thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is the analytical technique used to determine materials' thermal stability, as a function of increasing temperature (with constant heating rate), or as a function of time (with constant temperature and/or constant mass loss). The TGA curve of sodium silicate is shown in Fig. 2c. In the first one, ranging from 50 to 175°C , weight loss (4.25%) was attributed to the release of physically bound water on the surface of Na_2SiO_3 particles. The second region, the weight loss (4.66%) at temperature around 180 to 380°C is attributed to the removal of organic compound from the SWS and the decomposition of sodium hydroxide (melting point: 318°C). The third endothermic region, the ranging from 650 to 850°C , has a weight loss of 8.81%. The weight loss was attributed to water loss from the condensation of adjacent silanol group to form siloxane and sodium silicate bond.

The values of specific surface area and pore volume of considerably decrease after modification of SWS with sodium. The loading of the sodium with SWS resulted in decrease in both the BET surface area from 11.77 to $5.08\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and the pore volume from 0.15 to $0.08\text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ of the SWS and SWSS, respectively. The morphology of SWSS shows the homogenous surface (Fig. 3b), having a smooth surface and similar to each other. In contrast, the SWS showed a bulky substance. The skeleton consisted of needle shaped spicules that are bundled together (Fig. 3a). SWSS could change the colour from colourless to pink (phenolphthalein) and from yellow to mauve (2,4-dinitroaniline) but failed to change the colour of 4-nitroaniline. The basic strength SWSS is in the range: $15 < \text{H}_- < 18.4$. On the

other hand, SWS was failed to change the colour of all Hammett indicators. Therefore, SWS does not have basic properties and suitable to be uses as a support material.

3.2. *Effect of reaction duration*

The effect of the reaction duration as shown in Fig. 4 is one of the critical parameters for biodiesel production. The reaction duration was varied from 10 to 80 min. As can be observed, at the optimum reaction conditions of 3 wt.% catalyst and methanol to oil molar ratio of 9:1 at 55 °C ME content under US increased within the first 10 min. Further increase in the reaction time to 30 min, the content increased and remained almost constant as a result of near equilibrium at 98.2 ± 0.4 %. Any further time increase has no appreciable changes in the content probably due to equilibrium. The direct transesterification reaction using US is the most efficient reaction (Fig. 4). On the other hand, it is noted that by using MS, the content, reached the peak (87.7 ± 0.6 %) at 80 min.

The difference of ME content between MS and US is about 38% as noted at 30 min. According to the results, the optimal method for ME formation is the direct transesterification using ultrasound assistant. The ultrasound could affect the content ME on the short time reaction, due to the acoustic cavitations mixing the oil and methanol molecules to form the microscale fine emulsions that enhance the interfacial area for the reaction [26], causing the oil and methanol molecules easily suspend into each other. The increasing of the interfacial area of medium will accelerate the reaction and provide necessary activation energy for the reaction compare to the conventional process. The reasons for the ultrasound being the most efficient technique were explained above.

3.3. *Effect of catalyst amount*

The amount of catalyst was varied in the range of 1-9 wt.%. As shown in the Fig. 5, a plot of catalyst amount vs. ME content for the reaction conducted under US and MS is illustrated (under the following constant reaction conditions: methanol to oil molar ratio of

9:1 and reaction temperature at 55 °C, for 80 min). The transesterification depends on the amount of catalyst used. Increasing the amount of catalyst from 1 to 3% will increase ME content significantly. This is because the contact opportunity of the catalyst and the reactant, directly affect the reaction speed and the content. In line with the collision theory, lesser energy is needed for chemical transformation when there is a direct contact between catalyst and reactant molecule. More and more molecules will have sufficient energy to react and therefore the reaction rate is increased. Furthermore, the addition of a large amount of catalytic material (above 3%) had not lead to an increase in ME content. This is probably because of the demand of higher power consumption to compensate for an adequate stirring speed, and the solution becoming more viscous. This trend is in agreement with a previous report in which the researcher found that catalyst amounts leads to stirring complication, increasing viscosity and ultimately limits the mass transfer [13, 27]. Other researchers also found that the excess solid catalyst reacted with the oil, resulting in emulsion formation between soaps and water molecules, thus leading to low yields of methyl esters and the separation of esters became difficult [28,29]. Another explanation is due to the wetting of the pores within the catalytic material, hence more surface area is exposed to the reactant. Therefore, the catalyst concentration is an influencing factor on the amount of methoxide ions formed. As a result, the larger the amount of catalyst, the faster the reaction proceeds. However, the highest ME yielded using MS (87.9±0.6 %) is lower than that of US (98.4±0.4 %) at 3 wt.% catalyst. By using ultrasound, it enhances the mass transfer between catalyst and reactants as well as maintains the required kinetic energy in the reaction media. Dispersion due to ultrasonic sound increases the surface area of catalyst available to the reactants [30,31]. As such, the use of ultrasound promotes the efficiency of acyl conversion in a shorter reaction time.

