

Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health

Environmental Risk Factors and Hookworm Infection among Schoolchildren in rural areas of Indonesia

Journal:	<i>Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	R4L Submission- Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Hookworm infection, Environmental risk factors, schoolchildren, Rural areas, Indonesia, Epidemiology < PUBLIC HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Global Health < PUBLIC HEALTH, Child Health < FAMILY MEDICINE
Abstract:	<p>Background: The prevalence of hookworm infection is a serious public health concern globally. Java Island and Kalimantan Island have differential environmental risk factors of hookworm infection especially in rural areas of Indonesia have high-risk environmental factors of the prevalence of hookworm infection.</p> <p>Aim: In this study showed the infection rates, correlation analysis between environmental risk factors, and prevalence of hookworm infection.</p> <p>Method: We performed a cross-sectional study among 226 schoolchildren from rural East Java p province, Central Java Province, and East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. This study used two diagnostic methods: Kato Katz and Koga agar plate culture/KAP culture for diagnosing hookworm infections. Pearson chi-square analysis was used to study the correlation between environmental factors and hookworm infection.</p> <p>Results: Hookworm, <i>Strongyloides sp</i>, and <i>Ascaris sp</i> infections were found in this study; 137(60.63%), 25(11.1%), and 124(9.84%) respectively. Environmental risk factors such as; rainy season, quality of soil, and infection hookworm in pets have a significant correlation (p-value < 0.05) with hookworm infection among schoolchildren in a rural area in Indonesia.</p> <p>Conclusion: The prevalence of hookworm infection correlates with environmental factors, and the finding in this research could be contributed to decreasing the program of hookworm infection especially among schoolchildren in rural areas.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Environmental Risk Factors and Hookworm Infection among Schoolchildren in rural areas of Indonesia

^{1,2*}Blego Sedionoto, ²Sueptrakool Wasessombat, ³Chuchard Punsawad, ^{2,4}Witthaya Anamnat, ^{2,5}Jitbanjong Tangpong

¹Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health Mulawarman University, Samarinda, 75123, Indonesia

²Doctoral Program in Biomedical Sciences, School of Allied Health Sciences, Walailak University, Thasala. 80160, Thailand.

³Department of Parasitology, School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thasala 80160, Thailand.

⁴Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Walailak University, Thasala, 80160, Thailand

⁵Center of Excellence for Melioidosis Research, Walailak University, Thasala, 80160, Thailand

*Corresponding author's Email: blego.sedionoto@gmail.com

Background: The prevalence of hookworm infection is a serious public health concern globally. Java Island and Kalimantan Island have differential environmental risk factors of hookworm infection especially in rural areas of Indonesia have high-risk environmental factors of the prevalence of hookworm infection.

Aim: In this study showed the infection rates, correlation analysis between environmental risk factors, and prevalence of hookworm infection.

Method: We performed a cross-sectional study among 226 schoolchildren from rural East Java p province, Central Java Province, and East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. This study used two diagnostic methods: Kato Katz and Koga agar plate culture/KAP culture for diagnosing hookworm infections. Pearson chi-square analysis was used to study the correlation between environmental factors and hookworm infection.

Results: Hookworm, *Strongyloides sp*, and *Ascaris sp* infections were found in this study; 137(60.63%), 25(11.1%), and 124(9.84%) respectively. Environmental risk factors such as; rainy season, quality of soil, and infection hookworm in pets have a significant correlation (p-value < 0.05) with hookworm infection among schoolchildren in a rural area in Indonesia.

1
2
3
4 **Conclusion:** The prevalence of hookworm infection correlates with environmental factors, and
5 the finding in this research could be contributed to decreasing the program of
6 hookworm infection especially among schoolchildren in rural areas.
7
8
9

10 **Introduction**

11
12 The prevalence of hookworm infection is of serious public health concern globally. Hookworm
13 infection is prevalent in poor rural communities tropical and subtropical areas in many
14 developing countries.¹ They are transmitted through protected contact with soil are endemic in
15 tropical and temperate regions. The prevalence of hookworm infection was estimated in 2010
16 that 438.9 million people were infected with hookworm and 100 million with *strongyloides*.
17 Almost 70% of these infections occur in Asia. ^{2,3,4}
18
19

20 Hookworm infection is transmitted through protected contact with soil is endemic in tropical and
21 temperate regions. Humans acquire hookworm infection through direct skin contact with
22 infective third-stage larvae where the soil was contaminated by human feces penetrate the intact
23 human skin and eventually reach the small intestine. ⁵
24
25

26 Generally, hookworm infection is found among poor people with poor environmental sanitation
27 and where the climate is warm and humid.^{6,7} Factors affecting the difference in the distribution
28 of hookworm infection may include good hygiene practices among the population, availability of
29 sewerage systems, and the length of the rainy season. Environmental factors have contributed to
30 the transmission of diseases as well as the growth and development of worms. ^{8,9}
31
32

