

JURKOM

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

Dear Author(S): Hanifah Nahda, Hairunnisa

Paper ID:	JURKOM - 626
Paper Title:	Pengaruh Selebriti Pendukung (@Niniramadani06) Terhadap Loyalitas Konsumen MS Glow Samarinda

This is to enlighten you that above manuscript appraised by the proficient and it is **ACCEPTED but needs minor revision** by the Board of Referees (BoR) of 'ASPIKOM Riau Region' for publication in the 'JURKOM' that will publish at **Volume 6, Issue 1, August-February (2023)** in Regular Issue on **February 2023**.

Information For Author(S)- Please read very carefully.

1. Each author (s) profile (min 100 words) along with a photo should be available in the final paper. The final papers should be prepared as per the journal template. The Paper should have a minimum of 03 pages and a maximum of 10 pages.
2. Author (s) can make rectification/updation in the final paper but after the signing the copyright and final paper submission to the journal, any rectification/updation is not possible.
3. Maximum 05 authors can be seated in a paper. In the case of more than 05 authors, the paper (s) to be rejected.
4. If the above three supporting documents (Final Paper, Copyright and Registration) did not submit to the journal by the author in the given date (s), then paper will automatically suspend from publication for particular volume/issue. During the final email, you have to attach Final Paper, Copyright and Proof of Registration in a single email. Final paper should be prepared as per the reviewer (s) comments. In the case of failure, it to be rejected. Please read review report carefully. It is compulsory to write the Paper ID of the paper in place of Subject Area in the email during the final paper submission. Header and footer of the paper template will be edited by journal staff.
5. Published paper to be available online 28 February 2023. Paper cannot withdraw after submitting the copyright to the journal.

Finally, the team of 'JURKOM' would like to further extend congratulations to you.



Accredited by National Journal Accreditation (ARJUNA) managed by Ministri of Research, Technology, RI with Fourth Grade (SINTA 3) according to the decree No.28/E/KPT/2019

Review Report

This journal uses double-blind review process, which means that both the reviewer (s) and author (s) identities concealed from the reviewers, throughout the review process. All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by two reviewer one from Indonesia and rest two from overseas. The average marks of three reviewers of review report are given below.

Registration:

Paper ID:	JURKOM - 626
Paper Title	Pengaruh Selebriti Pendukung (@Niniramadani06) Terhadap Loyalitas Konsumen MS Glow Samarinda
Author(s):	Hanifah Nahda, Hairunnisa

Evaluation:

1 = Very Poor | 2 = Poor | 3 = Good | 4 = Very Good | 5 = Outstanding | NA = Not Applicable

No	Please rate the following	5	4	3	2	1	NA
01	Relevance to the scope of the journal	✓					
02	Contribution to the respective field		✓				
03	Technical strength: explanation of used methodology	✓					
04	Plagiarism: evaluavated from antiplagarism software	✓					
05	Intelligibility and significance of the paper title		✓				
06	Transparency and description of the abstract	✓					
07	Relevance of abstract with proposed approach/methodology	✓					
08	Litrature Survey and problem identification, if any	✓					
09	Feasibility study of the proposed approach/methodology	✓					
10	Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables	✓					
11	Explanation of drawings, graphs and tables						
12	Clarity and appropriate explanation of equations	✓					
13	Result analysis and discussion	✓					
14	Comparative study with traditional work	✓					
15	Clarity and presentation of the paper	✓					
16	Conclusion of the paper	✓					
17	Reference list is adequate and correctly cited	✓					
18	Implication of the gramitical error and precision		✓				

Continue...(1/2)

JURKOM

Outlook :

Tick the appropriate option	Yes	No
Is the paper or parts of it been plagiarized from elsewhere?		✓
Is there any fraud in the paper?		✓
Is the paper, as a whole, ethically acceptable?	✓	
Is paper within the scope?	✓	
Is paper written under the publication ethics and malpractice statement?	✓	

Recommendation: Accepted

Assessment	Strongly Accepted, no revision needed	Accepted, no revision needed	Accepted, but needs minor revision	Accepted, but needs major revision	Rejected, poor quality/out of scope	Rejected, ethical issues
Overall Status of Paper			✓			