3.4. Kinetic studies

The experiment was carried out at three different temperatures 50, 55, and 60 °C tested for pseudo-first order kinetic. The experimental data fitted well for pseudo-first order kinetic. As seen in Fig. 6, with increasing reaction temperature and reaction duration higher content is achieved. The average overall reaction rate constant at different temperatures can be calculated according to the experimental data shown in Table 1. The result of k values which clearly shows that the reaction rate under US method has higher value compared to the MS. For the reaction at 55 °C, kinetic parameter obtained with US (42 kHz) and MS were 0.099 and 0.031 min⁻¹, respectively. It was observed in this study, that US significantly improve the mass transfer of the reaction.

The possibility of a reaction was determined from the thermodynamic parameters. The reaction rate constant is highly depending on the temperature. Arrhenius equation was used to study the influence of temperature on the specific reaction rate as follow:

$$k = Ae^{-(Ea/RT)}$$

where Ea is the activation energy (J/mol), define as the minimum energy required to start a chemical reaction, R is the gas constant (8.314 J.mol⁻¹.K⁻¹), T is the absolute temperature (K), A is the frequency factor (collision factor) and k is the reaction rate constant. The slope and intercept of the graph between $\ln k$ vs. $1/T$ give the values of activation energy and frequency factor. The activation energy (Ea) and frequency factor determined from Fig. 6b.

Accordingly, the values of Ea and A of ultrasound and mechanical stirring were determined as 33.45kJ/mol; 1.95x10⁴ min⁻¹ and 59.81 kJ/mol; 9.70x10⁷ min⁻¹, respectively. Compared to the activation energy of acid and base catalysts catalyzed transesterification of soybean oil with mechanical stirring, which is in the range of 33-84 kJ/mol [17]. The activation energy of 33.45 kJ/mol was suggesting a lower energy barrier was required for thus proposed reaction, and indicating that the reaction can be carried out under mild temperature and pressure conditions.

Ultrasound is one of the factors that increase the collisions in the reaction process. Ultrasound increases the frequency of collisions between reactant molecules that accelerate the reaction to occur perfectly. In accordance with Arrhenius equation, greater the collisions, the greater the reaction rate constant values, so as in this case, US is very essential since oil and methanol are not readily miscible solutions. Methyl ester content and E_a that were reported from previous studies are presented in Table 2 [32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39]. As presented, in our study higher ME content is achieved with lower activation energy and in shorter reaction time as compared to previous studies. Much lower E_a is observed in the previous study using US. However, the work utilization of NaOH as a homogeneous catalyst has a lower E_a , whereas in our work, we used heterogeneous catalyst. Moreover, much higher E_a is observed in mechanical stirring with different catalyst was used. Generally reaction rate (k) is dependent on the reaction temperature, methanol/oil ratio, and catalyst amount. Since there are many variables involved in determining the k , it is somehow difficult to perform a direct comparison between reactions. Nevertheless, the transesterification of WCO under ultrasound technique provides a possibility for producing cheap and efficient alternative biofuel, which will reduce the energy consumption and at the same time to reduce the environmental pollution.

3.5. Tolerance towards water and free fatty acid of SWSS

The presence of water and FFA in base-catalyzed transesterification inhibits the reaction by promoting saponification. Triglyceride hydrolyzes into FFA and glycerol in the presence of water while FFA reacts with basic catalyst to form soap. Soap formation complicates methyl esters separation thereby lowering the content. In order to examine the effect of water and FFA on the activity of SWSS, 2–17 wt.% water and 3–9 wt.% of FFA were added and the results were recorded. Fig. 7a indicates that the addition of water slightly decreased the content of ME. As indicated by Fig. 7a where the ME content is still high

(92.8 ± 0.5 %) even in the presence of 8% water. The result indicates that SWSS has a remarkable tolerance to water in the transesterification of waste cooking oil, indicates that H₂O up to 8% has little effect on the total basicity of the catalyst. Effect of FFA on ME content using SWSS catalyst is shown in Fig. 7b. It was found that with increasing FFA, the ME content is decreasing. For the oil with 6% of FFA, the content of ME was at 78 ± 0.5 %, however, at 9% FFA content reduced to about 29 ± 0.6 % (This observation indicated that at higher FFA content (9%), saponification takes place). Soap formation complicated the mixing and product separation process, meanwhile water accelerated formation of FFA from the remaining triglycerides [40].

