

Reviewer A
 ID of article : 37049
 Recommendation : Revisions required

CORRECTION REPORT			
Q.	Comments by reviewer	A.	Corrections made by the author
1.	<p>Does the English text of the manuscript require revision to grammar, syntax or English expression?</p> <p>The English text of the manuscript requires revision to grammar.</p>	1.	<p>The grammar has been completely revised. The authors use the services of quality proofreading. Not only words, but almost all sentences in the paper are corrected by proofreading services.</p>
2.	<p>Can you suggest improvements in the illustrations and/or tables? Are they all necessary? Are additional illustrations and/or tables desirable or required?</p> <p>Improve the quality of the map. The information in the map is not clear. The legend should clearly indicate meaning of the graduated color on map, and what is the unit. Why the locations are drawn with arrows? Why not using dots.</p>	2.	<p>Figure 2 is replaced with a new one to avoid double interpretation. This new image displays the relevant information. In addition, the location of the arrow was revised with a red dot indicating the lockdown zone in Indonesia.</p>
3.	<p>Please indicate any errors or necessary improvements to the discussions of fact, interpretation or calculations.</p> <p>The author does not have to conduct three correlation analysis at the same time and compare them. Use Pearson correlation when the data type is ratio data. Use Spearman's correlation if the data type is not ratio.</p>	3.	<p>Three correlations (Spearman, Kendall, and Person) are simultaneously used because each parameter in the variable is different (e.g. nominal and ratio). Another reason for mixing analyzes is the advantage of correlational research that can be used to investigate the relationship of two or more variables simultaneously. Correlation provides information regarding the level of strength of the relationship between variables, addressing problems related to several fields at once, such as social and economic. It should be noted that panel data is the database for this study. Thus, correlation is very useful in predicting large sample sizes, both partial effects and coefficients that examine two-way relationships.</p>
4.	<p>Are the experimental methods adequately described?</p> <p>Not clearly articulated in the text.</p>	4.	<p>Corrections to the experimental model were made in the methodology. Before processing the data, it is important for the statistical dimension to first formulate the regression equation function and the correlation formula</p>

			based on probability standards.
5.	Has the author cited the most pertinent literature? Yes.	5.	There is 1 citation that needs to be accompanied by the author's name.
6.	Is the abstract specific and representative of the paper? The abstract needs improvement, so that it can represent the content of this paper in efficient manner. The first two sentences of the abstract can be omitted. Be concise in the abstract. At the end of the abstract, the author need to state the result of this study and what are the implications.	6.	The first two sentences of the abstract are omitted and the statement of study implications is clarified. Therefore, the authors replace the sentences that are not clear are deleted and added with a new abstract.
7.	Is the title of the paper appropriate and likely to serve its purpose? The title of the paper need some revision to represent the content of this paper.	7.	The title was revised by adding relevant words to represent the contents of the paper.
8.	Is the article prepared according to the journal's Submission Guidelines? Are the references cited according to the APA citation style? Yes. However, some corrections in the citation style in the reference list are still needed.	8.	Correction in citation style (APA) has been adjusted in the reference list
9.	Do you think that the manuscript is acceptable for publication in Geographica Pannonica? With copy-editing and attention to English expression. With major revisions and re-reviewing by yourself.	9.	Large-scale change is attempted by the authors constructively.
10.	More additions	10.	The addition of 1 year of data (from the 2020-2022 period, now to 2020-2023), where it is urgent to review the dynamics or developments of the 2 periods (2020-2021) during the Covid-19 spike with predictive data towards the new normal (2022-2023). Improvements to the literature review, where the chapter is not applied according to the writing guidelines. We removed the

			hypothesis development and included it in the introductory session.
--	--	--	---