

MANAGEMENT DYNAMICS IN THE KNOWLEDGE ECONOMY

REVIEW FORM

Paper code	368
Paper title	Food Security Management for Indonesia: A Strategy from the Covid-19 Pandemic
Review due date	10 November 2020

The evaluation consists in giving a mark between 1 (not at all) and 5 (high degree) for the quality of the reviewed paper considering the following aspects:

Overall criteria	Evaluation (from 1 to 5)
Originality	3
Structure of the paper	3
Abstract content	4
Keywords' relevance	4
Literature review	2
References	4
Research design	3
Results and analysis	4
Conclusions	3
Applicative relevance	3
Language and style	3

Comments and recommendations

Please make your comments and recommendations such that the paper could be improved.

GENERAL EVALUATION
What is the scientific soundness of the investigation; which is the significance of the content; what is the overall merit of the research?
<p>The proposed paper contains interesting information about public policies in Indonesia during the COVID-19 crisis. However, the structure and the style of the paper must be improved. The paper is too brief – a larger study, of minimum 5000 words would allow the authors to elaborate more and therefore would generate more results and a wider discussion.</p> <p>The authors must proofread the whole paper because there are some sentences without a clear meaning. The written communication should be improved.</p>
TITLE, ABSTRACT and KEYWORDS
Does the title reflect the content of the paper? Is the Abstract adequate? Are the keywords significant?

The Title, Abstract and Keywords are well designed.
INTRODUCTION Is the introduction relevant; does it provide relevant background and argues on the importance of the study?
In Introduction the authors must evidence more clearly their Research Question – as an independent paragraph, and show how they are going to approach their study.
LITERATURE REVIEW Is the research based on an adequate understanding of the literature in the field; are relevant and actual references cited; how could the body of sources be improved?
The literature review section is missing.
METHODOLOGY Is the methodology appropriate to the objectives; are the methods properly presented?
The authors must elaborate more the section on Methodology and explain HOW they approach their study. A step by step explanation might be helpful. Please, present the limits of the study.
FINDINGS Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately; are the associated discussions related to implications relevant for researchers and practitioners?
Findings could be compared to other studies around the world. Implications could be discussed in more details.
CONCLUSIONS Are the conclusions clearly presented and related to the results?
This section should contain also some limitations of the search and data processing.
ORIGINALITY/ ADDED-VALUE Is the added value of the findings accurately and thoroughly presented, considering both theoretical and practical frameworks?
The originality comes from the topic and the information presented about the public policies in Indonesia concerning food sector during COVID-19.

Final recommendation for the paper

Accepted as it is	
Minor changes	
Major changes	X
Reject	