BIODIVERSITAS Volume 19, Number 2, March 2018 Pages: 516-521

Mangrove plants species from Delta Mahakam, Indonesia with antimicrobial potency

GINA SAPTIANI^{1,*}, ANDI NOOR ASIKIN¹, FIKRI ARDHANI², ESTI HANDAYANI HARDI¹

¹Laboratory of Aquatic Microbiology, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Universitas Mulawarman. Jl. Gunung Tabur Kampus Gunung Kelua, Samarinda 75124, Indonesia. Tel./fax. +62-541-749482, ^vemail: gina saptiani@yahoo.com, ginaoesman@gmail.com

²Department of Animal Science, Agriculture Faculty, Universitas Mulawarman. Jl. Paser Balengkong, Kampus Gunung Kelua, Samarinda 75124,

Indonesia

Manuscript received: 5 September 2017. Revision accepted: 6 March 2018.

Abstract. Saptiani G, Asikin AN, Ardhani F, Hardi EH. 2018. Mangrove plants species from Delta Mahakam, Indonesia with antimicrobial potency. Biodiversitas 19: 516-521. This research was aimed to study biodiversity of mangrove that have potential as an antimicrobial material. The leaves of 4 types of mangroves, namely Avicennia marina, Sonneratia alba, Rhizophora stylosa, and Acanthus ilicifolius were chopped, dried and extracted with 3 types of solvents 80% ethanol, water and seawater. The Antimicrobial used Agar Disc Diffusion (ADD) method, and Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). Microbes that used for the test were Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Vibrio harveyi, Aeromonas hydrophila and Saprolegnia sp. The ADD test showed ethanol extract of A. marina and A. ilicifolius can inhibit S. aureus with inhibition zone 13.33±0.58 mm. All the extract can inhibit A. hydrophila 13.00±1.00 mm and E. coli 12.67±0.58 mm. Ethanol extract of S. alba can inhibit V. harveyi 12.67±0.58 mm and A. ilicifolius 12.33±0.58 mm against Saprolegnia sp. The best of MIC is ethanol extract of A. ilicifolius, following A. marina, S. alba and R. stylosa.

Keywords: Antimicrobial, Delta Mahakam, extract, mangrove

INTRODUCTION

Various types of mangrove plants are commonly found in the Mahakam Delta of East Kalimantan, Indonesia. There are mangroves that grow as trees and shrubs. According to Suyatna et al. (2010) the area of the Mahakam Delta is interpreted about 107,221.9 ha, which has mangrove forest area of about 49,309.4 ha. The types of mangroves present in the Mahakam Delta include Sonneratia alba, Sonneratia caseolaris, Avicennia sp., Rhizophora sp., Bruguiera sp., Xylocarpus granatum, Nypa fruticans (Sidik 2010). Mahakam Delta is mainly covered by nipa palms (Nypa fruticans) and various types of mangroves (Rhizophora spp.), other species of vegetation are Sonneratia alba and Oncosperma sp. (Persoon and Simarmata 2014). The mangrove ecosystem in the Mahakam Delta has physical and biological functions. The physical function of the mangrove forest is an abrasion barrier, and biological function is the reduction of pollution and sources of natural food for fish and other biotas (Setiawan et al. 2015). Mangrove has ability to prevent erosion, coastal abrasion, filters and sources of life for animals; mangroves are also used for various purposes, such as home utensils, firewood, food, and medicines.

For thousands of years, natural products have been used in traditional medicine worldwide, even long before we know antibiotics and other modern medicine (Khan et al. 2009). The coastal communities use fruit of mangroves as food, and food coloring. The leaves are used as medicine for wounds, skin diseases, fever, diarrhea, gastrointestinal disorders and tonic. A number of investigations indicated that the plant possesses significant antioxidant, antinociceptive, antihyperglycemic, antimicrobial, and anticancer activities (Mahmud et al. 2014). Rhizophora, Sonneratia, Nypa, Avicennia, and Acanthus are known to contain that can be used as antibacterial and immunostimulant materials, for fish and shrimp (Chakraborty et al. 2007; Saptiani et al. 2012a; Ramesh et al. 2014). Mangrove has antibacterial properties against Vibrio, such as Avicennia, Bruguiera, and Acanthus (Manilal et al. 2009; Saptiani et al. 2012a). Groups of alkaloids and carbohydrates such as glucoside, in mangrove extracts, have a good response to pharmacological activity, as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory materials (Okoli et al. 2008). The mangrove plant tissues contained highly polar bioactive compounds (alkaloids, saponins, tannins flavonoids and reducing sugar). The presence of these metabolites is an indication of their potentials as medicinal plants (Edu et al. 2015).