33 Environmental factors especially the long rainy season may affect the decrease in the prevalence
34 of strongyloidiasis but not for hookworm infection. The prevalence of strongyloidiasis in south
35 Thailand is lower than in other parts of the country, in contrast, the prevalence of hookworm
36 infection is still high in the south. It is possible because of the failure in the control of hookworm
37 infection due to 10 months-long rainy season in southern Thailand contrasted with 4 months-
38 long rainy season in other parts.¹⁰ The study in Cambodia reported a lower prevalence of
39 strongyloidiasis in an area with heavy rainfall than in low rainfall areas. Moreover, a high
40 amount of soil organic carbon content affects the lower prevalence of strongyloidiasis.¹¹
41 Epidemiology study of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis in Southern Laos showed
42 56.1% and 41% respectively where has heavy rainfall and poor sanitation. In this study, Baerman
43 and Kato-Katz techniques were used for detecting them.¹²
44
45
46

47 Indonesia has environmental risk factors of prevalence of hookworm infection and
48 strongyloidiasis especially for school children in rural areas where have differential
49 characteristics with other southeast Asia country.¹³ Rural East Java Province, Central Java
50 Province, and East Kalimantan province have differential environmental risk factors of
51 hookworm infection. The study is important to exploration association both of prevalence of
52 hookworm infection, and environmental risk factors. We performed a cross-sectional study in
53 school children in Sumberwringin district, Bondowoso regency, East Java Province, Pakis
54 district, Magelang Regency, Central Java Province and Muara Kaman district and Marangkayu
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 district, East Kalimantan province to the analysis of geography, texture of the soil, humidity,
4 hookworm, and Strongyloides in pet, vegetation, elevation, volume rain, number day and month
5 of rain yearly, temperature and quality of soil as clay content, organic carbon of soil and pH of
6 soil then was correlated with the prevalence of hookworm infection¹⁴.
7

8 **Materials and methods**

9 **Ethical consideration**

10
11
12 Official permission and ethical clearance for the collection of human fecal samples were
13 obtained from the local provincial government of East Java, Central Java, and East Kalimantan.
14 The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Clearance Committee on human rights related to
15 research involving human subjects, Walailak University HE: number WUEc-18-034-01.
16
17

18 **Study Setting and Population**

19 The study was carried out in the rural area of East Java Province, Central Java Province, and
20 East Kalimantan province especially among schoolchildren, Indonesia. This research is
21 schoolchildren-based, was conducted from July 2018- July 2019. The total of number
22 participants is 226 schoolchildren who were joined and sent stool samples. The sample
23 population is counted These areas were selected based on the potential risk of hookworm
24 infection and strongyloidiasis, consist rural area, poor sanitation and hygiene, agriculture activity
25 and surrounding forest and have not yet data study of hookworm and *S. stercoralis* infection from
26 both areas. The sample size was determined using the single population formula by Stanly
27 Lemeshow technique sampling. It was calculated using a prevalence rate (p) of 37% as detail in
28 previous study.¹⁵ with 95% confidence interval ($z=1.96$) and a 10% margin error ($d= 0.1$). The
29 calculated sample size was 90 participants per province of the school area. We assumed that the
30 final sample size would end up being reduced by around 10% due to subjects being unable to
31 pass stool on the study date and we aimed for a sample size of 100 schoolchildren. A simple
32 random sampling method was used to select the population from the district of the school area.
33 Inclusion criteria were participants who were ≥ 7 years old and studied in both school areas. We
34 collected 226 schoolchildren participants who have completed questionnaires and stools.
35
36
37

38 **Field Procedures**

39 For collecting stool samples, the first day was requested to head of the master of school
40 children and the parents of school children for requesting stool sample, second day in the
41 morning would start to collect stool samples, were brought to parasitology laboratory of
42 B2P2RV Salatiga, Ministry of Health Republic Indonesia for samples from East Java Province
43 and Central Java Province and samples from East Kalimantan were brought to Parasitology
44 Mulawarman University for diagnosis samples. On other days was done observed environmental
45 conditions of houses surrounding the village.
46

47 **Laboratory Procedures**

48 Agar plate culture and Kato Katz technique

49 Agar plate culture will be done as described by Koga et al., 1991.¹⁶ Briefly, a few grams of
50 stool will be placed at the center of nutrient agar and kept at room temperature for five days.
51 Tracks from larva crawling and larvae or adult worms will be observed. If positive, 10 ml of
52 10% formalin will be added to the agar surface for 5-10 minutes and transferred to centrifuged
53 tube. Centrifugation at 2,500 rpm for 5 minutes and supernatant will be discarded. The sediment
54 will be examined for hookworm larvae and *S. stercoralis* larvae or adult worm, Kato-Katz thick
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 smear, 50 mg of stool will be placed on the slide and covered with a cellophane paper soaked in
4 glycerin solution for 24 hours. The stool will be spread out using a rubber stick. After 30 minutes
5 will be examined and counted for eggs.^{17,18}

6 **Environmental data**

7
8 Environmental data were collected consist such as vegetation, the elevation of soil, kind of pets,
9 kind of soil around houses, length of the rainy season, humidity, and temperature per year.
10 Quality of soil as organic carbon content, clay content, and pH were diagnosed by soil laboratory
11 Mulawarman University. Vegetation and kind of soil around houses will be collected by
12 observation form, kind of pet will be collected by questioner and observation, and length of the
13 rainy season, humidity and temperature per year will be collected from Central Bureau of
14 Statistics (<https://www.bps.go.id>) and Central Bureau of meteorology, climatology, and
15 Geophysical of Indonesia (<https://www.bmkg.go.id>).