3.6. *Reusability of catalyst*

Reusability is one of the factors in the economical application of SWSS as the solid base catalyst. The catalyst was reused without any further activation. The results provided in Fig. 7, show that the SWSS can be used up to seven cycles with maintaining the activity at considerable ME content (86.3%). After the transesterification reaction is completed, the SWSS was decanted with simple washing using hexane and can be directly reused for subsequent reactions. This experiment shows that a simple regeneration method could recover the active sites of the catalyst and the activity of regenerated catalyst has good reproducibility.

3.7. *Biodiesel properties*

EN 14214 as used in order to assess the quality of the final product (Table 3). The result of the evaluation shows that the final product meets all the tested parameters (ester content, density, viscosity, and acid value). The ester content catalyzed by SWSS (3 wt.%) is recorded the highest value of 98.4 ± 0.4 %. The output of GC analysis proved that used cooking oil mainly comprises of methyl esters of myristic acid 0.92%, palmitate acid 28.00% followed by 1.91% palmitoleic, 3.95% stearic, 35.50% oleic, and 12.34% linoleic. Although many other parameters need to be analyzed in order to confirm the final product as a fuel,

these four parameters can serve as preliminary indicators of the product for the purpose as a fuel.

4. Conclusion

In this work, silica waste sponge with sodium (SWSS) was successfully utilized as a low-cost feedstock to produce methyl ester (biodiesel) via ultrasound aided in situ transesterification. The highest ME content of 98.4 ± 0.4 wt.% was obtainable in an hour reaction time at 55 °C. Optimization of reaction parameters revealed that MeOH:oil, 9:1; catalyst, 3 wt.% and reaction duration 30 min as the optimal reaction conditions. The catalyst is tolerant toward FFA and water at 6% and 8%, respectively. The catalyst can be reused seven cycles with 86.3% content. The use of ultrasound undoubtedly assisted in achieving this remarkable result in less than 1 h reaction time with the activation energy of 33.45 kJ/mol. Materials derived from waste sources, as used in this work, should be given a priority for a sustainable biodiesel production.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge MTUN CoE Grant (RDU 121207 and RDU 121208), MTUN Showcase (RDU 131202), RAGS Grant (RDU 121402) and GRS Grant (PRGS 130303) from the Ministry of Education Malaysia and Universiti Malaysia Pahang for funding the research project; The Government of East Borneo, Indonesia for the scholarship (N. Hindryawati).

References

- [1] Today's Zaman. Recycling of waste cooking oil into biodiesel protects water resources. <http://todayszaman.com/newDetail_getNewsById.action?newId=277470>[accessed September 2013].
- [2] K.T. Tan, K.T. Lee, A.R. Mohamed, Potential of waste palm cooking oil for catalyst-free biodiesel production, *Energy* 36 (2011) 2085-2088.

- [3] A. Abbaszaadeh, B. Ghobadian, M.R. Omidkhah, G. Najafi, Current biodiesel production technologies: A comparative review, *Energy Convers. Manage.* 63 (2012) 138-148.
- [4] P.D. Patil, V.G. Gude, H.K. Reddy, T. Muppaneni, S. Deng, Biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using sulfuric acid and microwave irradiation processes *J. Environ. Protection* 3 (2012) 107-113
- [5] P.-L. Boey, G.P. Maniam, S.A. Hamid, D.M.H. Ali, Crab and cockle shells as catalyst for the preparation of methyl esters from low free fatty acid chicken fat, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 88 (2011) 283-288.
- [6] A.K. Endalew, Y. Kiros, R. Zanzi, Heterogeneous catalysis for biodiesel production from *Jatropha curcas* oil (JCO), *Energy* 36 (2011) 2693-2700.
- [7] J.A. Melero, L.F. Bautista, J. Iglesias, G. Morales, R. Sánchez-Vázquez, Zr-SBA-15 acid catalyst: Optimization of the synthesis and reaction conditions for biodiesel production from low-grade oils and fats, *Catal. Today* 195 (2012) 44-53.
- [8] K.-T. Chen, J.-X. Wang, Y.-M. Dai, P.-H. Wang, C.-Y. Liou, C.-W. Nien, J.-S. Wu, C.-C. Chen, Rice husk ash as a catalyst precursor for biodiesel production, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 44 (2013) 622-629.
- [9] C.S. Castro, C. Ferreti, J.I. Di Cosimo, J.M. Assaf, Support influence on the basicity promotion of lithium-based mixed oxides for transesterification reaction, *Fuel* 103 (2012) 632-638.
- [10] D. Kumar, G. Kumar, Poonam, C.P. Singh, Ultrasonic-assisted transesterification of *Jatropha curcus* oil using solid catalyst, Na/SiO₂, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 17 (2010) 839-844.
- [11] F. Guo, N.-N. Wei, Z.-L. Xiu, Z. Fang, Transesterification mechanism of soybean oil to biodiesel catalyzed by calcined sodium silicate, *Fuel* 93 (2012) 468-472.