In East Kalimantan, the surrounding shrimp ponds still retain mangrove plants which are rarely affected by disease and rarely experience excessive mortality. This indicates that mangrove leaves that fell into the pond have the potential as antimicrobials. The hatcheries still use antibiotics and chemicals for prevention and protection of infectious pathogens. However, these used uncontrolled and had become resistant and potential toxic effect on fish. (Saptiani et al. 2016b). Antimicrobial activity of plant and plant material products can be tested in vitro before being tested to organisms (Saptiani et al. 2015). The aim is to study types of mangroves, such as *Avicennia marina*, *Sonneratia alba*, *Rhizophora stylosa*, and *Acanthus ilicifolius*, which have potential as antimicrobial agent against bacteria and fungi.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leaf mangrove extract

Mangrove species Avicennia marina, Sonneratia alba, Rhizophora stylosa, and Acanthus ilicifolius from the Mahakam Delta Region of Muara Badak Subdistrict, Kutai Kartanegara District, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Each of the mangrove leaves is cleaned, washed and drained, after dried chopped and dried in a room without direct expose to sunlight, for about 21-28 days until dry. The leaves are macerated with three different solvents, 80% ethanol, water and seawater 20 ‰ for 24 hours, with leaf and solvent ratio of 1:8. The result of maceration is extracted by evaporation method with rotary evaporator. When extracts begin to concentrate, salt extraction is done in the plant with liquid method, until the salt is exhausted. The extraction results are evaporated over the water bath until the solvent runs out, while in the water extract and seawater the process is stopped when the liquid remains 10% out of the original (Saptiani et al. 2013; Saptiani et al. 2015).

The microbes

The microbes used for the test are bacteria namely; Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Vibrio harveyi, Aeromonas hydrophila and also fungi such as; Saprolegnia sp, derived from the Laboratory of Aquatic Microbiology Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences Mulawarman University, Samarinda, Indonesia. Prior to use for the test, each microbe was cultured on the fertilizer medium. V. harveyi were cultured on Tryptone Soya Agar (TSA) medium plus 3% NaCl, S. aureus and A. hydrophila were cultured on Tryptone Soya Agar (TSA), all of which were incubated for 24 hours at 33°C, E. coli was cultured on Brilliant Green Bile Lactose Broth (BGBL) media. Fungi, Saprolegnia sp., cultured on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium incubated at 36°C for 48 hours (Saptiani et al. 2016c).

Antimicrobial tests

Antimicrobial tests were performed by inhibitory method for disc diffusion (ADD) and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) tests. ADD test of mangrove leaf extract to V. harvevi, was done by bacteria cultured on TSB medium plus NaCl 3%, and then incubated for 24 hours with temperature 30 °C. After incubation, the bacteria were diluted to 10³ CfumL⁻¹ and cultured on TSA media plus 3% NaCl in Petri dishes. ADD test of mangrove leaf extract on S. aureus, E. coli and A. hydrophila was done in the same way that each bacterium was cultured on TSB medium and incubated for 24 hours with temperature 33°C. After incubation, the bacteria were diluted to $10^5 \mbox{ CfumL}^{-1}$ and cultured on TSA media. ADD test of mangrove leaf extract to Saprolegnia sp. done by fungi cultured on Potato Dextrose Broth medium (PDB), then incubated at temperature 36 °C, for 24 hours. After incubation, then diluted to 10^5 CfumL⁻¹ and cultured on PDA media in a petridish. Furthermore, each microbe that has been cultured on TSA and PDA media were treated with extract. Treatment of concentration of each extract was 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1,000 ppm and negative control using 0.85%

Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) solution and positive control using 5 mgxmL⁻¹ oxytetracycline solution. Each treatment performed three replications. The treatment was administered by dripping the extract solution on Whatman filter paper disc which was 6 mm in diameter, then planted and arranged in such a way as to bacterial culture and fungus culture. After incubation, observations were performed at 24, 36, 48, and 60 hours after incubation. Observations and measurements were made on the diameter of the clear zone formed around the filter paper (Khajure and Rathod 2010; Saptiani et al. 2013; Saptiani et al. 2016c).

The MIC test was performed on a sterile microplate having 96 holes. All holes are filled with 0.5 mL TSB plus 3% NaCl. In the first row hole, each treated ethanol extract, water and seawater from each mangrove leaf, and negative control of PBS and positive control of antibiotics as much as 0.5 mL (2.5mgxmL^{-1}), with three replications. Next was dilution, until the 8th hole. Then all the holes were filled with V. harvevi 0.1 mL with concentration 10⁵ Cfux mL⁻¹ and microplate incubated for 24 hours with temperature 33 °C. Similarly, in MIC tests of Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Aeromonas hydrophila were performed in the same manner on TSB media without NaCl added. While Saprolegnia sp., was diluted using PDA media, and incubated at 36°C. Observation of MIC test results is based on occurrence of turbidity in each hole after 24-48 hours incubation. The cloudy medium indicates the growth of bacteria or fungi, while the clear sign shows the extract is able to inhibit bacteria or fungi. To corroborate the MIC test results, in dilute holes which results are clear but dubious, isolation and culture are performed on TSA or PDA media by scattering method. MIC test results were analyzed by observing bacterial growth in each treatment (Bussmann et al. 2011).

Analysis

This study used a complete randomized design with three replications. The observation data of inhibitory zone diameter in ADD test was analyzed using ANOVA test, if there were significant differences followed by Duncan test. The MIC test observations were analyzed descriptively based on the highest dilution results or the lowest extract concentrations that were still able to inhibit bacteria.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mangrove

Various types of mangrove plants are found in the area of the Mahakam Delta, especially in the Subdistrict of Muara Badak, Kutai Kartanegara District, East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. Mangrove is widely used by the community as food, traditional medicine i.e. the class of *Avicennia* sp., *Sonneratia* sp., *Rhizophora* sp., *Bruguiera* sp., *Acanthus ilicifolius*, and many other types of mangroves. Vegetation growing in the Mahakam Delta is a mangrove forest distributed in different zones of the pedada zone (*Sonneratia alba* and *Avicennia* sp.), Rhizophora zone, transition zone, nipah zone (*Nypa fruticans*) and nibung zones (Sidik 2010). In Delta Mahakam, there are eight dominant mangrove families, namely Rhizophoraceae, Avicenniaceae, Sonneratiaceae, Combretaceae, Meliaceae, Myrsinaceae, Euphorbiaceae, and Palmae (Zairin et al. 2014).