16 **Data Analysis**

17
18 The prevalence of hookworm and *Strongyloides* infection was stratified according to
19 environmental data and reported by the descriptive statistic. Statistical analysis was performed
20 by Chi-square and regression using SPSS verse 21. The correlation analysis was analyzed by
21 Pearson Chi-square to evaluate the association of hookworm and *Strongyloides* infections with
22 environmental risk factors and the level of significance was considered as $P < 0.05$.¹⁹

23 **Results and Discussion**

24 **Study Sample**

25
26 A total of 226 schoolchildren participated in this study. We collected data from five schools such
27 as three schools from East Kalimantan, one school from East Java and one school from Central
28 Java, with detail three provinces are Central Java Provinces, East Java Provinces and East
29 Kalimantan Province, all of areas research were conducted in rural and agriculture area with The
30 areas have differences characteristic of environmental risk factors.

31 **Parasitological Findings**

32
33 Prevalence hookworm and *Strongyloides* infection/strongyloidiasis were diagnosed by Kato Katz
34 technique and APC method showed of 226 tested samples from children have 137(60.63%) cases
35 found positive with hookworm infection and 25(11.1%) cases found positive
36 with *Strongyloides* infection and addition finding of *Ascaris lumbricoides* as 124(9.84%).
37 Detailed data on the prevalence of hookworm and Strongyloides infections were explained in
38 table 1.

39
40 The prevalence of hookworm infection 137(60.63%) is highest than other infections and the
41 Prevalence of strongyloidiasis only was found in East Kalimantan Province with 25(11.1%)
42 cases, and have not been found in East Java Province and Central Java Province.

43 **Characteristic participant and Hookworm Infection**

44
45 The highest prevalence of hookworm infection was found in East Java is 69(97.2%) from total of
46 71 children, therefore the lowest prevalence of hookworm infection was found in Central Java
47 province is 20(41.7%) among 48 children, Percentage of the prevalence of hookworm infection
48 in East Kalimantan 48(44.9%) is higher than in Central Java.

Correlation between the prevalence of hookworm infection and province of school children area was showed by *Pearson X²* that hookworm infections were significantly correlated with the province ($p < 0,0001$). East Java province has deferential characteristics with central Java and East Kalimantan Province such as environmental risk factors including as quality of soil, kind of vegetation, number of days rain yearly, humidity, and temperature those are determinant the highest percentage of hookworm infection than other provinces.

Hookworm infection in females was known higher than the male with 72(63.2%) and 65(58.0%) respectively from 114 female participants and 112 male participants. Gender has not significantly correlated with hookworm infections ($p = 0.431$). Hookworm infection was found dominant among school children class level 1(100%) and school children class level 2 (88,9%). The present showed a significant correlation between the level of study with hookworm infection is negative ($p = 0.223$).

Sensitivity of diagnostic method for hookworm and *Strongyloides* infections

By KAP culture technique showed 33(14.6%) of the prevalence of hookworm infection is higher than Kato Katz technique 137(60.6%) KAP culture method is higher of sensitivity than the Kato-Katz technique on the diagnosis of hookworm infection among schoolchildren in Indonesia.

As far as we know, the most sensitive method for *S. stercoralis* diagnosis is KAP culture.20. We used Kato-Katz thick smear and KAP culture on a double stool sample from school children, in total found 14.6% infected of hookworm infection diagnosed by Kato Katz. KAP culture has sensitivity more than Kato Katz in this research with the founding of prevalence of hookworm infection was 60.6%. This technique can explain the detail of growing up each step development of filariform larvae particularly for detecting of filariform larvae of hookworm

Environmental Factors and Hookworm Infection

Statistical analysis of hookworm and *Strongyloides* infection between environmental factors such as geography, the texture of the soil, infection status of pet, humidity, vegetation, elevation, amount day of rain, the volume of rain, temperature, pH, clay content of the soil, organic carbon of soil, explained detail in table 2.

Sandy soil with 89(74.8%) hookworm infection is the highest level of the prevalence of hookworm infection than other types of soil; organic carbon content in area 1 (1.50%) with 69(97.2%) hookworm infection is the highest level of the prevalence of hookworm infection than others cluster; clay content in Area 3 (3.0%) with 97.2% hookworm infection is the highest level of the prevalence of hookworm infection than others cluster and pH of the soil in cluster Area 5 (7.79) with hookworm infection 97.2% is the highest level of the prevalence of hookworm infection than others cluster. Texture, organic carbon content, clay content, and pH of soil have significantly correlated with hookworm infection ($p < 0.0001$).