- [12] I. Choedkiatsakul, K. Ngaosuwan, G. Cravotto, S. Assabumrungrat, Biodiesel production from palm oil using combined mechanical stirred and ultrasonic reactor, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 21 (2014) 1585-1591.
- [13] N. Gharat, V.K. Rathod, Ultrasound assisted enzyme catalyzed transesterification of waste cooking oil with dimethyl carbonate, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 20 (2013) 900-905.
- [14] P.-L. Boey, S. Ganesan, G.P. Maniam, D.M.H. Ali, Ultrasound aided in situ transesterification of crude palm oil adsorbed on spent bleaching clay, *Energy Convers. Manage.* 52 (2011) 2081-2084.
- [15] V.G. Gude, P.D. Patil, G.E. Grant, S. Deng, Sustainable Biodiesel Production. *World Sustainability Forum*, (2012).
- [16] H. Ehrlich, H. Worch, Sponges as natural composites: from biomimetic potential to development of new biomaterials, *Porifera research: Biodiversity, innovation and sustainability* (2007) 303-312.
- [17] B. Freedman, R.O. Butterfield, E.H. Pryde, Transesterification kinetic of soybean oil, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 63 (1986) 1375-1380.
- [18] H. Nouredini, D. Zhu, Kinetics of transesterification of soybean oil, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 74 (1997) 1457-1463.
- [19] V.L. Gole, P.R. Gogate, Intensification of synthesis of biodiesel from nonedible oil using sonochemical reactors, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 51 (2012) 11866-11874.
- [20] T.Sivasankar, A.W. Paunekar, V.S. Moholkar, Mechanistic approach to enhance the yield of a sonochemical reaction, *AIChE J.* 53 (2007) 1132-1143.
- [21] D. Liu, E. Vorobiev, R. Savoie, J.-L. Lanoiselle, Comparative study of ultrasound-assisted and conventional stirred dead-end microfiltration of grape pomace extracts *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 20 (2013) 708-714.

- [22] P. Cintas, S. Mantegna, E.C. Gaudino, G. Cravotto, A new pilot flow reactor for high-intensity ultrasound irradiation. Application to the synthesis of biodiesel, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 17 (2010) 985-989.
- [23] M. Balat, H. Balat, Progress in biodiesel processing, *Appl. Energy* 87 (2010) 1815-1835.
- [24] I.N. Levine, *Physical Chemistry*, Mc-Graw Hill Higher Education, New York, 2002.
- [25] U. Kalapathy, Proctor, A simple method for production of pure silica from rice hull ash, *Bioresour. Technol.* 73 (2000) 257-262.
- [26] A. Kalva, T. Sivasankar, V.S. Moholkar, Physical mechanism of ultrasound-assisted synthesis of biodiesel, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 48 (2009) 534-544.
- [27] Z. Wei, C. Xu, B. Li, Application of waste eggshell as low-cost solid catalyst for biodiesel production, *Bioresour. Technol.* 100 (2009) 2883-2885.
- [28] S.M. Hingu, P.R. Gogate, V.K. Rathod, Synthesis of biodiesel from waste cooking oil using sonochemical reactors, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 17 (2010) 827-832.
- [29] C. Stavarache, M. Vinatoru, R. Nishimura, Y. Maeda, Fatty acids methyl esters from vegetable oil by means of ultrasonic energy, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 12 (2005) 367-372.
- [30] W. Guo, H. Li, G. Ji, G. Zhang, Ultrasound-assisted production of biodiesel from soybean oil using Brønsted acidic ionic liquid as catalyst, *Bioresour. Technol.* 125 (2012) 332-334.
- [31] L.T. Thanh, K. Okitsu, Y. Sadanaga, N. Takenaka, Y. Maeda, H. Bandow, Ultrasound-assisted production of biodiesel fuel from vegetable oils in a small scale circulation process, *Bioresour. Technol.* 101 (2010) 639-645.
- [32] A.P. Vyas, J.L. Verma, N. Subrahmanyam, Effects of molar ratio, alkali catalyst concentration and temperature on transesterification of *Jatropha* oil with methanol under ultrasonic irradiation *Adv. Chem. Eng. Sci.* 1 (2011) 45-50.

