

INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR 2015

The Innovation in Chemistry Education in Supporting Green Chemistry Toward The Advanced KalTim 2018

Organizer CHEMISTRY EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATIC AND NATURAL SCIENCE EDUCATION FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION 60 × 53 ° ° MULAWARMAN UNIVERSITY Supported by: Rector of Mulawarman University Dean of Faculty of Teacher Trainming Forum Kerjasama Kimiawan Kerman Indonesia (FK3TI)

Glycose =

Dipindai dengan CamScanner





The Innovation in Chemistry Education in Supporting Green Chemistry Toward The Advanced KalTim 2018

INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR 2015

Glucase = Organizer CHEMISTRY EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM, H12 % DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATIC AND NATURAL SCIENCE EDUCATION FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINNIG AND EDUCATION 60×93¢0 MULAWARMAN UNIVERSITY Supported by: Rector of Mulawarman University Dean of Faculty of Teacher Trainning and Forum Kerjasama Kimjawan Karaman University

Dipindai dengan CamScann

COLLECTION OF PAPER

INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR

CHEMISTRY EDUCATION FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION MULAWARMAN UNIVERSITY

2015

THEME:

THE INNOVATION IN CHEMISTRY EDUCATION IN SUPPORTING GREEN CHEMISTRY TOWARD THE ADVANCED KALTIM 2018

Organizer:

CHEMISTRY EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCE EDUCATION

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

MULAWARMAN UNIVERSITY

Hall of Rector 4th floor

Jl. Kuaro Campus Mount Kelua Samarinda 75123
Phone: 081347105831 / 082156669908
E-Mail: <u>ischemistryand_e@yahoo.com</u>

ii

Dipindai dengan CamScanner

KNOWLEDGE HISTORY MAPPING OF STUDENTS OF PROSPECTIVE	F
CHEMISTRY TEACHERS TO MOVE TACIT KNOWLEDGE TO	
ACCOUNTABLE EXPLICIT KNOWLEDGE	J
Kurroti A'yun	
	38
A REVIEW ON ANTIPYRETICS AND THE SYNTHESIS ON THE OVERING	-
DERIVATIVES	5
Nurul Kasyfita	
	55
DIVERSITYOFSECONDARY METADOLITOR ADVICE ADVICE ADVICE	
Erwin	
<u></u>	62
THE POTENTIAL OF SECONDARY ARTAROLETCO COMPARATION	
METHANOL EXTRACT STEM DARK MELABOLITES COMPOUNDS OF	
BACTERIAL	
Usman	
<u>Osmun</u>	75
FYTRACTION KINETICS OF SAPPANG WOOD DVERGER 1	
Linn)	
Survani	
<u>Suryunt</u>	82
MISCONCEPTION RESISTANT LOADAND INDUT DIMENSION	
OFSTUDENT'SLEARNING STYLES ON CHEMICAL FOULLIDBURG	
CONTENT	
Septvadi David Eka Arvungga	00
<u></u>	90
MINERALS, NUTRIENS AND ACTIVE COMPOUNDS OF RAMBUTAN	
FRUITS	
<u>Sukemi</u>	98