Avicennia marina is a large and branched plant, with long and dense roots called pneumatophores or air roots. Leaves are single leathery, opposite, ovate, dark glossy green on the upper surface, and dull gravish on the lower surface; underside of the leaf has special glands for secreting excess salt. According to Noor et al. (2012), A. marina tree that grows spread with a height of 25 m, has a root breath and form a horizontal root system in the shape of a finger. Smooth leaf surface, shiny green, pale bottom, shaped: lanceolate, sometimes ellipse with pointed tip. S. alba plants with roots of trees underground and appear on the surface as pneumatophore, its oval-shaped leaves are rounded like an upside-down, e.g., S. alba grows spread with altitude up to 15 m. The roots are wired underground, and surfaces appear as a dull cone-shaped root, and the height is 25 cm. The leaves are skinned, round-shaped like an upside-down egg with a rounded end (Noor et al. 2012).

Rhizophora stylosa, has stilt roots, and pneumatophore roots, the leaves are elliptical with pointed ends. According to Noor et al. (2012), *R. stylosa* plants with one or more stems, up to 10 m tall, have long roots of up to 3 m, and pneumatophore roots growing from the lower branch. The leaves are skinned, regularly spotted in the lower layer, ellipse-shaped widen with tapered ends (Noor et al. 2012). *A. ilicifolius* is mangrove with shrub habitus that has leaves with large serrated edges and sharp spikes. This mangrove grows like a shrub that includes mangrove associates. Smooth leaf surface, large zigzag/jagged leaf edge with wide-pointed and sharp-pointed edges (Noor et al. 2012).

The extracts produced from the four mangrove leaves above have different aromas. In general, the aroma is fragrant and is not bitter in taste. In extracts that use water the aroma and the flavor (if used as a drink), almost the same as tea. People around the Mahakam Delta often use mangroves as herbal medicine.

Agar disc diffusion method

The clear zone formed in the ADD method showed that the filter paper that had been deposited in mangrove extracts microbial growth did not occur, which means that mangrove extract is able to inhibit microbes. The inhibitory zone begins to appear vaguely after 12 hours incubation, increasingly apparent after 24 hours incubation and subsequently enlarging to 48-50 hours of incubation. Furthermore, the inhibitory zone is degraded after 60 hours incubation, which indicates that the extract given is exhausted. The inhibitory zone is formed at 14 hours after incubation, and then extends for up to 48 hours. In general, the inhibit zone does not show any increase after 48 hours (Saptiani et al. 2016c).

The results of antimicrobial activity test using the ADD method showed, in general, the mangrove extract can inhibit bacteria and fungi. The best inhibitory zone to V. *harveyi* is ethanol extract of *S. alba* 1,000 ppm and *A. ilicifolius* 1,000 ppm with a diameter of 12.67 mm, which

is not significantly different from the extraction of ethanol extract of *A. marina*, *R. stylosa*, 1,000 ppm, *S. alba* 800 ppm and seawater extract of *S. alba* 1,000 ppm with diameter 12.33 mm. Ethanol extract of *S. alba* 1,000 ppm and *A. ilicifolius* 1,000 ppm not significantly different from seawater extract of *A. marina* 1,000 ppm, *S. alba* 800 ppm, ethanol extract of *A. marina* and *A. ilicifolius* 800 ppm with diameter 12.00 mm inhibition zone; followed by seawater extract of *R. stylosa* 1,000 ppm and ethanol extract of *A. ilicifolius* 600 ppm with an inhibitory zone of 11.67 mm, as shown in Table 1.

Inhibitory zone of mangrove extract to E. coli is 12.67 mm in ethanol extract of A. marina, S. alba, R. stylosa and A. ilicifolius 1,000 ppm, which is not significantly different with ethanol extract of A. marina 800 ppm and seawater extract of S. alba with inhibition zone 12.33 mm, also not significantly different with ethanol extract of S. alba, A. ilicifolius 800 ppm, seawater extract of A. marina 1,000 ppm and S. alba 800 ppm with diameter 12.00 mm. The best inhibitory zone of S. aureus was 13.33 mm, ie extract of ethanol extract of A. marina and A. ilicifolius 1,000 ppm which was not significantly different with ethanol extract of S. alba 1,000 ppm with 13.00 mm inhibition zone, followed by R. stylosa 1,000 ppm with zone 12.67 mm and subsequent inhibition zones 12.33 on A. marina, S. alba, A. *ilicifolius* ethanol extracts 800 ppm, seawater extract of R. stylosa and A. ilicifolius 1,000 ppm. The complete observation results are shown in Table 1.

The best inhibitory zone to *A. hydrophila* is ethanol extract of *A. marina*, *S. alba*, *R. stylosa* and *A. ilicifolius* 1,000 ppm with 13.00 mm inhibition zone, which is not significantly different from *A. marina* ethanol extract of 800 ppm, seawater extract of *S. alba*, *R. stylosa* and *A. ilicifolius* 1,000 ppm with a zone of 12.67 mm. Not significantly different from seawater extract of *A. marina* 1,000 ppm, *S. alba* 800 ppm, ethanol extract of *S. alba* and *A. ilicifolius* 800 ppm with inhibiting zone 12.33 mm. The best inhibitory zone against *Saprolegnia* sp. is 12.33 mm in ethanol extract of *A. ilicifolius* 1,000 ppm with *S. alba* 1,000 ppm with 12.00 mm inhibit zone. The complete results of the inhibitory zone measurements in all treatments are shown in Table 1.