- [33] V.G. Deshmane, Y.G. Adewuyi, Synthesis and kinetics of biodiesel formation via calcium methoxide base catalyzed transesterification reaction in the absence and presence of ultrasound, *Fuel* 107 (2013) 474-482.
- [34] J.M. Avramovic, O.S. Stamenkovic, Z.B. Todorovic, M.L. Lazic, V.B. Veljkovic, Empirical modeling of ultrasound-assisted base-catalyzed sunflower oil methanolysis kinetics, *Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q.* 18 (2011) 115-127.
- [35] L. Zhang, B. Sheng, Z. Xin, Q. Liu, S. Sun, Kinetics of transesterification of palm oil and dimethyl carbonate for biodiesel production at the catalysis of heterogeneous base catalyst, *Bioresour. Technol.* 101 (2010) 8144-8150.
- [36] M.K.Jha, A.K.Gupta, V. Kumar, Kinetics of transesterification on Jatropha Curcas oil to biodiesel fuel, *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science (WCECS)* (2007).
- [37] A. Birla, B. Singh, S.N. Upadhyay, Y.C. Sharma, Kinetics studies of synthesis of biodiesel from waste frying oil using a heterogeneous catalyst derived from snail shell, *Bioresour. Technol.* 106 (2012) 95-100.
- [38] D. Kumar, A. Ali, Nanocrystalline K–CaO for the transesterification of a variety of feedstocks: Structure, kinetics and catalytic properties, *Biomass Bioenergy* 46 (2012) 459-468.
- [39] S. Yan, M. Kim, S.O. Salley, K.Y.S. Ng, Oil transesterification over calcium oxides modified with lanthanum, *Appl. Catal. A.* 360 (2009) 163-170.
- [40] P.-L. Boey, S. Ganesan, S.-X. Lim, S.-L. Lim, G.P. Maniam, M. Khairuddean, Utilization of BA (boiler ash) as catalyst for transesterification of palm olein, *Energy* 36 (2011) 5791-5796.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of (a) silica waste sponge without sodium and (b) silica waste sponge with sodium; ●: Na-O, Δ: O-Si-O, □: (Si-O-Si)-(Na⁺-O), ◇: Si-O, ★: Si-O-Si bending, ○: Si-O-Si stretching, ■: -OH




Fig. 2. XRD pattern of (a) silica waste sponge without sodium and (b) silica waste sponge with sodium; (c). DTG/TGA of silica waste sponge with sodium.




Fig. 3. SEM micrograph of (a) silica waste sponge without sodium and (b) silica waste sponge with sodium.




Fig. 4. Effect of reaction duration (10–80 min) on methyl ester content (reaction conditions: catalyst amount, 3 wt.%; MeOH:oil molar ratio, 9:1; reaction temperature 55 °C).




Fig. 5. Effect of catalyst amount (1–9 wt.%) on methyl ester content (reaction conditions: MeOH:oil molar ratio, 9:1; reaction duration 80 min ; reaction temperature 55 °C).




Fig.6. (a) $-\ln(1-x)$ vs. time plot for the ultrasound, (b) $-\ln(1-x)$ vs. time plot for the mechanical stirring, (c) Arrhenius plot $\ln k$ vs. $1/T \times 10^{-3}$ for ultrasound, (d) Arrhenius plot $\ln k$ vs. $1/T \times 10^{-3}$ for mechanical stirring (reaction conditions: catalyst concentration 3%; MeOH:oil molar ratio (9:1); $T= 50, 55, 60$ °C).