THE EFFECT OF APPLICATION OF 5E LEARNING CYCLE MODEL COMBINED NUMBERED HEAD TOGETHER (NHT) TOWARD CHEMISTRY LEARNING OUTCOMES ON THE SUBJECT OF SALT HYDROLYSIS IN XI SCIENCE STUDENTS AT SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL 1 TANAH GROGOT ACADEMIC YEAR 2014/2015	
<u>Yuni Kurnia</u>	171
PROSPECTIVE CRITICAL THINKING AND COGNITIVE STUDENTS BASED LEARNING THROUGH INQUIRY <u>Abdul Hamid</u>	182
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LIPASE IMMOBILIZATION ON CHITOSAN	
BEADS CROSS-LINKED BY GLUTARALDEHYDE	
<u>Lizma Febrina</u>	188
BIOSORPTION OF CADMIUM (Cd) AT GREEN COCO FIBER(<i>Cocos</i> <i>nucifera</i>) WAS ACTIVATED BY USINGATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETER (AAS) METHOD	
<u>Syamsidar HS</u> ,	198
The Effect of Voltage on The Metal Concentration (Hg, Pb, and Zn), Conductivity and Color on The Electrocoagulation - Flotation Process in a Waste Incinerator Liquid.	
<u>Merry Anggriani</u>	208
THE DIFFERENCE OF COGNITIVE LEARNING OUTCOMES BETWEEN STUDENTS WHO LEARNED BY USING PROBLEM SOLVING AND PROBLEM POSING LEARNING MODELIN SALT HYDROLYSIS MATERIAL	
<u>Dian Eka Wati</u>	218

xi

Dipindai dengan CamScanner

THE EFFECT OF APPLICATION OF 5E LEARNING CYCLE MODEL COMBINED NUMBERED HEAD TOGETHER (NHT) TOWARD CHEMISTRY LEARNING OUTCOMES ON THE SUBJECT OF SALT HYDROLYSIS IN XI SCIENCE STUDENTS AT SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL 1 TANAH GROGOT ACADEMIC YEAR 2014/2015

Yuni Kurnia¹, Usman², Ratna Kusumawardani³ ¹ Mahasiswa Prodi Kimia FKIP Universitas Mulawarman ^{2,3} Staff Pengajar Prodi Kimia FKIP Universitas Mulawarman

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the effect of application of 5E learning cycle modelcombined numbered head together (NHT) toward chemistry learning outcomes on the subject of salt hydrolysis in XI science students at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015. The method is used in this study is experimental method. Samples were students of class XI Science 4 as an experimental class-treated with 5E learning cycle model combined numbered head together (NHT)and the students of class XI Science 3 as control class treated with direct instructional models. Obtaining the average value of 84,4 post-test experimental class and control class 73,8. Data analysis process two groups using t-test results obtained 3,24 t_{test} and t_{table} at 5% significance level of 1,67, then t_{calculation}> t_{table}. The results of this study indicate that there are. The effect of the 5E learning cycle model combined numbered head together (NHT) toward chemistry learning outcomes on the subject of salt hydrolysis in XI sains students at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.

Keywords: 5E learning cycle Model Combined Number Head Together (NHT) and Learning Outcome

INTRODUCTION

Some models of learning that are considered capable of making students more passion for learning in the classroom, more active, able to develop the mindset and maximize learning outcomes, among others, is a 5E learning cycle modeland learning model Numbered Head Together (NHT). Research on the 5E learning cycle modelalready been done before, among others by Asiyah (2013), states that this learning model encourages students to engage actively ask, answer, work on the problems and discussions in groups to solve problems. Group discussions help students solve problems by exchanging information.

Besides learning 5E learning cycle model, another learning model that can affect learning outcomes and student activity is a learning model Numbered Head Together. Learning model Numbered Head Together is one type of structural model of cooperative learning in the learning process which prioritizes cooperation to achieve learning objectives. This is according to research conducted by Kartikasasmi (2012), that the learning model Numbered Head Together affects creativity and student learning outcomes for the better. The combination of two learning models are intended to complement each other's deficiencies learning model. The structure is developed in this the learning model Numbered Head Together is as an additional alternative to the stages of 5E learning cycle model. Two of these models are also suitable for improving the spirit of the students in the learning process in the classroom so that the material presented will be easily accepted in particular to the subject salt hydrolysis. Salt hydrolysis cubject is that contains a calculation in which students must understand clearly and also contains concepts that are difficult to remember the students with direct instructional model.