The results of this study showed mangrove extract using ethanol solvent, water and seawater can inhibit the growth of various microbes. Research on the inhibitory ability of some plant and mangrove extracts against microbes has been widely reported. Piper betle ethanol extract can inhibit the growth of Saprolegnia sp., and E. coli with inhibit zone 12.67 mm, A. hydrophila and Pseudomonas sp. 12.33 mm, while the water extract can produce inhibition zone to Saprolegnia sp., and E. coli about 12.33 mm, A. hydrophila 12.00 mm, and Pseudomonas sp. 11.67 mm, as well as Carica papaya and Alpina galanga extracts can be used as antimicrobial ingredients (Saptiani et al. 2016c). Several scientific studies around the world have found evidence that mangrove leaf extract has great potential against pathogenic microbes (Rastegar and Gozari 2017). According to Manilal et al. (2009), A. ilicifolius is in vitro vibriocidal, capable of producing inhibition of three Vibrio

species, *V. alcaligenes* (8.00 mm), *V. vulnificus* (9 mm) and *V. alginolyticus* (10 mm). The extract and fraction of ethyl acetate of *A. ilicifolius* leaves have an inhibitory of about 10.67-12.00 mm to *V. harveyi* (Saptiani et al. 2013). *A.officinalis* fruit extract has an antibacterial activity of about 12.66-18.66 mm against both Gram-positive and negative (Sharief et al. 2014). Extracts of methanol bark of *S. alba* and *A. marina* fruit showed a 15.00 mm inhibition zone to *Salmonella typhi*, its acetic acid extract showed a 14.00 mm inhibit zone against *Listeria monocytogenes* (Mustopa et al. 2015).

Minimal Inhibitory Concentration Method

The effectiveness of antimicrobial material can be tested by using MIC method, which is to determine the minimal concentration which can inhibit microbe. MIC to *V. harveyi* is 1.95-18.23 μ gmL⁻¹, and the best is ethanol extract of *A. ilicifolius* leaf, followed by *A. marina* 3.26 μ gmL⁻¹ and *S. alba* 3.91 μ gmL⁻¹. The MIC to *E. coli* is about 1.95-13.02 μ gmL⁻¹, and the best is ethanol extract of *A. ilicifolius* leaf, followed by *A. marina* 2.6 μ gmL⁻¹ and *S. alba* 3.91 μ gmL⁻¹.

The MIC to *S. aureus* is about 2.6-18.23 μ gmL⁻¹, and the best is ethanol extract of *A. ilicifolius* leaf, followed by *A. marina* 3.26 μ gmL⁻¹, *S. alba* and *R. stylosa* μ gmL⁻¹. The MIC to *A. hydrophila* is about 3.26-18.23 μ gmL⁻¹, and the best is ethanol extract of *A. marina* and *A. ilicifolius*, followed by *S. alba* 3.91 μ gmL⁻¹, and *R. stylosa* and seawater extract of *A. marina* 5.1 μ gmL⁻¹.

MIC against *Saprolegnia* sp. is about 6.51-26.04 μ gmL⁻¹, and the best is the ethanol extract of *R. stylosa* and *A. ilicifolius*, followed by *A. marina* and *S. alba* 7.81 μ gmL⁻¹. The results are detailed in Figure 2. MIC of mangrove plants against pathogenic bacteria ranged from 20 mgmL⁻¹ to 640 mgmL⁻¹ (Selvam and Kolanjinathan 2014), while Behbahani et al. (2014) stated that MIC ethanol extract from *A. marina* leaves for *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium italicum* were 16 and 8 μ gmL⁻¹, respectively.

Mangrove as antimicrobial agent

The results of this study indicate that mangrove plants are effective to be used as antimicrobial materials. The extract which was using ethanol was observed to be the best antimicrobial. This shows that ethanol can dissolve the bioactivity of mangrove leaves better than other solvents, so the active ingredients present in the extract are effective for inhibiting microbes. Extracts that use seawater solvents are also good to be used compared to residential zones and MIC solvents. The extract using water solvent is still feasible to use although it requires higher concentration. The extract using ethanol solvent was better than water, however, it still feasible to be used as an antibacterial. The advantages of water solvents are cheap and easy to use. The use of ethanol solvent for some plant extracts showed better results when compared with water (Saptiani et al. 2016a; Saptiani et al. 2016b; Saptiani et al. 2016c).

Mangroves can be used as a new bioactive source of potential natural products used to control microbial disorders (Sharief and Rao 2014). Mangrove is rich in bioactive (Dwilestari compounds et al. 2015). Phytochemical analysis of mangroves has revealed important chemicals such as saponins, alkaloids, glycosides, tannins, steroids, flavonoids, gums, phytosterols, and reducing sugars (Mahmud et al. 2014). The results of this study showed mangrove activity in inhibiting bacteria better than the fungus, although the results of the inhibition zone are still quite good. According to Rastegar and Gozari (2017), mangrove plants can be utilized as a source of natural antifungal drugs. Mangroves have antifungal and antibacterial activity, as well as gastric antioxidants, and show wound healing properties (Cruz et al. 2015). Plant-based antimicrobial compounds have remarkable therapeutic potential, as natural materials can work on target, with no side effects often associated with synthetic antimicrobials (Behbahani et al. 2016).