Climatology and Hookworm Infection

Correlation climatology and hookworm infection detail was explained in table 2. The table showed that temperature in area 2 (22.00C) with hookworm infection 97.2% is highest than other areas. Humidity in area 4 (90) with hookworm infection 97.2% is highest than other areas. Temperature and humidity have significantly correlated with hookworm infection ($p = 0.000$). Prevalence of hookworm infection stratified by several day rain yearly Station 1 (122 days) is highest hookworm infection with prevalence was 97.2%. Area 1 (7 months) where has 7 months of the long rainy season is the highest prevalence of hookworm infection 97.2%.

Station 1 (2937mm) of the volume of rain yearly was found hookworm infection 97.2% is highest. The number of days, months, and volume of rain yearly have correlated with hookworm infection ($p < 0.0001$).

Vegetation, Location, Pet, and Hookworm Infection

Detailed distribution and correlation of vegetation, location with hookworm infection are explained in table 3. The table explained that the highest percentage for the prevalence of hookworm infection by stratified vegetation is palm plantation, which 55.2% hookworm infection. Prevalence of hookworm infection that showed by geography area is highest in the buffer of the river (59.9%), where location village of the participant is a surrounding river. The elevation where was found highest of prevalence hookworm infection in station 3 (50m) with hookworm infection 69.8%.Vegetation, geographic area, and elevation are all of the environmental risk factors that have a significant correlation with hookworm infection ($p < 0.0001$).

Participants were infected by hookworm with infected cat by hookworm 48(44.9%) while they whose non-infected cat by hookworm 89(74.8%), participants whose Participants were infected dog by hookworm 117(65.7%), analysis pearsonX2 hookworm in human has significantly correlated with infected cat and dog by hookworm with p-value 0.000 and 0,002 respectively

The result of statistical analysis showed that environmental factors have an association with the prevalence of hookworm infection, the environmental factors, including the geography of an area, hookworm in a dog, humidity, vegetation, elevation. number day of rain yearly, several months of rain yearly, the volume of rain and quality of soil as texture, organic carbon of soil, clay content of the soil, and pH of soil have significance (P value <0.05) with the prevalence of hookworm infection in Indonesia.

Discussion

The prevalence of hookworm infection 137(60.63%) is highest than other infections and the Prevalence of strongyloidiasis only was found in East Kalimantan Province with 25(11.1%) cases, and have not been found in East Java Province and Central Java Province. The correlation of Prevalence of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis is significant ($p = 0.000$). A similar study in Manufahi District, Timor Leste where is a rural area with a prevalence of hookworm infection was 62.8%.²¹ Hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis are both neglected tropical diseases.²² In poor countries with a tropical climate, conditions favorable for transmission of these parasites have a higher prevalence of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis (Jongwutiwes s et al, 1999).²³ Furthermore, low socioeconomic status and low hygiene living conditions of the rural population are strongly associated with hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis. In southeast Asia, recent work in Cambodia reported a very high infection rate of Takeo Province .11.

Hookworm infection in females was known higher than the male with 72(63.2%) and 65(58.0%) respectively from 114 female participants and 112 male participants. Gender has not significantly correlated with hookworm infections ($p = 0.431$). Hookworm infection was found dominant among school children class level 1(100%) and school children class level 2 (88,9%). The present showed a significant correlation between the level of study with hookworm infection is negative ($p = 0.223$).

By KAP culture technique showed 33(14.6%) of the prevalence of hookworm infection is higher than Kato Katz technique 137(60.6%) KAP culture method is higher of sensitivity than Kato-

1
2
3 Katz technique on the diagnosis of hookworm infection among schoolchildren in
4 Indonesia.^{18,20}

5 Sandy soil with 89(74.8%) hookworm infection is the highest level of the prevalence of
6 hookworm infection than other types of soil; organic carbon content in area 1 (1.50%)
7 with 69(97.2%) hookworm infection is the highest level of the prevalence of hookworm infection
8 than others cluster; clay content in Area 3 (3.0%) with 97.2% hookworm infection is the highest
9 level of the prevalence of hookworm infection than others cluster and pH of the soil in cluster
10 Area 5 (7.79) with hookworm infection 97.2% is the highest level of the prevalence of
11 hookworm infection than others cluster. Texture, organic carbon content, clay content, and pH of
12 soil have significantly correlated with hookworm infection ($p < 0.0001$), the result showed the
13 quality of soil has contributed to high prevalences of hookworm infection. ²⁴

14 The temperature in area 2 (22.00C) with hookworm infection 97.2% is highest than in other
15 areas. Humidity in area 4 (90) with hookworm infection 97.2% is highest than other areas.
16 Temperature and humidity have significantly correlated with hookworm infection ($p < 0.0001$).

