



## JURNAL PENDIDIKAN EKONOMI & BISNIS

ACCREDITED at rank 2rd (Sinta 2) by the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of Indonesia according to the Decree (SK) No. 28/E/KPT/2019  
Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, East Jakarta 13220  
Phone (021) 4721227, Fax (021) 4706285  
journal homepage: <http://journal.unj/unj/index.php/jpeb>

### REFEREE REPORT – CONFIDENTIAL

Sent to referee on:

**2021**   **09**   **26**  
year   Month   Day

Please return (electronically) by:

**2021**   **10**   **22**  
year   month   Day

Dear Professor,

We kindly ask you to provide your assessment, as referee, for the attached manuscript that was submitted for publication in Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi & Bisnis.

**Please evaluate the paper according to the following criteria:**

- importance of the subject
- originality of the approach
- degree of interest to our readership
- clarity of the manuscript structure (abstract, keywords, introduction, methodology, discussions, conclusions, references etc.)
- strength of the argument
- writing style

Please note that  
Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi & Bisnis  
endorses Good Practice in Refereeing:  
<http://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/jpeb/authorguidelines>

If you are unable to review the manuscript within the mentioned date, please be so kind and inform us by email ([jpeb@feunj.ac.id](mailto:jpeb@feunj.ac.id)/[agus-wibowo@unj.ac.id](mailto:agus-wibowo@unj.ac.id)). In this case, it would be helpful for us if you could suggest alternative referees.

Best regards,

**Dr. Ari Saptono, SE., M.Pd**  
Editor In Chief



**REFEREE REPORT – CONFIDENTIAL**

**REVIEW REPORT**

Title of the paper:

**Covid-19 Maneuver on Socio-Economic: Exploitation Using Correlation**

**A. GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE PAPER**

**Please mark your opinion for the following indicators:**

1. The paper represents a significant contribution for theory/knowledge/research:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

2. The structure of the paper is clear:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

3. The theoretical grounding of the paper is adequate:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

4. The references used are up-to-date:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

5. The references used are relevant for the subject:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

6. The research design of the paper is adequate:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

7. The data analysis is adequate/relevant:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

8. The interpretations and conclusions of the paper are in accordance with the analysis:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

9. The argumentation of the paper is clear and coherent:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

10. The quality of the English language is adequate:

Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

**B. SUGGESTIONS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF THE PAPER**



## REFEREE REPORT – CONFIDENTIAL

### 1. Abstract:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Good/Excellent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be shortened
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be extended
<input type="checkbox"/>	Is not relevant

Comments:

### 2. Introduction:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Good/Excellent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be shortened
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be extended
<input type="checkbox"/>	Are not relevant

Comments:

### 3. Material and methods:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Good/Excellent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be shortened
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be extended
<input type="checkbox"/>	Are not relevant

Comments:

### 4. Results and discussions:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Good/Excellent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be shortened
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be extended
<input type="checkbox"/>	Are not relevant

Comments:

### 4. Conclusions:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Good/Excellent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be shortened
<input type="checkbox"/>	Should / could be extended
<input type="checkbox"/>	Are not relevant

Comments:

### 5. Figures/graphs/maps/photos:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Clear
<input type="checkbox"/>	Relevant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Some could be omitted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Some, or all should be revised

Comments:

### 6. Tables:



## JURNAL PENDIDIKAN EKONOMI & BISNIS

ACCREDITED at rank 2rd (Sinta 2) by the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of Indonesia according to the Decree (SK) No. 28/E/KPT/2019  
Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, East Jakarta 13220  
Phone (021) 4721227, Fax (021) 4706285  
journal homepage: <http://journal.unj/unj/index.php/jpeb>

### REFEREE REPORT – CONFIDENTIAL

- Clear  
 Relevant  
 Some could be omitted  
 Some, or all should be revised

Comments:

5. The references used for the figures, tables, graphs, maps are suitable and accurate?  
Yes  Should be revised  No

Comments:

### C. EVALUATION AND FEEDBACK

1. Please indicate some suggestions for improving the paper:

- In the introduction it is quite interesting to reveal the phenomenon, but there is no theory behind the research, the urgency of the importance of research is not yet clear, in some descriptions of the hypothesis the focus has not been on providing logic that supports the emergence of research hypotheses, research hypotheses should be written after the description.
- In the research method, it is stated that the research data is panel data, the main conclusion is that the limitations of the study are time series data, please check again.
- The research model will be more interesting if other variables are added that may be proxied as intermediate variables.
- The results of the discussion are still too descriptive, it is necessary to add theoretical support and logical thinking found from the research results.
- The conclusion is complete
- Reference sources have been updated.

2. Other comments and observations:

3. Would you like to get the revised version of the paper from the authors?  
Yes  No

**RECOMMENDATION** – please mark one of the following boxes:

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>ACCEPT THE PAPER AS IT IS</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>ACCEPT THE PAPER WITH MINOR CHANGES</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>RESUBMIT THE PAPER, REQUIRES MAJOR REVISION</b> (please select only if the paper is of real value)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>REJECT THE PAPER</b>

Date: 1st October 2021