Figure 2. MIC of mangrove extract to microbes. Note: AE = A. marina ethanol extract; AW = A. marina water extract; AS = A. marina seawater extract; SE = S. alba ethanol extract; SW = S. Alba water extract; SS = S. Alba seawater extract; RE = R. mucronata ethanol extract; RW = R. mucronata water extract; RS = R. mucronata seawater extract; AcE = A. ilicifolius ethanol extract; AcW = A. ilicifolius water extract; AcS = A. ilicifolius seawater extract; C+= positive control

| Mangrove | Solvents | Concentration | Diameter of inhibitory zone (mm) | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| mangiove | | Concentration | V. harveyi | E. coli | S. aureus | A. hydrophila | Saprolegnia sp. |
| A. marina | Ethanol | 200 ppm | 8.67 ^{jkim} | 9.33 ^{jki} | 9.67 ^{im} | 9.33 ^{kim} | 8.33 ^{kimn} |
| | Dununor | 400 ppm | 9.00 ^{1klm} | 9.67 ^{1jk} | 10.33 ^{1jk} | 10.00 ^{ijk} | 9.33 ^{hŋk} |
| | | 600 ppm | 9.67 ^{hijk} | 9.67 ^{1jk} | 11.00 ^{gh1} | 10.33 ^{hij} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.33 ^{bc} | 12.33^{bcde} | 12.67^{bc} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | | 800 ppm | 12.00 12.33^{bc} | 12.55 12.67 ^b | 12.33 13.33 ^b | 12.07 13.00 ^b | 11.55 11.67 ^{bcd} |
| | N 7 4 | 1,000 ppm | | | | | |
| | Water | 200 ppm | 8.33 ⁰ | 8.33 ⁿ | 8.67 ^p | 8.33 ^p | 8.00° |
| | | 400 ppm | 8.67 ^{jklm} | 9.00 ^{klm} | 9.33 ^{Imn} | 9.00 ^{lmn} | 8.67 ^{klm} |
| | | 600 ppm | 9.33 ^{1jk1} | 9.67 ^{1jk} | 10.00^{1k1} | 9.67 ^{jkl} | 9.00 ^{ijki} |
| | | 800 ppm | 10.67 ^{etgh} | 11.00 ^{efg} | 11.33 ^{tgh} | 11.00^{fgh} | 10.67 ^{defg} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 11.33 ^{cdef} | 11.67 ^{cde} | 11.67 ^{etg} | 11.67 ^{def} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | Seawater | 200 ppm | 8.67^{jklm} | 9.00 ^{klm} | 9.33 ^{lmn} | 9.00 ^{lmn} | 8.67^{klm} |
| | | 400 ppm | 9.33 ^{ijkl} | 9.67 ^{ijk} | 9.67 ^{lm} | 9.67 ^{jkl} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | 600 ppm | 10.00 ^{ghij} | 10.33 ^{ghi} | 10.67 ^{hij} | 10.33 ^{hij} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | | 11.33^{cdet} | 10.33° 11.67 ^{cde} | 11.67^{etg} | 12.00 ^{cde} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | | 800 ppm | 11.33 | 11.0/ | 11.0/ e | 12.00 | 11.33 |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.00 ^{det} | 12.33 ^{bcd} | 11.67 ^{bcd} |
| S. alba | Ethanol | 200 ppm | 9.00 ^{jklm} | 9.33 ^{jkl} | 9.67 ^{lm} | 9.00 ^{lmn} | 8.67 ^{klm} |
| 5. 4104 | Lunanor | 400 ppm | 10.00 ^{ghij} | 10.33 ^{ghi} | 10.33 ^{ijk} | 10.33 ^{hij} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | | 10.33 ^{tghi} | 11.00^{efg} | 11.33 ^{fgh} | 10.55 ¹ | 10.55 10.67^{defg} |
| | | 600 ppm | | 11.00 ° | | 10.0/5 | 10.0/ ⁶ |
| | | 800 ppm | 12.33 ^{bc} | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.33 ^{bcde} | 12.33 ^{bcd} | 11.67 ^{bcd} |
| | | 1.000 ppm | 12.67 ^b | 12.67 ^b | 13.00 ^{bc} | 13.00 ^b | 12.00 ^{bc} |
| | Water | 200 ppm | 8.33° | 8.67^{lmn} | 9.00^{mno} | 8.67 ^{mno} | 8.00° |
| | | 400 ppm | 8.67 ^{jklm} | 9.00 ^{klm} | 9.33 ^{lmn} | 9.00^{lmn} | 8.67^{klm} |
| | | 600 ppm | 9.00 ^{jklm} | 9.00 ^{klm} | 9.67 ^{lm} | 9.67 ^{jkl} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | | 9.67 ^{hijk} | 10.00 ^{hij} | 10.33 ^{ijk} | 10.00 ^{ijk} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | 800 ppm | 9.0/ ³ | | 10.55 ⁹ | | 9.0/8 s |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 10.67 ^{efgh} | 11.00 ^{etg} | 11.67 ^{hij} | 11.67 ^{def} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | Seawater | 200 ppm | 8.67 ^{jkim} | 9.33 ^{jkl} | 9.33 ^{imn} | 9.00 ^{imn} | 8.67 ^{klm} |