Fig. 7. Effect of (a) water content and (b) FFA toward the methyl ester content (reaction conditions: catalyst concentration 3%; temperature 55 °C; MeOH:oil molar ratio, 9:1).





Fig. 8. Reusability of the catalysts (reaction conditions 3% catalyst; MeOH:oil molar ratio (9:1); 30 min at 55 °C).



Due date revision 14 May 2014

Ms. Ref. No.: ULTSON-D-14-00054

Title: Novel utilization of waste marine sponge (*Demospongiae*) as a catalyst in ultrasound-assisted transesterification of waste cooking oil

Response to Reviewer

No.	Comment Reviewer	Line no. in revised Manuscript	Response to Reviewer
1.	Reviewer #1: Unclear in certain section of the discussion whether the sodium silicate synthesis is silica waste sponge impregnated with sodium or a synthetic SiO ₂ support was used. i.e. line 134 "The FTIR spectra of silica waste sponge and sodium silicate synthesis". It might be better to use the same name when referring to the silica waste sponge, with and without sodium, throughout the manuscript	200-209	The term has been standardized throughout the manuscript
2.	Fig. 1: The symbols used for designation of peaks need correcting. Same square symbol was used for Si-O-Si-Na ⁺ -O and Si-O-Si, diamond and open	205	The symbols have been corrected

3.	<p>circle symbol are not explained in the caption, and filled circle symbol for Si-O is missing. Perhaps it might be clearer to denote on the graphs the different vibrational bonds?</p> <p>Fig. 2: (a) and (b) needs to be switched around to match discussion on page 7(lines145-150) or references in discussion needs to be corrected. Axis title for x axis for (a) and (b) is required. The peaks in (a) should be labelled with the angle or Miller index rather than triangle symbol.</p>	216	The axis (a) and (b) were labeled, the peak (a) labeled with the Miller index.
4.	<p>Line143-145: "X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine the crystal structure of the sponge supported sodium was obtained by impregnation method, which is shown in Fig. 2." Sentence needs to be revised for English.</p>	211	The sentence in revised manuscript has been improved for its clarity
5.	<p>Line 146: What do you mean by "hump of 2"? Also Do you mean "the presence of a large reflection" instead of "the present large reflection" ?</p>	212	The sentence corrected as "showed a hump at 2θ ranging from 16° to 40°, and the presence of large reflection at 22.45°, indicating the amorphous state of silica particles"
6.	<p>Line 148: "which shows strong BOARD peaks", do you mean BROAD instead of BOARD? Also the English of this sentence needs fixing.</p>	221	Yes, it is broad, corrected for English
7.	<p>Line 156 on Fig. 2c, what is the decrease in the mass below 100°C attributed to? The weight loss described in the manuscript does not match the results shown in Fig. 2c. From 100 - 175oC, the weight dropped from approx. 97.2% to about 95.7%, and from 200-360oC the weight</p>	221	The weigh loss and the respective temperature range has been corrected and rephrased for the clear description.

8.	<p>dropped from 95% to 91%. This weight change between 200-360oC is more than just "a little weight loss" as stated in the discussion. Also from 650- to 850oC the graph shows a decrease in weight remaining from approx. 88% to 81%. This change of only 7% is a lot less than the 16.3% quoted in the manuscript. The authors need to be clear as to the exact temperature range and corresponding weight loss or perhaps the authors have inserted a different TGA graph?</p>	228	The sentences have been rephrased for clear argument
9.	<p>Line 163-165: "The BET surface area and pore volume decrease from 11.77 to 5.08m²/g and the pore volume from 0.15-0.08 cm³/g of the waste sponge and sodium silica, respectively." This sentence needs to be revised as it seems to state that the decrease from 11.77 to 5.08m²/g is for the waste sponge and 0.15-0.08 cm³/g is for the sodium silica. Perhaps the authors meant to say "The loading of the Si-sponge with sodium resulted in a decrease in both the BET surface area and pore volume from 11.77 to 5.08m²/g and 0.15-0.08 cm³/g, respectively.</p>	231	The same magnification for Si-waste sponge and Si-waste sponge with Na has been added in section 3.1, Figure 3a and b
10.	<p>Fig. 3: The SEM image of Si-sponge with Na (Fig. 3b) is magnified ten times more compared to the SEM image for Si-sponge without Na(Fig. 3a). If the authors want to show the differences in porosity, the same magnifications should be used.</p> <p>Line 168-169: Also I do not understand what the authors</p>	233	The sentences have been rephrased to match with the micrograph

