

ARPG Review form

Journal Name	Journal of Agriculture and Crops
--------------	----------------------------------

Does this article meet the scope of this journal?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

This form will be used for reviews of submitted research articles.

1. Introduction: Does the first paragraph serve as a good introduction?*

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. Suggest, if possible, ways to improve the introduction.*

3. Structure: Rate the author's line of argumentation.*

Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Very Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>

4. Editing: Did you find any problems with:*

Grammar	<input type="checkbox"/>
Punctuation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Spelling	<input type="checkbox"/>
Word use	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Comments per Section of Manuscript

General comment:	
Review of literature:	<p>1. There is a focus on information on how many types of <i>Morus Sp.</i> was found in the study sites in Saudi Arabia.</p> <p>2. Reinforce other supporting phenomena why choose black mulberry (<i>Morus nigra L.</i>) among</p>

ARPG Review form

	<i>other types. That fact can be from the taste that many people prefer, the ease of cultivation and production, or other aspects.</i>
Methodology:	
Results:	<i>What are the consequences of wild species? So what are the effects on cultivated species?.</i>
Discussion:	
Policy Suggestion:	
Bibliography/References:	
Others:	<p><i>In abstract: Add other uses of Morus Sp., besides the savory fruit.</i></p> <p><i>Please add two relevant reasons:</i></p> <p><i>1. Is Saudi Arabia the best place for Moris Sp. to grow, high production and the most savory taste?.</i></p> <p><i>2. As a comparison in which country or hemisphere does Morus Sp. also grow well?.</i></p>
Decision:	<i>Authors must revise all comments constructively.</i>

6. **Please rate the following:** (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)

<i>Contribution to the Field:</i>	2
<i>Technical Quality:</i>	1
<i>Clarity of Presentation :</i>	2
<i>Depth of Research:</i>	1

SECTION V - Recommendation: *(Kindly Mark with an X)*

Accept As It Is:	
Requires Minor Corrections:	x
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	
Submit To Another Publication Such As:	
Reject On Grounds Of (Please Be Specific):	

Note: Would you like to review again the paper after receiving the revised file from the author?

ARPG Review form

Yes	
No	x