| | | 400 ppm | 9.33 ^{1jk1} | 9.67 ^{1jk} | 10.00^{jkl} | 9.33 ^{klm} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | 600 ppm | 10.33 ^{tghi} | 11.33 ^{det} | 11.33 ^{tgh} | 10.67^{gm} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | 800 ppm | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.00 ^{def} | 12.33 ^{bcd} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | | | 12.33^{bc} | 12.33 ^{bc} | 12.33^{bcde} | 12.67 ^{bc} | 11.67 ^{bcd} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 12.33 | 12.55 | 12.33 | 12.07 | 11.07 |
| R. stylosa | Ethanol | 200 ppm | 8.67 ^{jkim} | 9.33 ^{jki} | 9.33 ^{imn} | 9.33 ^{klm} | 8.67 ^{kim} |
| | | 400 ppm | 9.00 ^{jklm} | 10.33 ^{ghi} | 9.67 ^{im} | 9.67 ^{jkl} | 9.33 ^{hijk} |
| | | 600 ppm | 10.00 ^{ghij} | 11.00 ^{etg} | 10.67 ^{hij} | 10.00 ^{ijk} | 10.00^{fghi} |
| | | 800 ppm | 11.33 ^{cdef} | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.00 ^{def} | 12.00 ^{cde} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | | | 12.33^{bc} | 12.60 ^b | 12.67 ^{bcd} | 12.67 ^{bc} | 11.67^{bcd} |
| | 11 7 | 1,000 ppm | | 12.0/ | | | 11.0/ |
| | Water | 200 ppm | 8.33° | 8.67 ^{lmn} | 8.67 ^p | 8.67 ^{mno} | 8.33 ^{klmn} |
| | | 400 ppm | 9.00 ^{jklm} | 9.00 ^{klm} | 9.33 ^{lmn} | 9.67 ^{jkl} | 9.00 ^{ijkl} |
| | | 600 ppm | 9.00 ^{1kim} | 9.00 ^{klm} | 9.67 ^{im} | 10.33 ^{hij} | 9.33 ^{hijk} |
| | | 800 ppm | 10.00 ^{ghij} | 10.00 ^{hij} | 10.67 ^{hij} | 10.67 ^{ghi} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 11.00 ^{detg} | 11.00 ^{etg} | 11.67 ^{etg} | 11.33 ^{etg} | 10.67 ^{detg} |
| | Seawater | | 8.67 ^{jklm} | 9.33^{jkl} | 9.33 ^{lmn} | 9.67^{jkl} | 9.00 ^{ijkl} |
| | Seawater | 200 ppm | 9.00 ^{jklm} | 9.67 ^{ijk} | 9.67 ^{lm} | 9.67 ^{jkl} | 9.33 ^{hijk} |
| | | 400 ppm | 9.00 | | 9.6/ ^m | | 9.33 ^{mjn} |
| | | 600 ppm | 9.33 ^{ijkl} | 11.33 ^{def} | 10.00 ^{jkl} | 10.33 ^{hij} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | 800 ppm | 10.33 ^{tghi} | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 10.67 ^{hij} | 11.33 ^{etg} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 11.67 ^{bcde} | 11.67 ^{cde} | 12.00 ^{det} | 12.67 ^{bc} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| 1 ilicifolius | Ethanol | 200 ppm | 10.33 ^{tghi} | 10.00 ^{hij} | 10.00 ^{jk1} | 10.00 ^{ijk} | 10.00^{defg} |
| A. ilicifolius | Ethanoi | | | | | | 10.00 ° |
| | | 400 ppm | 11.00 ^{defg} | 11.00 ^{etg} | 10.67 ^{hij} | 10.67 ^{ghi} | 10.67 ^{defg} |
| | | 600 ppm | 11.67 ^{bcde} | 11.33 ^{def} | 11.33 ^{tgh} | 11.33 ^{efg} | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | | 800 ppm | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.00 ^{bcd} | 12.33 ^{bcde} | 12.33 _{bcd} | 11.67 ^{bcd} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 12.67 ^b | 12.67 ^b | 13.33 ^b | 13.00 ^b | 12.33 ^b |
| | Water | 200 ppm | 9.00^{Jkim} | 8.67 ^{imn} | 8.67^{p} | 9.00 ^{lmn} | 8.67^{klm} |
| | | 400 ppm | 9.67 ^{hijk} | 9.33^{jkl} | 9.33 ^{Imn} | 9.33 ^{klm} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | 400 ppm | 10.00 ^{ghij} | 9.53 [°] 9.67 ^{ijk} | 9.55 9.67 ^{lm} | 9.55 9.67 ^{jkl} | 10.00 ^{fghi} |
| | | 600 ppm | 10.00° 5 | 9.0/° | 7.0/ | | 10.00 ^{°°} |
| | | 800 ppm | 10.33 ^{tghi} | 10.33 ^{ghi} | 10.33 ^{ijk} | 10.33 ^{hij} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 11.00 ^{defg} | 10.67^{tgh} | 11.00 ^{ghi} | 11.00 ^{tgh} | 10.67 ^{defg} |
| | Seawater | 200 ppm | 9.67 ^{hijk} | 9.67 ^{1jk} | 9.67 ^{im} | 9.67 ^{jki} | 9.33 ^{hijk} |
| | | 400 ppm | 10.00 ^{ghij} | 9.67 ^{1jk} | 10.00 ^{jkl} | 10.00 ^{ijk} | 9.67 ^{ghij} |
| | | 600 ppm | 10.67^{etgh} | 10.67^{tgh} | 10.67 ^{hij} | 11.33^{etg} | 10.33 ^{etgh} |
| | | 600 ppm | 10.07 | 10.0/ | 10.07 ° | 11.33 ° | 10.33 ° 11.00 ^{cdef} |
| | | 800 ppm | $\frac{11.00^{\text{defg}}}{11.33^{\text{cdef}}}$ | 11.00 ^{efg} 11.33 ^{def} | 11.67 ^{etg} | 12.00 ^{cde} | 11.00 ^{ede} |
| | | | 1 | | 12.33bcde | 13.00 ^b | 11.33 ^{bcde} |
| | | 1,000 ppm | 11.55 | 11.55 | 12.556646 | 10100 | 11.55 |
| C+ | | 1,000 ppm | | | | | |
| C+ C- | | 1,000 ppm | 22.67 ^a 6.33 ^p | 21.00 ^a 6.00 ^o | 20.67 ^a 6.33 ^q | 20.00 ^a 6.00 ^q | 18.00 ^a 6.00 ^p |