Based on the background described above, the author is interested in conducting research on the effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study was conducted Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot in May 2015. The research is a research experiment. The sample inthis study were students of class XI Science 3 totaling 32 students and XI Science 4 totaling 32 students. Sampling was done by purposive sampling technique. Considerations in this sampling is the advice from a chemistry teacher at Senior High School 1Tanah Grogot which is proved to capture the score of these two classes of documentation which is then test edby t test and F test. Research instruments is used is a test that has 6 questions at each meeting and also the observation sheet to measure student activity.

Data analysis

Before thetreated(Pramudjono, 2005)

Documentation obtained in the form of data score obtained from the subject teachers of chemistry at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot processed by the statistics which used the F test to determine a class derived from the variances homogeneous or heterogeneous followed by t-test to determine whether there is difference in absorption of students in two classes which will be used as a sample

$$F_{calculation} = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} where S_1^2 > S_2^2$$

If $F_{calculation} < F_{table}$ then the sample is considered homogeneous.

If $F_{calculation} \ge F_{table}$ then the sample is considered heterogeneous.

T-testis then performedtodetermine whether there is a difference absorption students a. If the sample (variances) of both samples is homogeneous, the formula will be:

$$t_{calculation} = \frac{\overline{X_1} - \overline{X_2}}{\sqrt[s]{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$
$$S = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

b. If the sample (variances) of both samples is heterogeneous, the formula will be

$$t_{calculation} = \frac{\overline{X_1} - \overline{X_2}}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

Notes:

 $\overline{X_1}$: the average score of grade XI Science 3 $\overline{X_2}$: the average score of grade XI Science 4 n₁: the sample numbers of grade XI Science 3 n₂: the sample numbers of grade XI Science 4 S₁: the standard deviance of grade XI Science 3 S₂: the standard deviance of XI Science 4 S₁: the deviance of XI Science 4 S₂: the deviance of combination

HaandH₀hypothesisisas follows:

H₀: There are differences in absorption between XI Science 3 and XI Science 4 at Senior High School 1Tanah Grogot

Ha: There is no difference in absorption between XI Science 3 and XI Science 4 at Senior High School 1Tanah Grogot

Based on the comparison of the value of the t-calculation and t-table, it can be concluded as follows:

- 1. If t-calculation > t-table then Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, which means that there are differences in absorption between XI Science 3 and XI Science 4 at Senior High School 1Tanah Grogot
- If t-calculation ≤ t-table then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, which means that there is no difference in absorption between XI Science 3 and XI Science 4 at Senior High School 1Tanah Grogot.

After treated (Pramudjono, 2005) Data obtained through a chievement test processed by the statistics, which in this cause used the t test to compare two average score is the average score of the class that uses a 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT)

and average the value of the classusing direct instructional models. Before entering F test and test, the first step that must formulate hypotheses on Ha and H_0 as follows:

- Ha: There is the effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.
- H₀: There is no effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.

For thet-testcan be divided into two groups, namely the variancet test homogeneous and heterogeneous variancet test. Both homogeneous or heterogeneous variance can be seen through the test F (Pramudjono, 2005).

$$F_{calculation} = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} where S_1^2 > S_2^2$$

If $F_{calculation} < F_{table}$ then the sample is considered homogeneous. If $F_{calculation} \ge F_{table}$ then the sample is considered heterogeneous.

T-testis then performed to determine whether there is a difference absorption students

a. If the sample (variances) of both samples is homogeneous, the formula will be:

$$t_{calculation} = \frac{\overline{X_1} - \overline{X_2}}{s\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$
$$S = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

b. If the sample (variances) of both samples is heterogeneous, the formula will be

$$t_{calculation} = \frac{X_1 - X_2}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

Notes:

 $\overline{X_1}$: the average score of grade XI Science 3 $\overline{X_2}$: the average score of grade XI Science 4 n₁: the sample numbers of grade XI Science 3 n₂: the sample numbers of grade XI Science 4 S₁: the standard deviance of grade XI Science 3 S₂: the standard deviance of XI Science 4 S: the deviance of combination Based on the comparison of the value of the t-calculation and t-tabulation, it can be concluded as follows:

