

## MANAGEMENT DYNAMICS IN THE KNOWLEDGE ECONOMY

### REVIEW FORM

<b>Paper code</b>	368
<b>Paper title</b>	Food Security Management for Indonesia: A Strategy from the Covid-19 Pandemic
<b>Review due date</b>	November 10

The evaluation consists in giving a mark between 1 (not at all) and 5 (high degree) for the quality of the reviewed paper considering the following aspects:

<b>Overall criteria</b>	<b>Evaluation (from 1 to 5)</b>
Originality	4
Structure of the paper	3
Abstract content	5
Keywords' relevance	5
Literature review	1
References	3
Research design	2
Results and analysis	3
Conclusions	3
Applicative relevance	3
Language and style	3

### Comments and recommendations

Please make your comments and recommendations such that the paper could be improved.

<b>GENERAL EVALUATION</b>
What is the scientific soundness of the investigation; which is the significance of the content; what is the overall merit of the research?
The paper is an interesting read investigating an important topic in contemporary times. It also fits the special issue of MDKE. Nevertheless, it needs significant improvements in terms of literature review. We consider that the value of the paper will increase a lot by extending the analysis of the evolutions in Indonesia to include the period before the pandemic. Additionally, the author(s) should consider better arguing on the added value of the paper, both in a conceptual and practical framework.
<b>TITLE, ABSTRACT and KEYWORDS</b>
Does the title reflect the content of the paper? Is the Abstract adequate? Are the keywords significant?
We recommend the abstract to include the value and implications of the findings.

<b>INTRODUCTION</b>
Is the introduction relevant; does it provide relevant background and argues on the importance of the study?
This section is framing well the proposed study. Still, some references to the situation in Indonesia before the pandemics would be recommended to better understand the framework and the evolution. We also recommend to argue on the thesis of the paper, we highlight its value. Including a paragraph presenting the structure of the paper will facilitate the reading of the study.
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>
Is the research based on an adequate understanding of the literature in the field; are relevant and actual references cited; how could the body of sources be improved?
This section is not presented in the paper.
<b>METHODOLOGY</b>
Is the methodology appropriate to the objectives; are the methods properly presented?
We recommend to clearly present the objectives of the research and to argue on their relevance. The limits of the investigation might also be considered.
<b>FINDINGS</b>
Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately; are the associated discussions related to implications relevant for researchers and practitioners?
The results are generally clearly presented, but they are not critically analyzed. More discussions, having in mind implications for practice could be considered.
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>
Are the conclusions clearly presented and related to the results?
This section could be extensively re-written. Limits and future lines of research might also be considered. Comparing the main findings with the situation before the pandemic or evolutions in other countries would be welcomed. The paper should make the case for its value, both from an empirical and a practical perspective.
<b>ORIGINALITY/ ADDED-VALUE</b>
Is the added value of the findings accurately and thoroughly presented, considering both theoretical and practical frameworks?
The author(s) should argument much more on the added-value of the paper, for policy-makers, public administration, civil society and academia.

### Final recommendation for the paper

<b>Accepted as it is</b>	
<b>Minor changes</b>	
<b>Major changes</b>	x
<b>Reject</b>	