Table 1. Diameter of inhibitory zone (mm) of mangrove extract to microbes

Note: The same letter on the numbers indicates not significantly different (>0.05)

In general, the results of this study showed extracts of *A. marina* and *A. ilicifolius* ethanol produced the largest inhibitory zone to *S. aureus*, followed by all mangrove ethanol extracts to *A. hydrophila*, and *E. coli. S. alba* ethanol extract produced the largest inhibit zone to *V. harveyi*, and *A. ilicifolius* produced the largest inhibitory zone to *Saprolegnia* sp. The best MIC results for all microbes were ethanol extract of *A. ilicifolius*, followed by *A. marina*, *S. alba*, and *R. stylosa*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is part of the "Penelitian Terapan Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi" funded by Directorate of Research and Community Service, Directorate General of Research and Development, Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, SP DIPA Number 042.06.1.401516/2017 (Direktorat Riset dan Pengabdian Masyarakat, Direktorat Jenderal Penguatan Riset dan Pengembangan, Kementerian Riset, Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi, Nomor SP DIPA 042.06.1.401516/ 2017). We thank the Directorate of Research and Community Service, Directorate General for Research and Development, Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Rector and Head of Research Institute Mulawarman University, Samarinda, Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Behbahani BA, Yazdi FT, Riazi F, Shahidi F, Noorbakhsh H. 2014. Antifungal potential of mangrove extracts against *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium italicum*. J Paramedical Sci 5 (4): 32-38.
- Behbahani BA, Yazdi FT, Shahidi F, Riazi F. 2016. Antifungal effect of the aqueous and ethanolic Avicennia marina extraction Alternaria citri and Penicilliumdi gitatum. Zahedan J Res Med Sci 18 (2): e5992. DOI: 10.17795/zjrms-5992
- Bussmann RW, Malca-García G, Glenn A, Sharon D, Chait G, Díaz D, Pourmand K, Jonat B, Somogy S, Guardado G, Aguirre C, Chan R, Meyer K, Kuhlman A, Townesmith A, Effio-Carbajal J, Frías-Fernandez F, and Benito M. 2011. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of medicinal plants used in Northern Peru as antibacterial remedies. J Ethnopharmacol 132 (1): 101-108.
- Chakraborty TD, Bhuniya M. Chatterjee M, Rahaman D, Singha BN, Chatterjee S, Datta A, Rana K, Samanta S, Srivastawa SK, Maitra M. 2007. Acanthus ilicifolius plant extract prevents DNA alterations in a transplantable Ehrlich ascites carcinoma-bearing murine model. World J Gastroentero 13 (48): 6538-6548.
- Cruz SM, Marroquín N, Alvarez LE, Dora E, Chang DE, Cáceres A. 2015. Evaluation of Mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle* L.) products as coloring, antimicrobial and antioxidant agents. Intl J Phytocosmetics Nat Ingred 2 (12): 2-7.
- Edu EAB, Edwin-Wosu NL, Udensi OU. 2015. Evaluation of bioactive compounds in mangroves: A Panacea towards exploiting and optimizing mangrover resources. J Nat Sci Res 5 (23): 1-9.
- Dwilestari H, Awaloei J, Posangi, Bara R. 2015. Uji efek antibakteri jamur endifit pada daun mangrove Sonneratia alba terhadap bakteri uji Staphylococcus aureus dan Escherichia coli. J e-Biomedik (eBm) 3 (1): 394-398. [Indonesian]
- Khajure PV and Rathod JL. 2010. Antimicrobial activity of extracts of Acanthus ilicifolius extracted from the mangroves of Karwar Coast Karnataka. Recent Res Sci Technol 2 (6): 98-99.
- Khan R, Islam B, Akram M, Shakil S, Ahmad A, Ali SM, Siddiqui M, Khan AU. 2009. Antimicrobial activity of five herbal extracts against multi drug resistant (MDR) strains of bacteria and fungus of clinical origin. Molecules 14 (2): 586-597.