- If t-calculation > t-table then Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, which means there is the effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.
- If t-calculation ≤ t-tabulation then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected, which means there is no effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of Study

Result	XI Science 3	XI Science 4	
Avarege Score	53,4	52,2	
Fcalculation	1,75		
F _{tabulation(5%)}	1,84		
t _{calculation}	0,30		
t _{tabulation}	1,67		

1.1.1.1 The Result Before Treatment

The average scoreof students before the subject of salt hydrolysis is equilibrium and acid-base in the class of XI Scince 3 is 53.4 and in the class of XI Science 4 is 52.2. Based on table it can be seen that the F table = 1.84 and F_{calculation}=1.75, so F_{calculation} < F_{table} it can be concluded that the data homogeneous, where as thet test obtained t_{calculation} = 0.30 and t_{table} = 1.67 so t_{calculation} \leq t_{table}, it shows that inboth classes there is no difference in the ability of absorption before it is treated, then proceed with the study. Based on data analysiscan be seen that the results of the study after being given treatment on each sample group, as the following table.

The Result After Treatment

Analisis	XI IPA 3	XI IPA 4
Data		
	Direct	5E Learning Cycle
	Instructional	model combined
	Model	Numbered Head
		Together (NHT)
Averege	73,8	84,4
Score		
Fcalculation	1,26	
Ftable(5%)	1,84	
Tcalculation	3,24	
t _{table}	1,67	

The results showedthat the average student learning out comes using 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together higher than students who use directin stuctional models. The average score of XI Science 3 is 73.8 and the average score of XI Science 4 is 84.4. Further more, teble shows that $F_{calculation} = 1.26$ and $F_{table} = 1.84$, so $F_{calculation} < F_{table}$ it can be concluded homogeneous samples. Test calculations obtained $t_{calculation} = 3.24$ and $t_{table} = 1.67$ so $t_{calculation} > t_{tabulation}$ the significant level of 5% then H₀ is rejected and Ha accepted. Thus, it can be seen that there is the effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015.

Table Percentage 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together

Meeting	Student	Criteria
	Activity (%)	
Ι	80,5	Good
II	80	Good
Avarege	80,25	Good

Based on the table it can be concluded that the average achievement of the learning process stage activities undertaken by the students has been successful. The post test results in two meetings and the results of daily test class XI Science 4 using a model 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together and class XI Science 3 using direct intructional model can be seen in the following graph.



Based on the results of the posttest meeting picture 1 and 2 as well as the daily test class XI Science 3 (direct instructional model) and XI Science 4 (5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together) there are differences in learning results clams on the subject of salt hydrolysis.

Posttest and daily test conducted looked for differences in student learning outcomes experimental class and control class. This difference can be seen from the end of the second class XI Science 3 which is value is 73.8 and XI Science 4 is 84.4, indicating that the 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together make student learning outcomes for the better rather than direct learning models.

Based on the results of the calculation of the average score posttest, daily tests and observations made in the experimental class that has been done, that 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together have a positive impact on student learning outcomes, especially material salt hydrolysis.

After statistical data management by using the t test variance homogeneous $t_{calculation} = 3.24$ and $t_{table} = 1.67$ so $t_{calculation} > t_{table}$ the significant level of 5% then H₀ is rejected and Ha accepted. This shows that there is the effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015. During the learning process, the observation made by the four observers. Student activity observation results are shown in the following graph:



Student Activity Level image on Application of Learning Model Learning Cycle 5E combined Numbered Head Together (NHT)

Based on the overall Images can be known application of the 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together been implemented properly. The results of observations made on the first day and the second study showed that activity 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together went well and effectively. At the first meeting and the second, the application of the learning model show teacher have been carrying out all stages of the learning that has been developed previously. Based on observations known to the teachers have implemented learning model as a whole stage. The average yield of observation of students known to the student activity by 80.25% with good criteria means the activity of students in the learning process very active role in learning