<p>11.</p> <p>12.</p>	<p>mean by "The surface of catalyst creates porous materials(Fig. 3b)"</p> <p>Also the sentences that follows doesn't make sense: "The morphology shows the homogenous spherical catalyst, having a smooth surface and similar to each other increases the surface area.There are branches in the bundles of spicules which give the sponge its porous structures"</p> <p>-This also contradicts the BET surface area results. The authors state that the smooth surface of the spherical catalyst increases the surface area, but the BET results show a decrease in the surface area for Na loaded Si-sponge.</p> <p>-Also, the authors say there are branches in the bundles, I do not see these branches in the SEM image. The needle shaped spicules look rather smooth.</p> <p>What is the stirring speed used for methyl ester conversion? If the authors want to compare mechanical stirring and sonication, the effect of stirring speed on methyl ester conversion needs to be studied. Or choose a stirring speed that gives the same mechanical energy as the ultrasound power used. This can be done by measuring the calorimetric power.</p> <p>Fig. 4a was discussed in section 3.2 and then Fig. 4b was discussed in section 3.3. It is better to separate these two graphs into Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 if they are going to be in different sections.</p>	<p>109</p> <p>240 259</p>	<p>The similar mechanical energy is produced at about 300 rpm. Additional study has been carried out at 300 rpm and reported in section 2.4</p> <p>The figures have been separated</p> <p>The caption has been corrected. The implementations of catalyst amount and reaction duration have been added.</p>
-----------------------	--	-------------------------------	---

13.	<p>Also the caption is incorrect, (a) should be reaction duration on ME conversion and (b) should be catalyst amount. Also the wt% of catalyst used in the reaction duration should be stated in the caption. Similarly,</p>	112	<p>Yes the catalyst is Na impregnated in waste sponge (Na-waste sponge) and labelled as SWSS in revised manuscript</p>
14.	<p>the duration used in the variation of catalyst amount should be stated in the figure caption.</p> <p>Also it is unclear was the catalyst used the Na loaded Si-sponge?</p>		<p>Included in section 2.4, therefore the temperature was controlled and maintained at the desired level (± 0.1 °C) by water circulating from a thermostated bath by means of a pump.</p>
	<p>The authors does not state how temperature was controlled. It is stated the reaction temperature was 55 °C, however sonication of greater than 10min will increase the temperature significantly more than mechanical stirring.</p>	276	<p>Obviously there will be some effect on stirring of too much material is used.</p>
	<p>Discussion on Fig.4b in section 3.3: The authors claim that the reasons for the ME conversion to remain unaffected at catalytic material above 3% were due to "higher power consumption required to compensate for an adequate stirring speed and the solution becoming more viscous." Does the viscosity vary with increasing catalytic material? It is unclear why more material will require higher stirring speed? The plateau is also reached at 3wt% for the sonicated system. Does that mean there is insufficient mixing for ultrasound when there is too much material?</p>		<p>The suggested explanation is added in section 3.3 (with a great appreciation to the reviewer)</p>
	<p>Other explanation for effect of ultrasound needs to be taken into account. a)Wetting of the pores within the catalytic material. Is the</p>	112	<p>Agree</p>

15.	catalytic material fully hydrated? If not, then it is possible with ultrasound there is more wetting of the pores, hence more surface area is exposed to the reactant.	151	The temperature was controlled
16.	b)Fig. 4a shows that a maximum ME reached for US is approx 98.4% and 87.9% for MS. This corresponds to the maximum reached in Fig. 4b. So perhaps at 3wt% catalyst the maximum ME has already been reached therefore further increase in the catalyst material will not increase ME?	62	Separated as section 2.6
17.	c)Increase in temperature by sonication can increase reaction rate if the temperature was not controlled.		Section 3.5 is removed, and the reference (Gude et al.) was added in section 1.1 introduction
	Description given in the start of section3.4 on kinetic studies should be given in a separate theory section or appendix and not in the results and discussion section.	79	
	Section 3.5 estimation of energy consumption for process. This section is only a small paragraph and the authors only quoted what Gude et al. reported, that is transesterification using a hot plate requires much more energy than ultrasonic process. Either put this in introduction or combine with other section or measure the energy consumption used in the current study for US and MS.	249	The water and FFA content of the oil is mentioned in section 2.1
		253	
		254	The english sentences has been improved
		278	
		288	
	The authors show that Na-sponge can tolerate up to 6% water content and FFA, but what % of water and FFA are usually present in waste liquids?	293	
		325	