- Mahmud I, Islam MdK, Saha S, Barman AK, Rahman MdM, Anisuzzman Md, Rahman T, Al-Nahain A, Jahan R and Rahmatullah M. 2014. Pharmacological and ethnomedicinal overview of Heritiera fomes: Future Prospects. Intl Schol Res Not, Article ID 938543, 12 pages. DOI: 10.1155/2014/938543.
- Manilal A, Sujith IS, Kiran GS, Selvin J, Shakir C. 2009. Biopotentials of mangroves collected from the Southwest Coast of India. Global J Biotech Biochem 4 (1): 59-65.
- Mustopa AZ, Umami RN, Melki. 2015. Aktivitas antibakteri ekstrak mangrove terhadap *Salmonella typhii* and *Listeria monocytogenesuji*. J Ilmu dan Teknologi Kelautan Tropis 7 (2): 603-612. [Indonesian]
- Noor R, Khazali YM, Suryadiputral NN. 2012. Panduan pengenalan mangrove di Indonesia. PHKA dan WI-IP, Bogor.
- Okoli CO, Akah PA, Onuoha NJ, Okoye TC, Anwoye C, Nworu CS. 2008. Acanthus montanus: An experimental evaluation of the antimicrobial, anti-Inflammatory and immunological properties of a traditional remedy for furuncle. BMC Compl Altern Med. DOI: 10.1186/1472-6882: 8-27.
- Persoon GA, Simarmata R. 2014. Undoing 'marginality': The islands of the Mahakam Delta, East Kalimantan (Indonesia). J Mar Island Cult 3: 43-53.
- Ramesh K, Natarajan M, Sridhar H, Vanitha MU, Umamaheswari S. 2014. Anti-vibrio activity of mangrove and mangrove associates on Shrimp pathogen, *Vibrio harveyi* VSH5. Global Veterinaria 12 (2): 270-276.
- Rastegar S, Gozari M. 2017. Effect of mangrove plant extract on growth of four fungal pathogens. J Paramedical Sci 8 (1): 1-6.
- Saptiani G, Prayitno SB, Anggoro S. 2012a. The effectiveness of Acanthus ilicifolius in protecting tiger prawn (Penaeus monodon F.) from Vibrio harveyi infection. J Coast Dev 15 (2): 217-224.
- Saptiani G, Prayitno SB, Anggoro S. 2012b. Antibacterial activity of Jeruju (*Acanthus ilicifolius*) extracts on the in vitro growth of the *Vibrio harveyi*. J Veteriner 13 (3): 257-262.
- Saptiani G, Prayitno SB, Anggoro S. 2013. Antibacterial potential of Jeruju (*Acanthus ilicifolius*) leaf extracts on the in vitro growth of the *Vibrio harveyi*. J Kedokteran Hewan 7 (1): 17-20.
- Saptiani G, Hardi EH, Pebrianto CA. 2015. Anti-microbial of Alpinia galanga extracts against the pathogen of Clarias batrachus. In: Isnansetyo A (eds) Proceeding the 1st Inter. Symp. on Marine and Fisheries Research. Department of Fisheries-Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Gadjahmada, Yogyakarta, August 7th, 2015.
- Saptiani G, Hardi EH, Pebrianto CA, Agustina. 2016a. Ekstrak daun Pepaya dan Kangkung untuk meningkatkan daya tetas telur dan kelangsungan hidup larva Lele. J Veteriner 17 (2): 285-291. [Indonesian]
- Saptiani G, Hardi EH, Pebrianto CA, Ardhani F. 2016b. Alpinia galanga extracts for improving egg hatchability and larval viability of Catfish. In: AIP Conf. Proc. 1755, 140002-1-140002-5.
- Saptiani G, Hardi EH, Pebrianto CA, Agustina, Ardhani F. 2016c. Antimicrobial potential of *Carica papaya, Ipomoea aquatica, Alpinia galanga* and *Piper betle* against the aquatic microbials. Nusantara Biosci 8 (2): 252-257.
- Selvam KA, Kolanjinathan K. 2014. Antibacterial activity of Mangrove medicinal plants against Gram-positive bacterial pathogens. Intl J Adv Res Biol Sci 1 (8): 234-241.
- Setiawan Y, Bengen DG, Kusmana C, Pertiwi S. 2015. Estimating of externality value conversion mangrove forest into shrimp pond in Mahakam Delta District of Kutai Kartanegara. J Penelitian Hutan Tanaman 12 (3): 201-210.
- Sharief NMD, Rao UMV. 2014. Antibacterial and antioxidant activity of *Avicennia marina* leaf. J Chem Pharmaceut Res 10: 252-256.
- Sharief NMD, Srinivasulu A, Satya Veni P, Rao UMV. 2014. Quantification of phytochemicals and antibacterial activity of fruit extract Avicennia officinalis. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 7 (2): 127-130.
- Sidik AS 2010. The changes of mangrove ecosystem in Mahakam Delta, Indonesia: a complex social-environmental pattern of linkages in resources utilization. Borneo Res J 4: 27-46.
- Suyatna I, Brata AA, Sidik AS, Ruchaeni A. 2010. Analisis deskriptif faktor lingkungan terkait dengan zonasi hutan mangrove jalur hijau Delta Mahakam. J. Ilmu Perikanan, Perairan Umum, Estuari dan Kelautan 1 (1): 10-19. [Indonesian]
- Zairin Z, Hutabarat S, Prayitno SB, Ambaryanto. 2014. Potency of Mahakam Delta in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Intl J Sci Eng 6 (2): 126-130.