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

Based on the research that has been done, it can be concluded that:

- There is the there is the effect of application of 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) toward chemistery learning outcomes of the subject salt hydrolysis in XI science student at Senior High School 1 Tanah Grogot academic year 2014/2015. The highest student learning outcomes obtained in the experimental class with a value of 84.4, while the control class value is lower at 73.8.
- 2. Activity of students in the learning 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) on the subject of salt hydrolysis is 80.5% with good criteria.

Suggestion

As the end of this study, the authors can be argued as follows:

1. In applying the 5E Learning Cycle model combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) teacher should divide the time in the learning process well, so that students actually take the time to understand the material being studied. Among others on the stage and Head Together Explanation given more time to discuss and think together to explore the ability of students so that students can understand and do well all the questions.

- 2. Teachers make Learning Cycle 5E models combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) as an alternative model of teaching chemistry in schools in order to improve the quality of student learning outcomes.
- 3. The more research that is model Learning Cycle 5E combined Numbered Head Together (NHT) on another subject that have similar characteristics with salt hydrolysis.

REFERENCES

- Agustyaningrum, Nina. 2010. Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Learning Cycle 5E untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Komunikasi Matematis Siswa Kelas IX B SMP Negeri 2 Sleman. Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia. Diakses tanggal 15 Maret 2015
- Asiyah, Mulyani dan Nurhayati. 2013. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Learning Cycle5e Berbantuan Macromedia Flashdilengkapi Lks Untuk Meningkatkan Aktivitas Dan Prestasi Belajar Siswa Pokok Bahasan Zat Adiktif Dan Psikotropika Kelas VIII SMPN 4 Surakarta Tahun Pelajaran 2011/2012. Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia. Diakses Tanggal 15 Maret 2015

Arikunto, Suharsimi. 1993. Manajemen Pengajaran Secara Manusiawi. Rineka Cipta. Jakarta.

Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2009. Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan. Bumi Aksara. Jakarta.

Azwar, Saifuddin. 1997.Metode Penelitian. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar

Cholistyana, Ika Eliza. 2014. Pengaruh Model Learning Cycle 5E terhadap HasilBelajar Siswa Pada Konsep Sistem Ekskresi. Skripsi. Jurusan Pendidikan IPA UIN Syarif Hidayatullah. Jakarta. Diakses tanggal 15 Maret 2015.

Darsono, Max. 2002. Belajar dan Pembelajaran. IKIP Semarang Press. Semarang

Dalyono. 2009. PsikologiPendidikan. Rineka Cipta. Jakarta.

Dimyati, Mudjiono. 2002. Belajar dan Pembelajaran. Rineka Cipta. Jakarta.

Djamarah, Syaiful Bahri dan Zain, Aswan. 2006. Strategi Belajar Mengajar. Rineka Cipta. Jakarta.

Djamarah, Syaiful Bahri. 2002. Psikologi Belajar. Rineka Cipta. Jakarta

Fajaroh dan Dasna. 2008. Pembelajaran Dengan Model Siklus Belajar (Learning Cycle). Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia. Jurusan Kimia FMIPA UM. Malang, Diakses tanggal 14 Maret 2015

Ibrahim, Muslimin. 2002. Pembelajaran Kooperatif. UNESA University Press : Surabaya.