17 The highest percentage for the prevalence of hookworm infection by stratified vegetation
18 is palm plantation, which 55.2% hookworm infection. Prevalence of hookworm infection that
19 showed by geography area is highest in the buffer of the river (59.9%), where location village of
20 the participant is a surrounding river. The elevation where was found highest of prevalence
21 hookworm infection in station 3 (50m) with hookworm infection 69.8%.Vegetation, geographic
22 area, and elevation are all of the environmental risk factors that have a significant correlation
23 with hookworm infection ($p < 0.0001$).

24 Prevalence of hookworm infection stratified by a number of day rain yearly Station 1 (122
25 days) is highest hookworm infection with prevalence was 97.2%. Area 1 (7 months) where has 7
26 months of the long rainy season is the highest prevalence of hookworm infection 97.2%. Station
27 1 (2937mm) of the volume of rain yearly was found hookworm infection 97.2% is highest. The
28 number of days, months, and volume of rain yearly have correlated with hookworm infection (p
29 < 0.0001).

30 Environmental factors of hookworm and strongyloidiasis in East Kalimantan has similar with
31 south Thailand including long rainy season, temperature, and several geography areas, then the
32 prevalence of hookworm infection in East Kalimantan Province (44.1%) is higher than in south
33 Thailand but equal for Strongyloidiasis, that condition was caused other environmental risk
34 factors like quality of soil such as organic carbon of soil, clay content and pH. ¹⁰

35 The prevalence of hookworm infection in East Kalimantan has similar to a study in southern
36 Laos and Cambodia where hookworm is still high but more than the prevalence of
37 strongyloidiasis. The study in Cambodia reported a lower prevalence of strongyloidiasis in an
38 area with heavy rainfall than in low rainfall areas. Moreover, a high amount of soil organic
39 carbon content affects the lower prevalence of strongyloidiasis .¹¹ Epidemiology study of
40 hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis in Southern Laos showed 56.1% and 41% respectively
41 where were heavy rainfall and poor sanitation. In this study, Baerman and Kato-Katz techniques
42 were used for detecting them.¹²

43 Schoolchildren were infected by hookworm with infected cat by hookworm 48(44.9%)
44 while they whose non-infected cat by hookworm 89(74.8%), participants whose Participants
45 were infected dog by hookworm 117(65.7%), analysis pearsonX² hookworm in human has
46 significant correlated with infected cat and dog by hookworm with p-value < 0.0001 and 0,002
47 respectively. This research has a similar condition with Cambodian research that dogs in rural
48 Cambodian villages are largely kept as guard dogs and allowed to roam freely, especially during
49

1
2
3 the day. The dogs are also allowed inside the house and around rice and vegetable fields and
4 ponds. At night-time, the dogs then often stay in or around the house. Dogs, therefore, pose a
5 serious zoonotic risk as they have the potential to transmit zoonotic parasites through their close
6 association with household members as well as through heavy contamination of the environment,
7 including soil, fresh produce, and waterways, with eggs or larvae hookworm, in our observation
8 sew behavior of cats almost all day and night stayed around houses and rare contact with ponds
9 and did not stay around rice and vegetable fields, the behavior of the dog is a higher potential
10 zoonotic risk for hookworm infection than the behavior of the cat. 25,26 Behavior of cat
11 defecation makes the un-save survive of the egg or larvae of hookworm infection. Defecation of
12 the cat was not risk of hookworm infection. usually, the cat closed the feces after defecation with
13 dry soil and the cat has defecated around houses that did not spread other places.27

14
15 The result of statistical analysis showed that environmental factors have an association with the
16 prevalence of hookworm infection, the environmental factors, including the geography of an
17 area, hookworm in dogs, humidity, vegetation, elevation. number day of rain yearly, several
18 months of rain yearly, the volume of rain and quality of soil as texture, organic carbon of soil,
19 clay content of the soil, and pH of soil have significance (P value<0.05) with the prevalence of
20 hookworm infection in Indonesia. The transmission of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis
21 was caused by the tropical climate and the environmental condition that favorable for the
22 survival of hookworm infection.23

23
24 Environmental factors of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis among schoolchildren in
25 Indonesia have significance with high of prevalence of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis
26 such as geography, vegetation, humidity, volume and amount day of rain organic carbon of soil
27 and clay content of the soil, the environmental factors make survive of infective larvae of
28 hookworm28 Explained with Garcia (2007) that a significant increase in the prevalence of
29 hookworm infection with environmental conditions.29. Changing environmental conditions,
30 specifically deforestation and subsequent silting of the local river, have caused periodic flooding
31 with deposition on a layer of sandy loam topsoil and increased soil moisture24. These conditions,
32 all of which are conducive to hookworm transmissions, have allowed hookworm to reemerge as
33 an important human pathogen in this area.30

34 35 36 37 38 **Conclusions**

39 The prevalence of hookworm infection among schoolchildren in Indonesia correlates with
40 environmental factors. The result of the study analysis can make a strong contribution to
41 preventing programs by ecological root. Preventing program of reduced prevalence of hookworm
42 infection by treatment of environmental risk factors is an effective program for decreasing
43 hookworm infection among schoolchildren in Indonesia.