18.	<p>English for the following phrases/sentences needs to be corrected: Line188: "The very difference conversion" Line193: " causing them to suspend each other easily" Line194: "will be strongly accelerating the reaction rate" Line 212: "the highest conversion of WCO under MS WAS observed WAS lower" English. Line 221: "with the presence catalyst" correct to "with the presence OF catalyst".</p>	109	<p>The experimental set up include in section 2.4</p>
19.	<p>Line273: "...which clearly shows that the reaction rate by US method higher than that of MS"</p>	112 and 122	<p>The sample size has been increased in bigger scale (section 2.4) The suggested reference has been added</p>
20.	<p>Line 277: "...rate constant is depending strongly on temperature" Line 315: "...is usually considered known to inhibit the reaction"</p>	125	<p>The temperature under both US and MS studied from 50 to 60 °C.</p>
21.	<p>Reviewer #2: The efficiency of ultrasound irradiation or the attenuation effects on the cavitation activity depends on the reactor geometry, authors should describe the reactor in the experimental set up. Moreover, the amount of oil used for the experiments is too small (5 ml of oil in test tube). Data in a bigger scale at least 50 ml are necessary to imagine the feasibility of the protocol. Please mention previous papers (i.e. Cintas Ultrason. Sonochem. 2010, 17(6), 985-989)</p>	79	<p>The data was repeated three times and the data in the manuscript is the average as mentioned in section 2.4. The graph in figure 4, 6 and 7 is average value from three time experiment and the standard deviation has been added in the revised manuscript</p>
22.	<p>The amount of the reactant used and also the conditions for reaction in US and MS reactors were different. US reactor was tested at 55°C while MS reactor at 65°C as mentioned in the</p>		<p>The FFA content in our feedstock (WCO) is lower than 2.5%. The solid base catalyst still tolerates if the FFA is lower than 2.5% (Boro et al., 2012). As such pretreatment is not performed.</p>

<p>23.</p> <p>24.</p> <p>25.</p>	<p>experimental part. These data cannot be compared!</p> <p>The authors should remark the repeating times of the experiment and it would be better if the authors use the average value and the standard deviation to plot in the graph in Fig.4, 6 and 7 to show the accuracy of the results.</p> <p>WCO was used as the raw material in this work. In general, the pretreatment step was performed before to eliminate the FFA in WCO resulting in the soap formation. However, the authors ignore this aspect, I would expect some problems in section 3.2 and 3.3? P.13 line 274, the unit of the rate constant is min-1 but it is s-1 in Table 2 what is the exactly unit of this value? and while it is not mentioned the rate constant from MS reactor obtained in this work in Table 2? Are there any details or more statements from other works that support the results from this work?</p> <p>P.15 1st paragraph, it has been mentioned that US reactor can reduce the energy consumption and this is a very important aspect to scale-up the process in the real application. It is mandatory to describe the energy consumption to produce biodiesel comparing the conventional reactor?</p> <p>P.15 in the Tolerance towards water and FFA of Na-Sponge section. The effect of water and FFA on the activity of Na-Sponge was examined. Authors</p>	<p>79</p> <p>348</p>	<p>The unit is corrected to min⁻¹</p> <p>The total energy consumption calculation according Cintas et al. (2010) Ultrason. Sonochem., 17, 985-989 and Liu et al.(2014) Ultrason.Sonochem., 20, 708-714: US: 80 Watt MS: 501 Watt</p> <p>Yes, the oil in this part of experiment is waste cooking oil (FFA is 1.77%). The FFA content of WCO has been added in section 2.1</p> <p>The non-confirming viscosity value is for the unrefined biodiesel. However for the final product (after methanol has been stripped via rotary evaporator) the viscosity meets the specification. The error is much regretted.</p>
----------------------------------	--	----------------------	---

	<p>did the experiment by adding some percentage of water or FFA in the reactant (oil). Then, what's kind of oil in this part? is it the WCO as mentioned before? If it is, as we know that there are also some FFA in WCO so it should be better to mentioned this before. Otherwise, if it is another kind of oil it should be mentioned to avoid confusion.</p> <p>P.16 in the biodiesel section I read: "The result of the evaluation shows that the final product meets all the tested parameters (ester content, density, viscosity, and acid value)". However, it can be seen in Table 3 that the viscosity does not meet the standard value.</p>		
--	---	--	--