- Kartikasasmi, Handini. 2012. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran NHT denganPendekatan Sets pada Materi Cahaya untukMengembangkan Kreativitas Siswa. Skripsi. Semarang
- Kunandar. 2007. Guru Profesional Implementasi Kurikulum Satuan Pendidikan (Ktsp) Dan Sukses Dalam Sertifikasi Guru. Rajawali Pers. Jakarta
- Kusumawardana, Fauzi Usman Ardhi. 2012. Implementasi Metode Pembelajaran Drill Sebagai UpayaMeningkatkan Aktivitas dan Prestasi Belajar MataDiklat Plc (Programmable Logic
- Control) SMKMuhammadiyah 3Yogyakarta. Skripsi. Yogyakarta
- Lie, Anita. 2005. Cooperative Learning : "Mempraktekkan Cooperative Learning di dalam Ruang-Ruang Kelas". Gramedia. Jakarta
- Majid, Abdul. 2013. Strategi Pembelajaran Remaja. Rodaskarya. Bandung
- Mulyani,Sumantri,dkk.1999. Strategi Belajar Mengajar. Depdikbud Dirjen Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Nurdiana, Khristiani. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif tipe Think Pair Share untuk Meningkatkan Aktivitas dan Prestasi Belajar Kimia siswa pokok bahasan Sifat Koligatif Larutan Kelas XII SMA N 1 Tanah Grogot Kabupaten Paser. Thesis. Samarinda

Pramudjiono. 2005. Statistika Dasar Aplikasi untuk Penelitian. FKIP Unmul. Samarinda

Riyanto, Yatim. 2014. Paradigma Baru Pembelajaran. Kencana Prenamedia Group. Jakarta.

Sastrawijaya, Tresna. 1988. Proses Belajar Mengajar Kimia. Depdikbud. Jakarta.

- Sulistiawati, Septiana. 2011. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Make A Match untuk Meingkatkan Hasil Belajar Biologi Siswa di Kelas X SMK Kesehatan Samarinda Tahun Pembelajaran 2010/2011. Skripsi. Samarinda
- Seftiwi, Arsyita. 2014. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Numbered Heads Together (NHT) untukMeningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa pada Pokok Bahasan Termokimia di Kelas XI IPA SMA PGRIPekanbaru. Skripsi. Pekanbaru

Slameto. 2003. Belajar dan Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya. Rineka cipta. Jakarta

Sudjana, Nana. 2005. Penilaian Hasil Proses Belajar Mengajar. PT. Remaja Rosdikarya. Bandung.

- Sukmadinata, Nana Syaodih. 2004. Landasan Psikologi :Proses Pendidikan. PT. Remaja Rosdakarya. Bandung.
- Sulistyowati, Tri dkk. 2014. Implementasi Learning Cycle 5e Dilengkapi Worksheet Untuk Meningkatkan Kreativitas Dan Prestasi Belajar Siswa Pada Materi Pokok Hidrolisis Garam Kelas XI IPA 1 Semester Genap Sma Negeri 3 Boyolali Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia
- Susanti, Ria Yuli Dkk. 2013. Pengaruh Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Learning Cycle 5Fase (Lc 5-E) Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas XI IPA pada Materi Termokimia di Sma Negeri 2 Malang. Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia
- Susilo. 2009. Penelitian Pendidikan. Poliyama Widya Pustaka. Jakarta
- Suyono dan Hariyanto. 2012. Belajar dan Pembelajaran. PT. Remaja Rosdikarya. Bandung
- Syahdani, Ferdi. 2014. Perbandingan Hasil Belajar Siswa Menggunakan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe NHT dikombinasikan dengan Model Pembelajaran PBL dengan Model Pembelajaran Konvensional di MAN 1 Model Kota Bengkulu. Skripsi. Bengkulu
- Trianto. 2009. Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif Progresif. Kencana Prenada Media Group. Jakarta.
- Trianto. 2011. Model-Model Pembelajaran Inovatif Berorientasi Konstruktivitis. Prestasi Pustaka. Jakarta.
- Wahyuningsih, Tri. 2008. Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Fisika Melalui Strategi Pembelajaran Inkuiri. Skripsi. Samarinda
- Widyaningsih, Dedeh. 2010. Perencanaan Pembelajaran Matematika. Rizqi Press. Bandung