44 45 46 **Acknowledgments**

47 We are grateful to schoolchildren, parents, primary school headmaster, and the provincial
48 government of Central Java, East Java, and East Kalimantan. We deeply thank the Dean of
49 School of Public Health Mulawarman University and the Dean School of Allied Health Walailak
50 University and B2P2VRP Ministry of Health Republic Indonesia for permitting us to use the
51 laboratory and supported approval letter for this research. This work was supported by Walailak
52 University grant (contract no 17/2561).

53 54 55 **References**

1. Wardell, R, Clements, A.C.A., Lal, A., Summers, D., Llewellyn, S., Campbell, SJ., McCarthy, J., Darren J. Gray, DJ., Nery, SV. (2017). An environmental assessment and risk map of *Ascaris lumbricoides* and *Necator americanus* distributions in Manufahi District, Timor-Leste. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 11(5) : e0005565, 1-19.
2. Pullan, R L., Jennifer, L. S., Rashmi, J., & Simon, J. B. (2014). Global numbers of infection and disease burden of soil-transmitted helminth infections in 2010. *Parasites & Vectors* 7:37, 1-19
3. WHO, (2011). Helminth control in school-age children: A guide for managers of control programs - 2nd ed. World Health Organization, pp 1-90
4. Bethony, J., Brooker, S., Albonico, M., Geiger, S.M., Loukas, A, Diemert, D. & Hotez, PJ. (2006). Soil-transmitted helminth infections: Ascariasis, trichuriasis, and hookworm. *Lancet* 367, 1521-1532.
5. Forrer, A., Khieu, V., Schindler, C., Schär, F., Marti, H., Char, M.C., Muth, S., Odermat, P. (2016). Ivermectin treatment and sanitation effectively reduce *Strongyloides stercoralis* infection risk in rural communities in Cambodia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 10(8): e0004909, 1-17
6. Bannon, J.P., Fater, M, & Solit, R. (1995). Intestinal ileus secondary to *Strongyloides stercoralis* infection: case report and review of the literature. *Am Surg* 61, 377-380.
7. Hall, A., Conway, D.J., Anwar, K.S., & Rahman, M.L. (1994). *Strongyloides stercoralis* in an urban slum community in Bangladesh: Factors independently associated with infection. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 88(5), 527-530.
8. Anamnart, W., Pattanawongsa, A., Intapan, P. M., Morakote, N., Janwan, P., Maleewong, W. (2013). Detrimental effect of water submersion of stools on development of *Strongyloides stercoralis*. *PLoS ONE* 8(12), e82339, 1-5.
9. Na-Ek, P., Sanpool, O., Jongthawin, J, Anamnart, W, Intapan, PM, Chamavit, P., Maleewong, W. (2016). Restoration of hookworm egg development after prolonged storage in stool suspension. *Parasitol Res* 115, 2817–2828
10. Anamnart, W., Intapan, PM., Pattanawongsa, A., Chamavit, P., Kaewsawat, S., Maleewong, W. (2015). Effect of dilution of stool soluble component on growth and development of *Strongyloides*. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1-5.
11. Khieu V, Schär F, Marti H, Bless PJ, Char MC, Muth S & Odermatt P. (2014). Prevalence and Risk Factors of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in Takeo province, Cambodia. *Parasit Vectors* 7, 221.15
12. Vonghachack, Y., Sayasone, S., Bouakhasith, D., Taisayavong, K., Akkavong, K., Odermatt, P. (2015) Epidemiology of *Strongyloides stercoralis* on Mekong Islands in southern Laos. *Acta Tropica* 141, 289–294.
13. Khieu V, Schär F, Marti H, Sayasone S, Duong S, Muth S & Odermatt P. (2013). Diagnosis, Treatment and Risk Factors of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in Schoolchildren in Cambodia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 7, e2035.
14. Sedionoto, B., Wesisombat, S., Punsawad C., Anamnart, W.(2019), Environmental factors and prevalence of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis in rural East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *E3S Web of Conferences* 124 04001, 1-6, <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/201912504001>
15. Damanik DM, et.al (2014). Sanitation of House and School, Personal Hygiene and Infection of Soil Transmitted Helminths (STH) among Elementary School Students.

- International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS) Vol.3, No.1, March 2014, pp. 43~50
16. Koga, K., Kasuya, S., Khamboonruang, C., Sukhavat, K., Ieda, M., Takatsuka, N., Kita, K., & Ohtomo H. (1991). A modified agar plate method for detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 45, 518-521
 17. Katz, N., Chaves, A., & Pellegrino, J. (1972). A simple device for quantitative stool thick-smear technique in schistosomiasis mansoni. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 14, 397-400.
 18. Anamnart, W., Pattanawongsa, A., Intapan, P. M., Maleewong, W. (2010). Albendazole stimulates the excretion of strongyloides stercoralis larvae in stool specimens and enhances sensitivity for diagnosis of strongyloidiasis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 48(11), 4216–4220.
 19. Daniel, W. W. (2010). *Biostatistics “A Foundation for analysis in the health sciences”*. NY: John Wiley & Sons.
 20. Steinmann, P., Yap, P, Jürg, Utzinger., Zun-Wei Du, Jin-Yong, Jiang, J.Y., Zhou, Xiao-Nong. (2015). Control of soil-transmitted helminthiasis in Yunnan province, People’s Republic of China: Experiences and lessons from a 5-year multi-intervention trial. *Acta Tropica* 141, 271–280.
 21. Nery, S.V., McCarthy, J.S., Traub, R. , Andrews, R.M., Black, J., Gray, D., Edmund Weking, E., Atkinson, Jo-An., Campbell, S., Francis, N., Vallely, A., Gail Williams, G., Archie Clements, A (2015). A cluster-randomized controlled trial integrating a community-based water, sanitation and hygiene program, with mass distribution of albendazole to reduce intestinal parasites in Timor-Leste: the WASH for worms research protocol. *BMJ Open*, 5(12), e009293, 1-12.
 22. Anamnart, W., Pattanawongsa, A., Intapan, P.M., Maleewong, W. (2010). Albendazole stimulates the excretion of strongyloides stercoralis larvae in stool specimens and enhances sensitivity for diagnosis of strongyloidiasis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 48(11), 4216–4220
 23. Jongwutiwes, S., Charoenkorn, M., Sitthichareonchai, P., Akaraborvorn, P., Putaporntip, C. (1999). Increased sensitivity of routine laboratory detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and hookworm by agar-plate culture. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 93(4), 398-400.
 24. Sedionoto, B., Wesisombat, S., Punsawad C., Anamnart, W.(2021).The quality of soil and high prevalence of hookworm infection in Muara Kaman and Marangkayu districts, Indonesia. *Annals of Tropical Medicine & Public Health*, 24 (1), 1-10, <http://doi.org/10.36295/ASRO.2021.24135>
 25. Schär, F., Inpankaew, T., Traub, R.J., Khieu, V., Dalsgaard, A., Chimnoi, W.,& Odermatt, P. (2014). The prevalence and diversity of intestinal parasitic infections in humans and domestic animals in a rural Cambodian village. *Parasitology international*, 63(4), 597-603.
 26. Strkolcova G, Goldova M, Bockova F Mojziso J.(2017) The roundworm *Strongyloides stercoralis* un children, dogs and soil inside and outside a segregated settlement in Eastern Slovakia: frequent but hardly detectable parasite. *Parasitol Res* DOI 10.1007/s00436-016-5362-1

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
27. Sedionoto, B., & Anamnart, W. (2018). Prevalence of hookworm infection and strongyloidiasis in cats and potential risks factor of human diseases. E3S Web of Conferences 31.06002. 1-5.
 28. Giovanna Raso, Penelope Vounatsou, Laura Gosoni, Marcel Tanner Eliézer K.N'GoranJürg Utzinger. (2006). Risk factors and spatial patterns of hookworm infection among schoolchildren in a rural area of western Côte d'Ivoire. International Journal for Parasitology Volume 36, Issue 2, February 2006, Pages 201-210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.09.003>
 29. Garcia, L., (2007), Diagnostic medical parasitology, ASM Press Washington D.C Fifth Edition Chapter 10, 266-270
 30. Forrer, A., Khieu, V., Schar, F., Vounatsau, P., Chammartin , F., Marti , H., Muth, S., Odermatt, P. (2018). Strongyloides stercoralis and hookworm co-infection: Spatial distribution and determinants in Preah Vihear province, Cambodia. Parasit Vectors 11:33, 1-13

Tables and Figures Legends

Table 1. Prevalence of hookworm among Schoolchildren in Indonesia (226 Participants)

Infections	East Java (%)		Central Java (%)		East Kalimantan (%)		Overall Positive
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	
Hookworm	69(97.2)	2(2.8)	20(41.7)	28(58.3)	48(44.9)	59(55.1)	137(60.63)
<i>S. stercoralis</i>	0	71(100)	0	48(100)	25(23.4)	82(76.6)	25(11.1)
<i>Ascaris sp</i>	3(4.2)	68(95.8)	2(4.2)	46(95.8)	11(10.3%)	96(89.7)	124(9.84)

Quality of quality of soil and climatology and Hookworm Infection

Table 2. Correlation Quality of Soil and Hookworm infection

Quality of Soil	Status of Diagnosis Participants		P-value
	Positive	Negative	
Texture of soil			<0.0001
Sandy clay	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Loamy sand	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Sand	89(74.8%)	30(25.2%)	
Clay	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Organic Carbon content (5 School children areas)			<0.0001
Area 1 (1.50%)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Area 2 (1.83%)	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Area 3 (2.77%)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 4 (3.13%)	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Area 5 (7.22%)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Clay content (5 areas)			<0.0001
Area 1 (1.2%)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 2 (1.9%)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 3 (3.0%)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Area 4 (34.7%)	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Area 5 (38.6%)	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
pH of soil (5 areas)			<0.0001
Area 1 (4.26)	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Area 2 (6.60)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 3 (7.22)	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Area 4 (7.40)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 5 (7.79)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Temperature			<0.0001
Area 1 (20.5°C)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 2 (22.0°C)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Area 3 (28 °C)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 4 (29.5 °C)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Humidity			<0.0001

Area 1 (65%)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 2 (66%)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Area 3 (82)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 4 (90)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Number day of rain yearly			<0.0001
Station 1 (122 days)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Station 2 (139 days)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Station 3(152 days)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Station 4(174 days)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Volume of rain yearly			<0.0001
Station 1 (2937mm)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Station 2 (2990mm)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Station 3 (3689mm)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Station 4 (4000mm)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	

Table 3. Vegetation, Location, Pet, and Hookworm Infection

Environmental risk factors	Status of Diagnosis Participants		P-value
	Positive	Negative	
Vegetation			<0.0001
Coffee plantation	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Vegetable plantation	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Palm and rubber plantation	48(44.9%)	59(55.1%)	
Geography of villages area			<0.0001
Buffer of sea/coastal area	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Buffer of river	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Hill	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Mountain area	89(74.8%)	30(25.2%)	
Elevation from above of sea surface (m)			<0.0001
Station 1 (32m)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Station 2 (50m)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Station 4 (700m)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Station 5 (841m)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Hookworm in cat			<0.0001
Infected cat by hookworm	48(44.9%)	59(55.1%)	
Non infected cat by hookworm	89(74.8%)	30(25.2%)	
Hookworm in dog			0.002
Infected dog by hookworm	117(65.7%)	61(34.3%)	
Non infected hookworm by dog	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	

Tables and Legends

Table 1. Prevalence of hookworm among Schoolchildren in Indonesia (226 Participants)

Infections	East Java (%)		Central Java (%)		East Kalimantan (%)		Overall Positive
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	
Hookworm	69(97.2)	2(2.8)	20(41.7)	28(58.3)	48(44.9)	59(55.1)	137(60.63)
<i>S. stercoralis</i>	0	71(100)	0	48(100)	25(23.4)	82(76.6)	25(11.1)
<i>Ascaris sp</i>	3(4.2)	68(95.8)	2(4.2)	46(95.8)	11(10.3%)	96(89.7)	124(9.84)

Quality of quality of soil and climatology and Hookworm Infection

Table 2. Correlation Quality of Soil and Hookworm infection

Quality of Soil	Status of Diagnosis Participants		P-value
	Positive	Negative	
Texture of soil			<0.0001
Sandy clay	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Loamy sand	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Sand	89(74.8%)	30(25.2%)	
Clay	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Organic Carbon content (5 School children areas)			<0.0001
Area 1 (1.50%)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Area 2 (1.83%)	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Area 3 (2.77%)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 4 (3.13%)	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Area 5 (7.22%)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Clay content (5 areas)			<0.0001
Area 1 (1.2%)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 2 (1.9%)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 3 (3.0%)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Area 4 (34.7%)	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Area 5 (38.6%)	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
pH of soil (5 areas)			<0.0001
Area 1 (4.26)	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Area 2 (6.60)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 3 (7.22)	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Area 4 (7.40)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 5 (7.79)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Temperature			<0.0001
Area 1 (20.5°C)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 2 (22.0°C)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Area 3 (28 °C)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 4 (29.5 °C)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Humidity			<0.0001

Area 1 (65%)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Area 2 (66%)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Area 3 (82)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Area 4 (90)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Number day of rain yearly			<0.0001
Station 1 (122 days)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Station 2 (139 days)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Station 3(152 days)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Station 4(174 days)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Volume of rain yearly			<0.0001
Station 1 (2937mm)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Station 2 (2990mm)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Station 3 (3689mm)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Station 4 (4000mm)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	

Table 3. Vegetation, Location, Pet, and Hookworm Infection

Environmental risk factors	Status of Diagnosis Participants		P-value
	Positive	Negative	
Vegetation			<0.0001
Coffee plantation	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Vegetable plantation	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Palm and rubber plantation	48(44.9%)	59(55.1%)	
Geography of villages area			<0.0001
Buffer of sea/coastal area	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Buffer of river	17(70.8%)	7(29.2%)	
Hill	18(36.7%)	31(63.3%)	
Mountain area	89(74.8%)	30(25.2%)	
Elevation from above of sea surface (m)			<0.0001
Station 1 (32m)	35(47.9%)	38(52.1%)	
Station 2 (50m)	13(38.2%)	21(61.8%)	
Station 4 (700m)	69(97.2%)	2(2.8%)	
Station 5 (841m)	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	
Hookworm in cat			<0.0001
Infected cat by hookworm	48(44.9%)	59(55.1%)	
Non infected cat by hookworm	89(74.8%)	30(25.2%)	
Hookworm in dog			0.002
Infected dog by hookworm	117(65.7%)	61(34.3%)	
Non infected hookworm by dog	20(41.7%)	28(58.3%)	